Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1489/P	Joe Thompson	The Minster Building 21 Mincing Lane	16/05/2025 13:52:35	COMMNT	Email comments sent to LBC Case Officers on the 14 and 15 May, respectively, re. car parking/site ownership
					Hi Brendan,
		EC3R 7AG			We write to you on behalf of our client, Kennedy Alternative Investments Ltd, as the LBC planning case officer for application ref. 2025/1489/P (Holy Trinity Church, Finchley Road, NW3, 5HT).
					Our client is the owner and applicant at the adjoining site Lief House, 3 Sumpter Close, NW3 5HR, for which they are seeking Prior Approval (PA) under Class MA (offices to dwellinghouses) (ref. 2025/1982/P); as you'll know, the LBC PCO is Daren Zuk (copied).
					First, we must advise that Kennedy Alternative Investments Ltd has not received any neighbour notification from you, as the LPA, of the Holy Trinity Church's application. Nonetheless, our client is now aware of the application and your consultation on it, through our PA application activity for them on Lief House.
					Second, having selectively reviewed the application documents pursuant to ref. 2025/1489/P, we note that the Church advises (at para 2.2 of the Planning Statement) that they "lease two spaces within the existing car park to the rear of Lief House" (i.e. outside their planning application site and ownership) and "a situation which will remain as existing". This is incorrect. Kennedy Alternative Investments instruct us to advise you that all 12 existing car parking spaces to the rear of Lief House are owned by them, falling within our PA application site red line, and that all the spaces are available for use by the Lief House occupier(s) only. The Church has not asked Kennedy Alternative Investments if it can lease two car parking spaces for the purposes of their planning application proposal.
					We trust this assists your consideration of both applications before you.
					Kind regards,
					-
					Hi Brendan,
					Further to the below, our client at Lief House has identified the Site Notice (pursuant to ref. 2025/1489/P) has now been displayed on the pavement, outside Lief House/the Church (please see attached image).
					He has observed that the red line boundary displayed on the Notice, notably along the northern boundary of the Church, encroaches into his title ownership (see attached for ease – title No. NGL901527).

As shown on the attached title plan for Lief House, the red line boundary runs along the

Printed on: 19/05/2025

09:10:03

Comment Response

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
					immediate boundary of the Church (i.e. in parallel with the guttering of the Church).
					Along the western and southern boundary of the Church, the Site Location Plan submitted and title (also attached) show the red lines 'boxed-off' with the neighbour and pavement – this is presented differently on the Notice.
					We trust this of assistance with the applications before you and Daren.
					We also reserve the right to respond further, should additional matters of relevance arise.
					Kind regards,
2025/1489/P	Mrs Len Len Shiu and Dr Man Fai Shiu	Flat 11 Alban House 5 Sumpter Close London NW3 5JR	16/05/2025 06:24:07	OBJ	Dr Man Fai Shiu and I are owners of Flat 11 and have planned this to be our retirement home if and when flat dwelling is better suited. In the meantime, this flat is rented out.
					Our immediate neighbour on the same floor in Flat 12, alerted us that the Holy Trinity Church has submitted another planning application which has expanded its previous approved plans.
					We are much dismayed and upset that a solid Alban House Mansion block of 24 flats set behind Finchley Road is threatened with Loss of Privacy, Security risk, uncontrolled Bell Noise and Fire safety.
					We received a detailed analysis of his objections dated 7 May which we support. I understand you will not entertain petition signatures to what he has uncovered in the new set of plans which will upset residents in Alban House. We endorse what he has uncovered examined and objected to.
					We are now over 80 and not so savvy to internet or long planning details.
					We urge you to be vigilant on our behalf that the new set of plans is not another selfish development by the Holy Trinity Church to have rooftop plant, more skylights, recording studios which will all impact on our privacy and enjoyment. Parking has always been contentious and fire safety impacted by the proposals to use the side passage for bin stores and bicycles? Surely if the plans want to expand upwards, it should allow the existing ground footprint to enable internal bin stores and bicycle storage too?
					Please heed our pleas for peace, safety and the environment. Reject outright this new application or modify. Why do these developments keep trying to wear us down with fresh applications?
					Thank you for hearing us.
					Mrs Len Len Shiu and Dr Man Fai Shiu Flat 11, Alban House, 5 Sumpter Close, London NW3 5JR