Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0805/P	B.Bennett	156 Agar Grove London NW1 9TY	08/05/2025 19:33:27	OBJ	Dear John Nicholls I am writing to object to this proposal. The application is very misleading as the main description is stated as "Retention of glazed lobby to external roof space at rear first floor level" but the "Existing Drawing" shows a partial drawing of the existing corrugated plastic and wood structure that has only been on the reverse of this building for a few months, less than a year, stated as completed in September last year. The drawing shows 3 items stated as "now removed" "Existing handrail, posts and corrugated plastic roof, now removed" but the corrugated plastic roof is not removed and the handrail and posts appear to also still be there. There is no drawing showing the rear as it was before this was put there. The "Proposed Drawing" shows a slightly different construction described as a "glazed lobby". It is stated, in the design & access statement, that "There is historically, but we have no records, some sort of structure where the window to landing of the access stair was shielded for security purposes". There appears to be absolutely no evidence of "some sort of structure" ever existing, something that would not appropriate for this type of nineteenth century building. The window behind, that has been obstructed, is in the normal position of the original building and matches in with the the traditional alignment of neighbouring windows at the rear. It is then stated "A new security structure has been built". It is misleading to suggest there was a construction there before, which there wasn't, and to describe this corrugated plastic/wood/ glazed structure as "security". In the application form, the "Existing materials and finishes" are described as "brickwork". The "Proposed materials and finishes" are described as "glazed lobby". This construction is inappropriate for this historic conservation area building, obscures the window and interferes with the original layout of windows visible at the rear, well retained in this historic Camden Conservation Area street, The structure is encroachment into the open area at the rear and interferes with the privacy of surrounding buildings. Your Sincerely

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0805/P	B. Bennett	156 Agar Grove	08/05/2025 15:22:57	OBJ	Dear John Nicholls I am writing to object to this proposal. The application is very misleading as the main description is stated as "Retention of glazed lobby to external roof space at rear first floor level" but the "Existing Drawing" shows a partial drawing of the existing corrugated plastic and wood structure that has only been on the reverse of this building for a few months, less than a year, stated as completed in September last year. The drawing shows 3 items stated as "now removed" "Existing handrail, posts and corrugated plastic roof, now removed" but the corrugated plastic roof is not removed and the handrail and posts appear to also still be there. There is no drawing showing the rear as it was before this was put there. The "Proposed Drawing" shows a slightly different construction described as a "glazed lobby". It is stated, in the design & access statement, that "There is historically, but we have no records, some sort of structure where the window to landing of the access stair was shielded for security purposes". There appears to be absolutely no evidence of "some sort of structure" ever existing, something that would not appropriate for this type of nineteenth century building. The window behind, that has been obstructed, is in the normal position of the original building and matches in with the the traditional alignment of neighbouring windows at the rear. It is then stated "A new security structure has been built". It is misleading to suggest there was a construction there before, which there wasn't, and to describe this corrugated plastic/wood/ glazed structure as "security". In the application form, the "Existing materials and finishes" are described as "brickwork". The "Proposed materials and finishes" are described as "glazed lobby". This construction is inappropriate for this historic conservation area building, obscures the window and interferes with the original layout of windows visible at the rear, well retained in this historic Camden Conservation Area street, The structure is encroachment into the open area at the rear and interferes with the privacy of surrounding buildings. Yours Sincerely