Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1768/T	Charlotte Briere-Edney	188 Camden Road Camden Town London	16/05/2025 15:07:57	SUPPRT	This Tree of heaven is large both in terms of its trunk diameter and also its canopy. Because of its size it is more appropriate in a park setting and not a front garden close to property.
		NW1 9HG NW1 9HG			Neighbours at at 160, 180 and 184 Camden Road all have subsidence problems or damage caused by the roots of trees.
					The property at 37 Camden Mews behind us at 188 was suffering damage/cracking from a Tree of Heaven which unfortunately had to be removed last year,
					The Insurance Adjuster for the subsidence at 180 Camden Rd has applied to have the Tree of Heaven in the front garden of 178 Camden Rd felled to the ground.
					There is another Tree of Heaven in the garden of 176 Camden Rd, less than 10 metres away. The trees are so close that the branches are entwined. There are at least another 7 self-seeded Trees of Heaven within 100 metre of 180 Camden Rd. To say the felling of this 1 tree lessens the amenity of the area is nonsense.
					"A tree of heaven is noted by the UK government as being of special concern because of its invasiveness:
					https://www.gov.uk/guidance/invasive-non-native-alien-plant-species-rules-in-england-and-wales #list-of-invasive-plant-species and the rules provide that they should be treated or disposed of where possible."
					Subsidence is an issue in Camden Rd which the Council should be concerned about and take whatever steps necessary to minimise the risk.
					I fully support this application.

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1768/T	Christopher Briere-Edney	188 CAMDEN ROAD	15/05/2025 14:40:57	SUPPRT	I am one of the owners and director of 180 Camden Rd Ltd, the freeholder of 180 Camden Rd and have lived 4 houses away since 1987. I have more knowledge than most of this stretch of Camden Rd.
		NW1 9HG NW1 9HG			I have carefully studied all the objections you have received about the Application to fell the Tree of Heaven at 178 Camden Rd. Most of the objections are from people that do not live on Camden Rd.
					Do these objectors eulogising about the tree know that "A tree of heaven is noted by the UK government as being of special concern because of its invasiveness: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/invasive-non-native-alien-plant-species-rules-in-england-and-wales #list-of-invasive-plant-species and the rules provide that they should be treated or disposed of where possible."
					There is another Tree of Heaven less than 10 metres away at 176 Camden Rd. the branches which are intertwined. There are a further 7 Trees of Heaven within 100 metres. Removing the one tree would not be detrimental to the amenity in any way.
					If it was the objectors house that was subject to subsidence on account of the Tree of Heaven, would they be so keen to retain the tree?
					Most of the objections are subjective, made by people with little knowledge, not based on facts and who do not live close to 178 Camden Rd.
					The Auger evidence is clear this tree should be removed. Myself, the other Directors, Long Leaseholders and residents of180 Camden Rd all fully support this Application