

WE LISTEN, WE PLAN, WE DELIVER

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK

GROUND INVESTIGATION & BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

24 BURGESS HILL LONDON NW2 2DA



OMAS ASSOCIATES LTD

Unit 24 Sarum Complex, Salisbury Road, Uxbridge, UB8 2RZ <u>www.jomasassociates.com</u> 0333-305-9054 <u>info@jomasassociates.com</u> JUMAS ENGINEERING LAND REMEDIATION

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK

Report Title:Ground Investigation & Basement Impact Assessment for 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DAReport Status:FinalJob No:P5943J3029/RAYDate:13/09/2024						
Version		Date	Issued By			
v2.0		24/04/25	SC			
Prepared by: JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD For: VERVE CONCEPTS LIMITED Prepared by Ragul Yogarajah BEng (Hons), ICE Geotechnical Engineer R. Wummuh D.M. Cumu						
88 Di Me						

Should you have any queries relating to this report, please contact

JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD

www.jomasassociates.com

0333 305 9054

info@jomasassociates.com

i

Page

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK

CONTENTS

EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARYV
1	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	Terms of Reference1
1.2	Proposed Development1
1.3	Objectives1
1.4	Scope of Works2
1.5	Scope of Basement Impact Assessment2
1.6	Supplied Documentation3
1.7	Limitations3
2	SITE SETTING & HISTORICAL INFORMATION 4
2.1	Site Information4
2.2	Desk Study Overview4
2.3	Basement Impact Assessment (Screening and Scoping)6
2.4	Previous Site Investigations7
3	GROUND INVESTIGATION
3.1	Rationale for Ground Investigation
3.2	Scope of Ground Investigation8
3.3	In-situ Geotechnical Testing9
3.4	Sampling Rationale Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5	Sampling Limitations9
3.6	Laboratory Analysis9
4	GROUND CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED11
4.2	Ground Conditions11

JUMAS ENGINEERING LAND REMEDIATION

4.3	Hydrogeology12
4.4	Physical and Olfactory Evidence of Contamination13
4.5	Limitations13
5	DERIVATION OF GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS14
5.1	Introduction14
5.2	Plasticity of Cohesive Materials14
5.6	Density
5.8	Stiffness Moduli18
5.9	Summary of Derived General Properties19
6	GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS20
6.1	General20
6.2	Proposed Foundations20
6.3	Retaining Walls20
6.4	Aggressive Ground Conditions21
6.5	Ground Floor Slabs22
6.6	Excavations23
6.7	Groundwater Control23
7	BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT24
7.1	Geological Impact24
7.2	Hydrology and Hydrogeology Impact24
7.3	Impacts of Basement on Adjacent Properties and Pavement25
7.4	Ground Movement25
8	REFERENCES



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 – FIGURES

- **APPENDIX 2 EXPLORATORY HOLE RECORD**
- **APPENDIX 3 CHEMICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS**
- **APPENDIX 4 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS**

APPENDIX 5 - GROUNDWATER MONITORING TEST RESULTS



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Verve Concepts Limited ("The Client") has commissioned Jomas Associates Ltd ('Jomas'), to prepare a Basement Impact Assessment at a site referred to as 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DA.

The principle objectives of the study were as follows:

- To obtain geotechnical parameters to inform preliminary foundation design.
- To undertake a Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) based the methodology of the on the guidance given in the London Borough of Camden document "Camden Planning Guidance Basements" (CPGB) (January 2021).

It should be noted that the table below is an executive summary of the findings of this report and is for briefing purposes only. Reference should be made to the main report for detailed information and analysis

	Ground Investigation
Current Site Use	The site consists of a 3-storey residential building with a basement underneath the footprint of the building with an internal height of 1.68m.
Proposed Site Use	The proposed development is to comprise creation of a basement under the existing footprint, with lightwells formed to the front and rear. Small extensions are also proposed at ground and first floor levels.
Desk Study Summary	A Desk Study report has been produced for the site and issued separately (Jomas, June 2024). A brief overview of the desk study findings is presented below. Reference should be made to the full report for detailed information.
	On the earliest available map (1871), the site is undeveloped, presumably used for agricultural purposes. The site becomes part of Burgess Park in 1894. By the map dated 1915 a single building has been built in the middle of the site. No observational changes then occur to the site until the most recent map dated 2024.
	Historically, the surrounding area has comprised mainly for agricultural land until the late 1800s when the surrounding area was used as park and few ponds were within 250m. Ponds were infilled by the maps dated 1915 with residential properties having been built surrounding the site. Residential development of the area continued until the middle of the century, after which there have been no significant changes in land use.
	The British Geological Survey indicates that the site is directly underlain by solid deposits of the London Clay Formation, identified as Unproductive in terms of aquifer designation.
	The site is located within an EA Flood Zone 1.
	There are no water networks or surface water features reported within 250m of



	Ground Investigation			
	the site. The site is not within an area with a RoFRaS rating.			
	Groundsure states that the site is at negligible risk of both surface water and groundwater flooding			
Intrusive Investigation	The ground investigation was undertaken on 7 th August 2024 and 3 rd April 202 and consisted of the following:			
	• 2No. windowless sampler boreholes, drilled up to 8.0m below ground level (bgl), with associated in situ testing and sampling;			
	• 3No. hand-held window sampler boreholes, drilled up to 4.7m bgl, with associated in-situ testing;			
	 2No. combined gas and groundwater monitoring wells installed up to 5.00m bgl; 			
	 Laboratory analysis for chemical and geotechnical purposes; 			
	2No. return visits to monitor groundwater levels.			
Ground Conditions	The results of the ground investigation revealed a ground profile comprising Made Ground (0.80m – 2.00m thick), overlying the London Clay Formation to a maximum proven depth of 8.0m bgl.			
	Groundwater was not reported during drilling but return monitoring visits recorded groundwater levels of between 3.07-3.24m bgl.			
Geotechnical Considerations	It is anticipated that the finished floor level of the basement would be approximately 3.5m - 4.0m below existing ground floor level.			
	Based upon the information obtained to date, it is considered that a cast in-situ cantilever retaining wall formed at approximately 3.50 - 4.00m below the existing ground floor could be designed with an allowable bearing capacity of 125kPa.			
	The floor slab (and basement walls) would need to be constructed to conform to BS: 8102 (2009).			
	As soils of medium volume change potential are present, heave precautions will be required against the side of foundations and ground beams in accordance with the requirements set out in NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2.			
	The stability of all excavations should be assessed during construction. The sides of any excavations into which personnel are required to enter, should be assessed and where necessary fully supported. Given the proximity of the adjacent properties it is considered unlikely that excavations could be battered back to a safe angle. If a secant or contiguous piled wall is utilised, then it is recommended that these are installed first and then the excavation is undertaken so that the piles support the excavation sides.			
	The progression of the basement excavation will need to consider the potential impact to existing structures both on and off site and provide adequate and appropriate support.			
	Based on the results of chemical testing, the required concrete class for the site			



	Ground Investigation				
	is DS-2 assuming an Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrete classification of AC-1s in accordance with the procedures outlined in BRE Special Digest 1.				
Basement Impact Assessment	The overall assessment of the site is that the creation of a basement for the proposed development should not adversely impact the site or its immediate environs, providing measures are taken to protect surrounding land and properties during construction.				
	The proposed basement excavation will be within 5m of a public pavement. It is also laterally within 5m of neighbouring properties.				
	Unavoidable lateral ground movements associated with the basement excavations must be controlled during temporary and permanent works so as not to impact adversely on the stability of the surrounding ground and any associated services.				
	During the construction phase careful and regular monitoring will need to be undertaken to ensure that the neighbouring properties are not adversely affected. This may mean that structures will need to be suitably propped and supported.				

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Terms of Reference

- 1.1.1 Verve Concepts Limited ("The Client") has commissioned Jomas Associates Ltd ('Jomas'), to prepare a Stage 1 & 2 Basement Impact Assessment (Screening & Scoping) at a site referred to as 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DA.
- 1.1.2 To this end a Desk Study and Basement Impact Assessment (Screening and Scoping) has been produced for the site and issued separately (Jomas, June 2024), followed by an intrusive investigation (detailed in this report).
- 1.1.3 A full list of previous reports undertaken for the site by Jomas are detailed in Table 1.1:

Table 1.1: Previous Reports - Jomas

Title	Author	Reference	Date
Stage 1 &2 Basement Impact Assessment fir 24 Burgees Hill, London, NW2 2DA	Jomas Associates Ltd	P5943J3029/RAY	27 June 2024

1.1.4 Jomas' work has been undertaken in accordance with the proposals dated 8 July 2024 and 28 March 2025.

1.2 Proposed Development

- 1.2.1 The proposed development for this site is understood to comprise creation of a basement under the existing footprint, with lightwells formed to the front and rear. Small extensions are also proposed at ground and first floor levels.
- 1.2.2 A plan of the proposed development is included in Appendix 1.
- 1.2.3 For the purpose of geotechnical assessment, it is considered that the project could be classified as a Geotechnical Category (GC) 2 site in accordance with BS EN 1997 Part 1.

1.3 Objectives

- 1.3.1 The objectives of Jomas' investigation were as follows:
 - To present a description of the present site status, based upon the published geology, hydrogeology and hydrology of the site and surrounding area;
 - To review readily available historical information (i.e., Ordnance Survey maps and database search information) for the site and surrounding areas;
 - To conduct an intrusive investigation, to assess ground conditions and obtain geotechnical parameters to inform preliminary foundation design;
 - To undertake a Basement Impact Assessment to assess the potential impacts that the proposal may have on ground stability, the hydrogeology and hydrology on the site and its environs.

1.4 Scope of Works

- 1.4.1 The following tasks were undertaken to achieve the objectives listed above:
 - An intrusive investigation to assess the underlying ground conditions;
 - Undertaking of laboratory testing upon soil samples obtained;
 - Return groundwater monitoring;
 - Carrying out a Basement Impact Assessment;
 - The compilation of this report, which collects and discusses the above data, and presents an assessment of the site conditions, conclusions and recommendations.

1.5 Scope of Basement Impact Assessment

- 1.5.1 Jomas has based the methodology of the BIA on the guidance given in the London Borough of Camden document "Camden Planning Guidance Basements" (CPGB) (January 2021).
- 1.5.2 The CPGB differentiates between lower ground floors and basements. Noting that storeys built partially below ground are common around London and especially in Camden, in particular in historic buildings. To be considered a lower ground floor and not a basement the storey must typically:
 - Have a significant proportion above the prevailing ground level,
 - Be accessible from the outside of the building at the front and rear of the property,
 - Form part of the original fabric of a building, and Form part of the character of the area.
- 1.5.3 The proposed development does not meet these criteria so would be deemed a basement and therefore requires a BIA.
- 1.5.4 This BIA covers most items required under CPGB, with the exception of:
 - Plans and sections to show foundation details of adjacent structures.
 - Programme for enabling works, construction and restoration.
 - Evidence of consultation with neighbours.
 - Ground Movement Assessment (GMA), to include assessment of significant adverse impacts and specific mitigation measures required, as well as confirmatory and reasoned statement identifying likely damage to nearby properties according to the Burland Scale.
 - Construction Sequence Methodology.
 - Proposals for monitoring during construction.

- Drainage assessment.
- 1.5.5 This Jomas BIA also takes into account the Campbell Reith pro forma BIA produced on behalf of and published by the London Borough of Camden as guidance for applicants to ensure that all of the required information is provided.
- 1.5.6 A number of the requirements set out in the London Borough of Camden document CPGB will need to be addressed in a construction management plan, this stage is not within the scope of work that Jomas Associates have been commissioned to undertake.

1.6 Supplied Documentation

1.6.1 Jomas Associates have not been supplied with any previously produced reports at the time of writing this report.

1.7 Limitations

- 1.7.1 Jomas Associates Ltd ('Jomas') has prepared this report for the sole use of Verve Concepts Limited in accordance with the generally accepted consulting practices and for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the explicit written agreement of Jomas. No other third party warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report. This report must be used in its entirety.
- 1.7.2 The records search was limited to information available from public sources; this information is changing continually and frequently incomplete. Unless Jomas has actual knowledge to the contrary, information obtained from public sources or provided to Jomas by site personnel and other information sources, have been assumed to be correct. Jomas does not assume any liability for the misinterpretation of information or for items not visible, accessible or present on the subject property at the time of this study.
- 1.7.3 Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data supplied, and any analysis derived from it, there may be conditions at the site that have not been disclosed by the investigation, and could not therefore be taken into account. As with any site, there may be differences in soil conditions between exploratory hole positions. Furthermore, it should be noted that groundwater conditions may vary due to seasonal and other effects and may at times be significantly different from those measured by the investigation. No liability can be accepted for any such variations in these conditions.
- 1.7.4 This report is not an engineering design and the figures and calculations contained in the report should be used by the Structural Engineer, taking note that variations may apply, depending on variations in design loading, in techniques used, and in site conditions. Our recommendations should therefore not supersede the Engineer's design.



2 SITE SETTING & HISTORICAL INFORMATION

2.1 Site Information

2.1.1 The site location plan is appended to this report in Appendix 1.

Name of Site	-
Address of Site	24 Burgess Hill London NW2 2DA
Approx. National Grid Ref.	524989, 185883
Site Area (Approx)	0.04 hectares
Site Ownership	Residential
Site Occupation	London Borough of Camden
Local Authority	Residential with a basement located within the footprint of the building
Proposed Site Use	24 Burgess Hill London NW2 2DA

Table 2.1: Site Information

2.2 Desk Study Overview

- 2.2.1 A Desk Study report has been produced for the site, by Jomas dated 27 June 2024, and issued separately. A brief overview of the desk study findings is presented below. Reference should be made to the full report for detailed information.
- 2.2.2 On the earliest available map (1871), the site is undeveloped, presumably used for agricultural purposes. The site becomes part of Burgess Park in 1894. By the map dated 1915 a single building has been built in the middle of the site. No observational changes then occur to the site until the most recent map dated 2024.
- 2.2.3 The surrounding area has comprised mainly for agricultural land until the late 1800s when the surrounding area was used as park and few ponds were within 250m. Ponds were infilled by the maps dated 1915 with residential properties having been built surrounding the site. Residential development of the area continued until the middle of the century, after which there have been no significant changes in land use.
- 2.2.4 The British Geological Survey indicates that the site is directly underlain by solid deposits of the London Clay Formation, identified as Unproductive in terms of aquifer designation.
- 2.2.5 There are no artificial deposits reported within the site area.
- 2.2.6 A review of the EnviroInsight report indicates that the site is located within an EA Flood Zone 1.

- 2.2.7 There are no water networks or surface water features reported within 250m of the site.
- 2.2.8 The site is not within an area with a RoFRaS rating.
- 2.2.9 Groundsure states that the site is at negligible risk of both surface water and groundwater flooding.
- 2.2.10 An intrusive ground investigation is recommended to confirm the ground conditions and groundwater levels (if any) beneath the site, as well as to inform foundation design.



2.3 Basement Impact Assessment (Screening and Scoping)

- 2.3.1 In addition to the Preliminary Risk Assessment carried out as part of the Desk Study, the Screening and Scoping stage of the Basement Impact Assessment was also carried out.
- 2.3.2 Screening identifies the area that require further (usually intrusive) investigation whilst Scoping is the activity of defining in further detail the matters to be investigated as part of the BIA process. Scoping comprises of the definition of the required investigation needed in order to determine in detail the nature and significance of the potential impacts identified during screening.
- 2.3.3 These issues are summarised below:
- 2.3.4 The proposed development will comprise a basement within the existing footprint of the building and tow lightwells formed within areas of existing hardstanding. As a result, there is unlikely to be an increase in the proportion of hardstanding areas and it is not considered necessary to undertake further assessment in relation to the proposed changes to areas of external hardstanding.
- 2.3.5 The site was considered to be at low risk of flooding based on historic flooding
- 2.3.6 The published geological maps indicate that the site is directly underlain by solid deposits of the London Clay Formation. These soils may be prone to seasonal shrink-swell, and mitigation against this may need to be incorporated into basement design. This should be confirmed by an intrusive investigation.
- 2.3.7 The proposed basement excavation will be within 5m of neighbouring properties.
- 2.3.8 Unavoidable lateral ground movements associated with the basement excavations must be controlled during temporary and permanent works so as not to impact adversely on the stability of the surrounding ground, any associated services and structures.
- 2.3.9 It is recommended that the site is supported by suitably designed temporary support with a basement box construction. This will ensure that the adjacent land is adequately supported in the temporary and permanent construction. Alternatively, the excavation should proceed in a manner that maintains the integrity of the ground on all sides.
- 2.3.10 Careful and regular monitoring of the structure will need to be undertaken during the construction phase to ensure that vertical movements do not adversely affect the above property. If necessary, the works may have to be carried out in stages with the above structure suitably propped and supported.
- 2.3.11 Full details of the suitable engineering design of the scheme in addition to an appropriate construction method statement should be submitted by the Developer to the London Borough of Camden.



- 2.3.12 Groundsure data indicates that there are no tunnels reported within 250m of the site.
- 2.4 Previous Site Investigations
- 2.4.1 No previous site investigation reports were provided at the time of writing.

3 GROUND INVESTIGATION

3.1 Scope of Ground Investigation

- 3.1.1 The ground investigation was undertaken on 7th August 2024, with a supplementary ground investigation undertaken on 3rd April 2025.
- 3.1.2 The work was undertaken in accordance with BS5930:2015+A1:2020 'Code of Practice for Site Investigation' and BS10175 'Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites', NHBC Standards, Chapter 4.1 and AGS Guidelines for Good Practice in Site Investigations.
- 3.1.3 The investigation focused on collecting data on the following:
 - Quality of Made Ground/ natural ground within the site boundaries;
 - Presence of groundwater beneath the site (if any), perched or otherwise;
 - Obtaining geotechnical parameters to allow initial design to take place;
 - Obtaining information relevant to the assessment of risk posed to the proposed basement identified during the Screening and Scoping stage of the BIA.
- 3.1.4 A summary of the fieldwork carried out at the site, with justifications for exploratory hole positions, are offered in Table 3.1 below.

Date	Investigation Type	No. of Exploratory Holes Achieved	Exploratory Hole Designation	Depth Achieved (m BGL)	Justification	
August 2024	Windowless Sampler Boreholes	2	WS1- 2	Up to 8.0 m bgl	To log ground conditions to the front (east) of the property/proposed basement. Obtain shallow samples for laboratory contamination and geotechnical testing. To allow in-situ geotechnical testing.	
	Monitoring Wells	2	WS1- 2	Up to 5m bgl	Groundwater monitoring wells. WS1 and 2 - response zone in the London Clay Formation.	
April 2025	Hand-held Window Sampler Boreholes	3	WS101– 103	Up to 4.7m bgl	To log ground conditions to the rear (west) of the property/proposed basement. To allow in-situ geotechnical testing.	

Table 3.1: Scope of Intrusive Investigation

²⁴ Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DA

- 3.1.5 The exploratory holes were completed to allow soil samples to be taken in the areas of interest identified in Table 8.1 above. In all cases, all holes were logged in accordance with BS5930:2015.
- 3.1.6 Exploratory hole positions were located surveyed to national grid reference, as shown in the exploratory hole location plan presented in Appendix 1. The exploratory hole records are included in Appendix 2.

3.2 In-situ Geotechnical Testing

- 3.2.1 In-situ geotechnical testing included Standard Penetration Tests. The determined 'N' values have been used to determine the relative density of granular materials and have been used with standard correlations to infer various other derived geotechnical parameters including the undrained shear strength of the cohesive strata. The results of the individual tests are on the appropriate exploratory hole logs in Appendix 2.
- 3.2.2 Within hand-held window sampler boreholes WS101-103, the undrained shear strength of the recovered materials was measured using a hand shear vane. Results are included on the exploratory hole logs in Appendix 2.

3.3 Laboratory Analysis

3.3.1 A programme of laboratory testing, scheduled by Jomas, was carried out on selected samples of Made Ground and natural strata.

Geotechnical Laboratory Testing

- 3.3.2 In addition to the contamination assessment, soil samples were submitted to the UKAS Accredited laboratory of K4 Soils Ltd for a series of analyses.
- 3.3.3 This testing was specifically designed to:
 - to classify the samples; and
 - to obtain parameters (either directly or sufficient to allow relevant correlations to be used) relevant to the technical objectives of the investigation.
- 3.3.4 The following laboratory geotechnical testing (as summarised in Table 3.4) was carried out:

Methodology	Test Description	Number of tests
BS1377:1990	Moisture Content Determination	3
BS1377:1990	Liquid and Plastic Limit Determination (Atterberg Limits)	3

Table 3.4 Laboratory Geotechnical Analysis

- 3.3.5 In addition, 4No. soil samples were sent to the UKAS and MCerts accredited laboratory of Derwentside Environmental Testing Services Ltd and analysed for a modified BRE Special Digest 1 suite (acid and water soluble sulphate, total sulphur and pH) to assist with the ACEC classification for buried concrete.
- 3.3.6 The results of the geotechnical laboratory testing are presented as Appendix 4 and discussed in Section 9 of this report.



4 GROUND CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED

- 4.1.1 A factual record of the conditions encountered during the physical investigation of the site is presented in the following section.
- 4.1.2 For further details of the ground conditions, reference should be made to the exploratory hole location plan presented in Appendix 2, exploratory hole logs presented in Appendix 2, the geotechnical testing results in Appendix 3 and the chemical testing results in Appendix 4.

4.2 Ground Conditions

4.2.1 The ground conditions encountered were broadly consistent with those anticipated, i.e. a thickness of Made Ground overlying the London Clay Formation, and are summarised in Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 below.

Stratum and Description	Encountered from (m bgl)	Base of strata (m bgl)	Thickness range (m)
Granite paving slabs over Concrete. (MADE GROUND)	0.0	0.25	0.25
Light brown gravelly sand. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel consists of fine to coarse, angular to sub- rounded flint and brick. (MADE GROUND) Encountered in WS2 only.	0.25	0.60	0.45
Orangish brown sandy gravelly clay with occasional rootlets. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel consists of sub-angular to sub-rounded flint with occasional brick. (MADE GROUND)	0.25-0.60	0.80-0.90	0.30-0.55
Soft becoming stiff consistency** light brown mottled brown CLAY. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION).	0.80-0.90	6.00 - 6.70	5.10-5.90
Stiff consistency** grey to dark CLAY. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION).	6.00 - 6.70	>8 [base not proven]	>2.0 - >2.3 [thickness not proven]

Table 4.1: Ground Conditions Encountered – WS1 & WS2

**Consistency estimated using semi-empirical correlations with SPT N-values, Plasticity Indices and published literature.



Stratum and Description	Encountered from (m bgl)	Base of strata (m bgl)	Thickness range (m)
Grass over dark brown to black sandy gravelly clay. Sand is fine to medium. Gravel consists of fine to coarse, angular to rounded flint and brick. (MADE GROUND)	0.0	1.0 - 2.0	1.0 - 2.0
Brownish grey clay. (POSSIBLY REWORKED LONDON CLAY FORMATION). Encountered in WS101 only.	1.0	1.5	0.5
Firm to stiff^ brown CLAY. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION).	1.5 - 2.0	>3.0 – >4.7 [base not proven]	>1.0 – >3.2 [thickness not proven]

^Consistency based on hand shear vane measurements.

4.2.2 Deeper Made Ground deposits were encountered in the west of site. This is likely due to the topography of the surrounding area, which generally slopes down towards the west). This was evident in WS101, WS102 and WS103 being undertaken progressively further west, with corresponding deepening Made Ground.

4.3 Hydrogeology

- 4.3.1 Groundwater was not encountered during the fieldworks.
- 4.3.2 2No. return monitoring visits were undertaken on 21st and 28th August 2024. The results are summarised below.

Exploratory Hole ID	Depth Encountered (mbgl)	Depth to Base of Well (mbgl)	Stratum
WS1	3.20-3.24	5.25	London Clay Formation
WS2	3.07-3.09	5.33-5.55	London Clay Formation

Table 4.3: Groundwater Monitoring Records

4.3.3 The groundwater encountered during post-investigation monitoring is considered to represent perched water bodies from the London Clay Formation, or surface water having flowed towards the site and collected in the wells.



4.3.4 It should be noted that changes in groundwater levels can occur for a number of reasons including seasonal effects and variations in drainage. Such fluctuations may only be recorded by the measurement of the groundwater level within a standpipe or piezometer installed within appropriate response zones. Changes in groundwater level can have a direct effect on excavation stability and dewatering requirements, and cohesive soils can soften under rising or high groundwater levels.

4.4 Physical and Olfactory Evidence of Contamination

4.4.1 No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed during the course of the investigation.

4.5 Limitations

4.5.1 During the intrusive ground investigation, no impenetrable obstructions were encountered. However, the possible presence of natural and/or manmade obstructions on site cannot be discounted.



5 DERIVATION OF GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 A summary of ground conditions obtained from the ground investigation and the derived geotechnical parameters is provided below.

5.2 Plasticity of Cohesive Materials

- 5.2.1 Atterberg Limit determination was undertaken on 1No sample of the Made Ground at a depth of 0.5mbgl, and 2No samples of the London Clay Formation, at depths of 3.5m and 7.0m bgl.
- 5.2.2 A Plasticity Index value of 32% was recorded in the Made Ground, whilst values of 29% and 31% were recorded in the London Clay Formation, indicative of soils of intermediate plasticity, as illustrated in Figure 5.1 below.
- 5.2.3 Modified Plasticity Index values in these strata ranged from 28.16% to 31%, indicating soils with medium volume change potential.



Figure 5.1: Plasticity Chart

24 Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DA Ground Investigation & Basement Impact Assessment P5943J3029 – September 2024



5.4 Undrained Shear Strength

- 5.4.1 Standard Penetration Tests were undertaken at regular intervals throughout the windowless sample boreholes. The results of the SPTs have been used to infer the undrained shear strength using the correlation suggested by Stroud (1974).
- 5.4.2 As discussed above, the N values recorded in the clay vary with depth, this infers that the undrained shear strength of the clay similarly varies. Figure 5.2 below shows the undrained shear strength inferred by the correlation suggested by Stroud (1974);

 $c_u = f_1 x N$ can be applied,

in which

c_u = mass shear strength (kN)

- f_1 = constant
- N = SPT value achieved during boring operations
- 5.4.3 In the above equation f_1 is dependent on the plasticity of the material that the SPT is being carried out in. As the plasticity indices were shown to be greater than 25% a value for f_1 of 4.5 has been adopted after Tomlinson (2001)
- 5.4.4 The graph below shows the shear strength profile of the encountered cohesive materials at the site, based on the SPT to shear strength correlation described above.
- 5.4.5 Hand shear vane tests were undertaken to measure the undrained shear strength of the recovered materials from WS101-103; these are also included in the graph below.

SECTION 5 DERIVATION OF GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS





Figure 5.2: Undrained Shear Strength v Depth

- 5.4.6 As shown above, the shear strength of the London Clay Formation inferred from SPTs increases progressively with depth.
- 5.4.7 As expected the directly measured undrained shear strength values are slightly better than those inferred from SPTs.

5.5 Coefficient of Compressibility

5.5.1 Stroud and Butler (1974) developed a relationship between the coefficient of compressibility (m_v) and SPT N-value.

 $m_v = 1/f_2 \times N$ can be applied,

in which

 m_v = coefficient of compressibility (m²/MN)

- f_2 = constant dependent on the plasticity index
- N = SPT value achieved during boring operations

24 Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DA



- 5.5.2 Using the plasticity indices obtained and the graphs provided in Tomlinson (2001) a value of f_2 of 0.45 has been taken and used with the SPT N-values to infer coefficient of compressibility (m_v).
- 5.5.3 Where the undrained shear strength of the clays was measured by hand shear vane, the m_v value was calculated by rearranging the equations for f_1 and f_2 and substituting in the measured undrained shear strength.



Figure 5.3: Coefficient of Volume Compressibility (mv) v Depth

- 5.5.4 As shown above, the values reduce with depth as the clay increases in strength and the over burden increases, reducing the potential for compressibility.
- 5.5.5 The shallow deposits of the London Clay Formation are generally of "medium compressibility". This is considered to be due to a combination of weathering and softening of the upper horizon of the London Clay, and the lack of overburden pressure at shallow depth allowing the clays to relax and so compress slightly when loaded.



5.6 Density

- 5.6.1 In the absence of geotechnical laboratory test results, the correlations and suggested values for both cohesive and granular materials given in BS8004:2015 have been used.
- 5.6.2 The derived Unit Weights are summarised below in Table 5-1.

Strata	Unit Weight (kN/m³)
Made Ground	17
London Clay Formation	19

5.7 Effective Angle of Shearing Resistance / Angle of Friction

5.7.1 In cohesive soils, the effective angle of shearing resistance can be derived from the plasticity index of the soil, using the following equation presented in BS8004:2015.

$$\phi' = 42 - (12.5 x LOG10(PI))$$

Where PI = Plasticity Index.

5.7.2 Values have been calculated for all available Plasticity Index results and are presented in Table 5-2.

Sample WS1 – 0.5m WS2 – 3.5m WS2 – 7m	Stratum	Derived Angle of Shearing Resistance (°)		
WS1 – 0.5m	Made Ground	23.2		
WS2 – 3.5m	London Clay Formation	23.4		
WS2 – 7m	London Clay Formation	23.7		

Table 5-2: Derived Angles of Shearing Resistance

5.8 Stiffness Moduli

5.8.1 In cohesive soils of the London Clay Formation, the undrained stiffness modulus (Young's Modulus) can be derived using the correlation with undrained shear strength as postulated by Jardine et al. (1985):

$$\underline{Eu} (kPa) = 400 * Cu$$

5.8.2 The drained Young's Modulus for the London Clay Formation can then be derived from Eu, as follows:



 $\underline{E' = 0.6 * Eu}$

5.9 Summary of Derived General Properties

5.9.1 Based on the analysis of the ground investigation data and past experience with similar deposits, the following derived general parameters are given in Table 5-3.

Table 5-3: Derived General Parameters

Property	Made Ground	London Clay Formation
Unit Weight ¹⁾	17	19
Drained Friction, ϕ' (°)	23.22)	23.4-23.7 ²⁾
Drained Cohesion, c' (kPa)	0	0
SPT N-value	-	5-30
Undrained Young's Modulus, E_u (MPa) $^{4)}$	-	9.0 - 54.0
Drained Young's Modulus E' (MPa)	-	5.4 - 32.4 ⁵⁾
Undrained Shear Strength, c_u (kPa) $^{6)}$	-	22.5-135
Undrained Shear Strength, c_u (kPa) $^{7)}$	-	68-148
Plasticity Index (%)	32	29-31
Modified Plasticity Index (%)	28.16	29-31
Volume Change Potential [NHBC]	Medium	Medium
Modulus of Volume Compressibility, m_v $(m^2/MN)^{8)}$	-	0.068 - 0.444

 $^{\rm 1)}$ Derived from Figures 1 and 2 of BS8004:2015

²⁾ Calculated from: $\varphi' = (42^\circ - 12.5 \log 10/_p)$ for 5% $\leq I_p \leq 100\%$ Where, I_p is the soil's plasticity index (BS8004:2015).

³⁾ Calculated from Table 1 of BS8004:2015

 $^{\rm 4)}$ Calculated from: E_u = 1.2 N MPa, based on the guidance given in CIRIA Report 143.

⁵⁾ Calculated from E' = 0.9 N MPa, based on the guidance given in CIRIA Report 143.

⁶⁾ The undrained shear strength (c_u) of the cohesive soils was correlated to the SPT N-values using Stroud (1974), where c_u=f₁N and f₁ is factor related to the Plasticity Index (PI) of the clay (a value of f₁ equal to 5.0 for PI \leq 25% and a value of f₁ value equal to 4.5 for PI>25).

 $^{\mbox{\tiny 7)}}$ Direct measurements following use of hand shear vane

⁸⁾ Calculated from: $m_v = 1/f_2 N m^2/MN$, f_2 is a coefficient proposed by Stroud and Butler (1975) and varies with Plasticity Index (PI) as presented in Figure 27 of CIRIA Report 27 or $10/c_u$.



6 GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 General

6.1.1 Subsequent to intrusive investigation of the site and receipt of the laboratory test results, the following geotechnical assessments have been made.

6.2 Proposed Foundations

<u>General</u>

- 6.2.1 The Made Ground deposits are not considered to provide suitable bearing strata due to their variability and the unacceptable risk of total and differential settlement.
- 6.2.2 All foundations should be deepened beneath these deposits, soft clay, root or desiccated zones, or disturbed ground, and founded within underlying competent strata.
- 6.2.3 As soils of medium volume change potential are present, heave precautions will be required against the side of foundations and ground beams in accordance with the requirements set out in NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2.

Conventional Foundations

- 6.2.4 It is considered that cast in-situ cantilever retaining walls may be formed within the London Clay Formation at a depth of 4.0m bgl for an allowable bearing capacity of 125kPa. Total and differential settlements should be contained within tolerable limits.
- 6.2.5 If foundations span different strata, e.g. sand and clay, they should either be deepened to terminate in a single soil stratum, or suitable reinforcement included (to be detailed by the Structural Engineer).
- 6.2.6 Foundations greater than 2.50m deep require structure-specific design by a structural engineer. In this instance, consideration could be given to the use of piled foundations instead, which might be preferable in terms of economy and practicality.
- 6.2.7 Where any unexpected or soft ground conditions are encountered during the groundworks, works in that area should cease and the advice of a suitably qualified geotechnical engineer sought.

6.3 Retaining Walls

- 6.3.1 It is anticipated that retaining structure(s) will be required.
- 6.3.2 Based on the analysis of the available site investigation data and past experience with similar deposits the parameters in Table 6.2 are considered appropriate for the potential retaining structure(s).

	Made Ground	London Clay Formation
Critical state angle of shearing resistance $(\phi')^{\circ}$	23	23
Effective Cohesion kN/m ²	0	0
Saturated Bulk Weight (γ _{sat}) kN/m ³	17	19

Table 6-1: Geotechnical Parameters for Retaining Wall Design

- 6.3.3 In addition, the specialist contractor should ensure the stability of the cut-face during the temporary works.
- 6.3.4 As an alternative to cantilever retaining walls, fully embedded retaining walls comprising a contiguous/secant piled basement box could be formed. The piles would need to act as retaining walls as well as carry the structural loadings. The piles should be designed to withstand the earth pressures, and still meet the required structural requirements regarding issues such as deflection, deformation and bending.
- 6.3.5 To provide sufficient support for the excavation, it is recommended that un-propped piles are formed to at least three times the depth of excavation.
- 6.3.6 If these piles can be suitably propped, then this depth may be reduced. Suitable propping could be provided by the basement floor and the ground floor if they are suitably tied into the piles and suitably reinforced. This may require specialist construction techniques.

6.4 Aggressive Ground Conditions

- 6.4.1 Sulphate attack on building foundations occurs where sulphate solutions react with the various products of hydration in Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) or converted High-Alumina Cement (HAC). The reaction is expansive, and therefore disruptive, not only due to the formation of minute cracks, but also due to loss of cohesion in the matrix.
- 6.4.2 In accordance with BRE Special Digest 1, the characteristic values of sulphate used to determine the concrete classification are determined using the methodology summarised in the table below.

Table 6-2: Concrete in the Ground Characteristic Value Determination

No Samples in the dataset	Method for determining the sulphate characteristic value
1 - 4	Highest value
5 - 9	Mean of the top 2No highest results
10 or greater	Mean of the top 20% highest results



6.4.3 Table 6-3 summarises the analysis of the aggressive nature of the ground for each of the strata encountered within the ground investigation.

Stratum	No Samples	pH range	Characteristic WS Sulphate (mg/l)	Characteristic Total Potential Sulphate (%) ¹⁾	Design Sulphate Class	ACEC Class
Made Ground	1	7.8	12	n/a	DS-1	AC-1s
London Clay Formation	3	6.7-7.8	41	n/a	DS-2	AC-1s

Table 6-3: Concrete in the Ground Classes

1) Applies to soils containing more than 0.3% of oxidisable sulphides, calculated in accordance with BRE SD-1

- 6.4.4 Analysis of the results indicates that the underlying soils do not contain appreciable concentrations of oxidisable sulphates and therefore the Design Class is dependent on the soluble sulphate content and pH only.
- 6.4.5 It should be noted that the BGS description of the London Clay Formation notes that it includes "disseminated pyrite". It is therefore common practice to ensure that buried concrete formed in London Clay Formation has a Design Sulphate Class of at least DS-2.
- 6.4.6 The concrete structures, including foundations, will need to be designed in accordance with BS EN 1992-1-1:2004+A1:2014. It is recommended that the advice of this publication be taken for the design and specification of all sub-surface concrete.

6.5 Ground Floor Slabs

- 6.5.1 It is anticipated that finished floor level of the proposed basement will be approximately 4.0m below the existing ground floor level.
- 6.5.2 If a cantilever retaining wall is utilised, then a ground bearing floor slab could be used. In this case, formations of the structures should be inspected by a competent person. Any loose or soft material should be removed and replaced with well-graded, properly compacted granular fill or lean mix concrete. The formation should be blinded if left exposed for more than a few hours or if inclement weather is experienced.
- 6.5.3 If a piled option is utilised then suspended floor slabs will be required. The loadings from the suspended floor slab will need to be carried by the foundations, which will need to be designed to not only carry the structural loadings but the additional floor loadings.
- 6.5.4 All floor slabs would also need to be suitably reinforced, not only to distribute the structural loading but also to ensure that the floor slab can prop the retaining walls and does not buckle from the lateral pressures imposed by the cantilever retaining walls.



6.5.5The floor slab (and basement walls) would need to be constructed to conform to BS:
8102 (2009).

6.6 Excavations

- 6.6.1 Temporary excavations within the cohesive soils are likely to remain relatively stable in the short term though some spalling may be anticipated.
- 6.6.2 Ground works should always be designed in such a manner to avoid entry into excavations by construction or maintenance personnel. However, in the event that such works cannot be avoided or designed out, they should only be undertaken in accordance with a safe system of work, following an appropriate risk assessment and in accordance with any legislative requirements, e.g. Confined Spaces Regulations.

6.7 Groundwater Control

- 6.7.1 Groundwater was not reported during drilling of the boreholes
- 6.7.2 During return monitoring groundwater was reported at depths of between 3.07m and 3.24mbgl. It is considered that this represents a perched water body, as opposed to the natural groundwater table.
- 6.7.3 Given that the London Clay Formation is reported as an Unproductive stratum, it is unlikely that significant quantities of groundwater would be encountered during construction, though surface water/rainfall ingress into excavations is unlikely to drain away quickly.
- 6.7.4 Subject to seasonal variations, any groundwater, surface water or rainfall ingress encountered during site works could be readily dealt with by conventional pumping from a sump used to collate waters.

7 BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

7.1 Geological Impact

- 7.1.1 The published geological maps indicate that the site is directly underlain by the London Clay Formation. Given that the site has been developed previously, a thickness of Made Ground was also expected to be present overlying the natural soils.
- 7.1.2 Due to the topography of the surrounding area, which generally slopes down towards the west, deeper Made Ground was expected in the west of site (i.e. in the rear garden).
- 7.1.3 The ground conditions were confirmed by the ground investigation which reported Made Ground ranging from 0.8m to 2.0m thick, overlying the London Clay Formation to a maximum proven depth of 8m bgl. The proposed basement will be founded within the London Clay Formation.
- 7.1.4 As expected, progressively deeper deposits of Made Ground were encountered within boreholes from east to west.
- 7.1.5 Laboratory testing indicates that the underlying cohesive soils are of medium volume change potential. Heave precautions will be required in accordance with the guidance set out in NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2.

7.2 Hydrology and Hydrogeology Impact

- 7.2.1 Based on all the information available at the time of writing, the risk of flooding from groundwater is considered to be low. The proposed basement is unlikely to have a detectable impact on the local groundwater regime. Appropriate water proofing measures should be included within the whole of the proposed basement wall/floor design as a precaution.
- 7.2.2 The London Clay Formation is classed as Unproductive, and the creation of the basement is considered unlikely to have any impact upon the hydrogeology of the area.
- 7.2.3 The proposed development will lie outside of flood risk zones and is therefore assessed as being at a very low probability of fluvial flooding.
- 7.2.4 There are no surface water features on or in the immediate vicinity of the site. It is therefore not anticipated that the site will make any impact upon the hydrology of the area.
- 7.2.5 The proposed basement construction is considered unlikely to create a reduction of impermeable area in the post development scenario.
- 7.2.6 No risk of flooding to the site from artificial sources has been identified.



7.3 Impacts of Basement on Adjacent Properties and Pavement

- 7.3.1 Impacts such as changes to areas of external hardstanding and past flooding are addressed within the Stage 1 & 2 (Screening and Scoping) Basement Impact Assessment for 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW2 2DA (Jomas Associates Ltd, P5943J3029/RAY, June 2024).
- 7.3.2 Impacts to adjacent properties will be assessed under separate cover by production of a Ground Movement Assessment report.
- 7.3.3 Full details of the suitable engineering design of the scheme in addition to an appropriate construction method statement should be submitted by the Developer to the London Borough of Camden.

7.4 Cumulative Impacts

- 7.4.1 The site has been identified as being directly underlain by unproductive London Clay Formation.
- 7.4.2 Such materials prevent the movement of groundwater and the ingress of surface water into the ground.
- 7.4.3 The development of the basement will therefore not significantly affect the groundwater flow on site or in the surrounding area.

7.5 Conclusion

- 7.5.1 The overall assessment of the site is that the creation of a basement for the existing development will not adversely impact the site or its immediate environs, providing measures are taken to protect surrounding land and properties during construction.
- 7.5.2 The proposed development is not expected to cause significant problems to the subterranean drainage.

8 REFERENCES

BRE Report BR211; Radon: Guidance on protective measures for new buildings, 2023

British Standards Institution (1999) BS 5930:2015 Code of practice for site investigations. Milton Keynes: BSI

Code of Practice for Site Investigations BS5930: 2015

Environment Agency (2020) Land contamination risk management (LCRM)

Groundsure EnviroInsight Report Ref GS-ITJ-XAJ-QR8-XWJ May 2024

Groundsure GeoInsight Report Ref GS-ITJ-XAJ-QR8-XWJ May 2024

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government: *National Planning Policy Framework.* February 2019

Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites – Code of Practice BS10175: 2011

Jomas Associates Ltd (April 2019) "Desk Study & Basement Impact Assessment for Whetstone Delivery Office, Oakleigh Road North, London, N20 9EY"

London Borough of Camden (March 2018) "Camden Planning Guidance Basements"

Campbell Reith (March 2018) "Pro Forma Basement Impact Assessment", London Borough of Camden



APPENDICES



APPENDIX 1 – FIGURES

JUMAS ENGINEERING LAND REMEDIATION

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK



JUMAS ENGINEERING LAND REMEDIATION

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK





APENDIX 2 – EXPLORATORY HOLE RECORDS

		\\/		۸/	١F٩٩	5 5 4	МР					mber
ENVIRON	MENTAL EDIATION			v v	LLJ		\ I V I I				VV5	1
^{>} roject Nam	ne: 24 Burg	ess Hill		Clier	nt: Verve C	oncepts	Limited		Date: 07	/08/2024		
_ocation: 24	I Burgess ⊢	lill, London	n, NW2 2DA	Logg	jed by: RA	Y			Co-ords	E525002.22 N	N185883.95	-
Project No.	: P5943J30	29		Crew	v Name: M	D			Drilling E	Equipment: Wir	ndowless sampl	er
Log S FIN	tatus AL	Hole	e Type WS		Level 84.07m Ad	D	Appro J	oved By LW		Scale 1:30	Page Num Sheet 1 o	ber of 2
Well Wate	er Sam	ple and In	Situ Testing		Depth	Level	Legend		Stra	tum Description		
Strike	es Depth (m) Type	Results		(m)	(m)		Cranite pay	ing slabs ())	_
					0.10	83.97		Concrete. (I	MADE GRO	DUND))	+
0.35 ES 0.50 D 0.50 ES				0.25	83.82		Brown sand is fine to co	ly gravelly o arse. Grave	lay with occasio consists of fine	nal rootlets. Sand to coarse, sub-		
						angular to s (MADE GR	sub-rounded OUND)	I flint, with occas	ional brick.	-		
	0.75	ES			0.00	00.07						-
					0.80	83.27		Soft becom mottled bro	ing stiff con wn CLAY. (l	sistency** light o ONDON CLAY	rangish brown FORMATION)	-
	1.00	ES						-			,	- 1
	1.20	SPT	N=7 (1,1/1,2,	2,2)				1				-
	1.50							-				-
	1.00							1				-
								-				-
	2.00	SPT	N=11 (2,2/2,3	,3,3)				1				-2
							E	-				-
								-				-
	2.50	D						-				-
								-				-
	3.00	SPT	N=13 (2 2/3 3	34)				-				_ 3
				,0,.,			EEE	-				
								1				-
	3.50	D					E	-				-
	3.50	ES						-				-
								-				-
	4.00	SPT	N=14 (2,3/3,3	,4,4)				-				- 4
								-				-
	4.50	D						-				-
								1				-
								-				-
	5.00	SPT	N=17 (3,3/3,4	,5,5)			EEE	-				- 5
								-				-
								-				-
	5.50	D						1				-
								-				-
	6.00	SPT	N=24 (3,4/5,6	,6,7)								6
l Remarks: *Field descrij **Consistenc iterature.	otion. y estimated	l using semi-	l empirical corre	elatior	ns with SPT	N-values,	I Plasticity In	I ndices and pu	ublished	Key: ES - Environme D - Small Distur B - Bulk Disturb PID - Photo-ion	ntal Sample rbed Sample bed Sample visation Detector I	Reading
		ww	Unit 24 S w.jomasass	arun ociat	JOM/ n Comple tes.com	AS ASSC x, Salisk 0333-30	OCIATES L Dury Road 05-9054 i	TD d, Uxbridg nfo@joma	e UB8 2R asassocia	Z tes.com		

Jomas Associates Ltd Registered in England and Wales No. 7095350

		AS RING ENTAL	WI	NDO	W	LESS	S SA	MP	LER	REC	ORD	Borehole N	umber
Projec	ct Name	24 Burge	ess Hill		Clie	nt: Verve C	oncepts	Limited		Date: 07	/08/2024		
Locati	on: 24 E	Burgess H	ill, Londor	n, NW2 2DA	Log	ged by: RA	Y			Co-ords:	E525002.22 N	185883.95	
Projec	ct No. : F	25943J30	29		Crev	w Name: M	D			Drilling E	quipment: Win	idowless samp	oler
	Log Sta	tus	Hol	e Type ws		Level 84 07m Ac	D	Appro	oved By		Scale	Page Nur Sheet 2	nber of 2
	Water	Sam	ple and In	Situ Testing	9	Depth	Level					0110012	
Well	Strikes	Depth (m) Type	e Results	3	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stra	tum Description		
		6.50 7.00 7.50 7.50	D SPT D ES	N=24 (3,4/5,6	6,6,7)	6.70	77.37		Soft becomi mottled brow	ing stiff con wn CLAY. (L ency** grey N)	sistency** light o ONDON CLAY F	rangish brown FORMATION) N CLAY	7
8.00		SPT	N=30 (5,6/6,7	7,8,9)	8.00	76.07			End of	Borehole at 8.00)m	- 8	
													- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
													- 10 - - - - -
													- 11 - - - - - - - -
Remar *Field **Con literatu	ks: descripti sistency e ure.	on. estimated (using semi-	empirical corr	elatio	ons with SPT I	N-values,	Plasticity In	dices and pu	ıblished	Key: ES - Environme D - Small Distur B - Bulk Disturb PID - Photo-ion	ntal Sample bed Sample ed Sample isation Detector	- 12
				Init 34 G	Saru	JOM/ m Comple	AS ASSC	CIATES L	TD 1 Uybrida		7		
			ww Jo	w.jomasass	iates	ites.com	0333-30 tered in	5-9054 in England	nfo@joma and Wale	s No. 709	- tes.com 95350		

		۱۸/۱		/۸/	I F S G	5 5 4	ЛЛD		RFC		Borehole Nu	mbei
ENVIRC	ONMENTAL EMEDIATION										VV52	2
oject N	lame: 24 Burg	gess Hill		Clier	nt: Verve C	oncepts	Limited		Date: 0	7/08/2024		
cation:	24 Burgess	Hill, Londor	n, NW2 2DA	Logo	ged by: RA	Y			Co-ords	: E525002.50 N	185879.54	
oject N	lo. : P5943J3	029		Crev	v Name: M	ID			Drilling	Equipment: Wir	ndowless sampl	er
Log F	g Status FINAL	Hol	e Iype WS		Level 84.08m A	oD	Appro Jl	oved By LW		Scale 1:30	Page Num Sheet 1 o	ber f 2
Wa	ater San	ple and In	Situ Testing	9	Depth	Level	Legend		Str	atum Description		
Str	rikes Depth	(m) Type	Results	3	(m)	(m)		Cranita nov				_
					0.10	83.98		Concrete. (I	MADE GR	(MADE GROUN OUND)	D)	+
	0.30) ES			0.25	83.83		Light brown	gravelly s	and. Sand is fine	to coarse. Gravel	-
	0.50							and brick. (I	MADE GR	OUND)		F
	0.50				0.60	83.48		Orangish br rootlets, Sa	own sandy	gravelly clay with coarse. Gravel	h occasional consists of fine to	-
	0.7				0.90	83.18		coarse, sub brick. (MAD	-angular to	sub-rounded flin	t, with occasional	ļ
•	1.00) ES						Soft becomi	ing firm co	nsistency** light c	orangish brown	1
	1.20) SPT	N=5 (1,1/1,1	,1,2)				mottied bio				F
												F
												-
												-
												-
	2.00) D) SPT	N=10 (2.2/2.2	2.3.3)								-2
				,-,-,								-
												-
												F
												+
												-
	3.00) D) SPT	N=14 (2,3/3,3	3,4,4)								- 3
												-
												F
												F
												ŀ
												-
-	4.00) D) ES										-4
	4.00) SPT	N=15 (2,3/4,3	3,4,4)								F
							<u> </u>					-
												-
							F					Ł
												-
<u>الأ</u>	5.00 5.00) D) SPT	N=16 (3,3/4,4	4,4,4)								-5
												-
												-
												F
												ŀ
												-
¥//	6.00) D			6.00	78.08						+6
marks: eld des Consiste rature.	scription. ency estimated	l using semi-	empirical corre	elatio	ns with SPT	N-values,	Plasticity In	dices and pu	ıblished	Key: ES - Environme D - Small Distur B - Bulk Disturb PID - Photo-ion	ntal Sample bed Sample ed Sample isation Detector I	Read
marks: eld deso Consiste rature.	6.00 scription. ency estimated	D D	empirical corre Unit 24 S w.jomasass	elation Sarur	6.00 ns with SPT JOM n Comple tes.com	78.08 N-values, AS ASSC ex, Salisk 0333-30	Plasticity In OCIATES L DURY Roac	dices and pu TD I, Uxbridg nfo@joma	iblished e UB8 2F	Key: ES - Environme D - Small Distur B - Bulk Disturb PID - Photo-ion	ntal Sample bed Sample ed Sample isation Detect	or

Jomas Associates Ltd Registered in England and Wales No. 7095350

			WI	NDO	W	LESS	S SA	MP	LER	REC	ORD	Borehole Nun	nber
Proie	<mark>о кемес</mark> ct Name	24 Burge	ess Hill		Clie	nt: Verve C	oncepts	Limited		Date: 07	//08/2024		
Locat	ion: 24 E	Burgess H	lill, Londor	n, NW2 2DA	Log	ged by: RA	Y			Co-ords	: E525002.50 N	185879.54	
Proje	ct No. : F	P5943J30	29		Crev	v Name: M	D			Drilling E	illing Equipment: Windowless sampler		
	Log Sta	tus	Hol	е Туре		Level	- D	Appro	oved By		Scale	Page Numb	er
	FINAI Water	- Samı	ole and In	WS Situ Testino	 1	84.08m Ad		J	Lvv		1:30	Sheet 2 of	2
Well	Strikes	Depth (m) Type	Results	5	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stra	atum Description		
		7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.90 8.00	D ES SPT	N=21 (3,3/4,5 N=22 (2,4/4,5 N=24 (3,5/5,6	5,6,6) 5,6,7) 5,6,7)	8.00	76.08		Stiff consist FORMATIO	ency** dark	Borehole at 8.00	MDON CLAY	- 7
Remar *Field **Con literat	ks: descripti sistency e ure.	on. estimated (using semi-	empirical corr	elatio	ns with SPT	N-values,	Plasticity In	dices and pu	ıblished	Key: ES - Environmer D - Small Disturk B - Bulk Disturke PID - Photo-ioni	ital Sample bed Sample ed Sample sation Detector Re	- 12 eading
			ww ol	Unit 24 S w.jomasass omas Assoc	Sarur ocia iates	JOM n Comple tes.com Ltd Regis	AS ASSC x, Salisk 0333-30 tered in	OCIATES L Dury Road D5-9054 i England	TD d, Uxbridg nfo@joma and Wale	e UB8 2R asassocia as No. 709	z tes.com 95350		

J	ΠM	AS										Borehole Numbe
E EN LAN	NGINEEI VIRONMI O REMEL	RING ENTAL JIATION	V	VII	NDU	VV	LES:	5 5 A		'LEK	RECORD	WS101
Projec	ct Name	: 24 Burge	ess Hi	ill		Clie	ent: Verve C	oncepts	Limited		Date: 03/04/2025	
Locat	ion: 24 E	Burgess H	lill, Loi	ndon,	, NW2 2DA	Log	ged by: HA	H			Drilling Equipment: Ha	nd-held Windowless
Projec	ct No. : F	25943J30	29	Holo		Cre	w Name:		Appr	aved By	Sampler	Page Number
	PRELI	M		١٥le ۷	vs		83.87m A	D	Appro	SC	1:30	Sheet 1 of 1
Well	Water Strikes	Sam	ple an	nd In	Situ Testing	9	Depth (m)	Level	Legend		Stratum Description	1
	Ounces	Depth ((m)	Туре	Results	6	()	(,		Grass over	dark brown/black sandy gra	avelly clay. Sand is
										fine to medi to rounded f	um. Gravel consists of fine ilint and brick fragments. (N	to coarse, angular IADE GROUND)
							0.50	83.37		Brown grave	elly clay. Gravel consists of	fine to medium
										brick fragme	ents. (MADE GROUND)	
		0.90		в								-
							1.00	82.87		Brownish gr	ey clay. (POSSIBLY REWC	DRKED LONDON
												-
							1.50	82.37				-
		1 70		ну	HVP=68 k	Pa			F	Firm to stiff	^ brown CLAY. (LONDON (N)	
									E	-		-
									E	-		-2
		2 20		ц./		Do			 	-		-
		2.30		пv	HVF-00 K	Гd			E- <u>-</u>			-
		0.70				_			E	-		-
		2.70		нν	HVP=116 k	Pa			<u> </u>	-		-
		3.00		в					E- <u>-</u>	-		- 3
									E	-		-
		3.30		ΗV	HVP=110 k	кРа				-		-
									E- <u>-</u>	-		-
									<u> </u>	-		-
										-		- 4
									E- <u>-</u>			-
									<u> </u>	-		-
		4.50		ΗV	HVP=135 k	кРа			E	-		
							4.70	79.17		-	End of Borehole at 4.7	0m
												- 5
												-
												_
												-
Remar	ke										Kovi	-6
*Field **Con No gro	ks: descripti sistency l oundwate	on. based on h er reported	hand sh	near va	ane measurer	ment	S				ES - Environme D - Small Distu B - Bulk Disturl PID - Photo-ior	ental Sample rbed Sample bed Sample hisation Detector Readi
							JOM	AS ASSC	CIATES L	TD		
				wwv	Unit 24 S v.jomasass	ocia	m Comple ates.com	x, Salisk 0333-30	oury Roa 05-9054 i	a, Uxbridg nfo@joma	e UB8 2RZ sassociates.com	

Jomas Associates Ltd Registered in England and Wales No. 7095350

		AS RING ENTAL	W	INDO	M	VLESS	S SA	MP	LER	RECORD	Borehole Nur	^{nber}	
Projec	t Name	: 24 Burge	ess Hill		Cli	ient: Verve C	oncepts l	Limited		Date: 03/04/2025			
Locati	on: 24 E	Burgess H	ill, Londo	on, NW2 2DA	Lo	gged by: HAI	Н						
Projec	t No. : F	25943J30	29		Cr	ew Name:				Drilling Equipment: Ha Sampler	Drilling Equipment: Hand-held Windowless Sampler		
	Log Sta	tus M	Ho	ole Type WS		Level 83.85m Ac	D	Appro	oved By	Scale	Page Numb	oer 1	
Wall	Water	Samp	ole and I	In Situ Testing	g	Depth	Level	Logond		Stratum Description		İ	
	Strikes	Depth (I	m) Typ	e Results	3	(m)	(m)		Cross supr	dark brown to block condu			
		1.80 2.30 2.70	<u>п) тур</u> н\ н\	 HVP=86 k HVP=77 k HVP=96 k 	Pa Pa Pa	0.50	83.35 82.25 80.85		Grass over ((MADE GRO Brown mottl of occasional Stiff** brown	dark brown to black sandy DUND) ed black slightly gravelly c al fine brick. (MADE GROU n CLAY. (LONDON CLAY F	gravelly clay. lay. Gravel consists JND) ORMATION)		
Remar *Field **Con: No gro	ks: descripti sistency l undwate	on. pased on h r reported	and shear	r vane measurer	men	nts JOM/	AS ASSO		TD	Key: ES - Environm D - Small Distu B - Bulk Distur PID - Photo-io	ental Sample Irbed Sample bed Sample nisation Detector R	eading	
			W	Unit 24 S ww.jomasass Jomas Assoc	Sarı soci iate	um Comple iates.com es Ltd Regis	x, Salisb 0333-30 tered in	ury Road 5-9054 in England	d, Uxbridge nfo@joma and Wale	e UB8 2RZ sassociates.com s No. 7095350			

			W		ν		S SA	MP		RFCORD		mber
	IRONMI										VV 310	5
Projec	on: 24 F	24 Burgess H	ill Londo			ent: Verve C	oncepts I	Limited		Date: 03/04/2025		
Proiec	t No. : F	25943J302	29	II, INVZ ZDA	Cre	ew Name:				Drilling Equipment: H	land-held Window	less
,	Log Sta	tus	Но	le Type	-	Level		Appro	oved By	Sampler Scale	Page Num	ber
	PRELI	M	lo and l	WS Situ Testin		83.89m Ad	oD	: 	SC	1:30	Sheet 1 o	f 1
Well	Strikes	Depth (r	n) Typ	e Results	9 S	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum Description	on	
	Strikes	Depth (1	n) Тур В НV НV	e Results	s kPa kPa	- (m) 0.40 2.00 3.00	(m) 83.49 81.89 80.89	Legend	Grass over d is fine to me angular to ro GROUND) Brown sligh brick. (MAD	End of Borehole at 3	y gravelly clay. Sand fine to coarse, gments. (MADE consists of rare	
Remar *Field **Con: No gro	ks: descripti sistency l undwate	on. based on h r reported	and shear	vane measure Unit 24 S	men Sarı	ts JOM/ um Comple	AS ASSC	OCIATES L Dury Road	TD d, Uxbridge	Key: ES - Environn D - Small Dist B - Bulk Distu PID - Photo-i	nental Sample turbed Sample ırbed Sample onisation Detector F	6 Reading
			wv	vw.jomasass omas Assoc	soci iate	ates.com	0333-30 tered in	5-9054 i England	nto@joma and Wale	sassociates.com s No. 7095350		



APPENDIX 3 – CHEMICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

Prepared by Jomas Associates Ltd On behalf of Verve Concepts Limited



Ray Jomas Associates Limited 24 Sarum Complex Salisbury Road Uxbrdge UB8 2RZ



Normec DETS Limited Unit 1 Rose Lane Industrial Estate Rose Lane Lenham Heath Kent ME17 2JN t: 01622 850410

DETS Report No: 24-09316

Site Reference:	24 Burgess Hill, London, NW22DA
Project / Job Ref:	J3029
Order No:	P5943J3029.5
Sample Receipt Date:	14/08/2024
Sample Scheduled Date:	14/08/2024
Report Issue Number:	1
Reporting Date:	16/08/2024

Authorised by:

KOL

Kevin Old Operations Director

Dates of laboratory activities for each tested analyte are available upon request.

Opinions and interpretations are outside the laboratory's scope of ISO 1/025 accreditation. I his certificate is issued in accordance with the accreditation requirements of the United Kingdom Accreditation Service. The results reported herein relate only to the material supplied to the laboratory. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of the laboratory.



Normec DETS Limited . Unit 1, Rose Lane Industrial Estate **Rose Lane** Lenham Heath Maidstone Kent ME17 2JN Tel : 01622 850410



Soil Analysis Certificate						
DETS Report No: 24-09316	~Date Sampled	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	
Jomas Associates Limited	~Time Sampled	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	
~Site Reference: 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW22DA	~TP / BH No	WS1	WS1	WS2	WS2	
~Project / Job Ref: J3029	~Additional Refs	ES	ES	ES	ES	
~Order No: P5943J3029.5	~Depth (m)	0.35	1.00	4.00	7.00	
Reporting Date: 16/08/2024	DETS Sample No	732183	732184	732185	732186	

Determinand	Unit	RL	Accreditation					
pH	pH Units	N/a	MCERTS	7.8	6.7	7.1	7.8	
Total Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/kg	< 200	MCERTS	273	< 200	< 200	< 200	
Total Sulphate as SO ₄	%	< 0.02	MCERTS	0.03	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
W/S Sulphate as SO ₄ (2:1)	mg/l	< 10	MCERTS	12	19	23	41	
W/S Sulphate as SO ₄ (2:1)	g/l	< 0.01	MCERTS	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	
Total Sulphur	%	< 0.02	NONE	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	

Analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis where samples are assisted-dried at less than 30°C. The Method Description page describes if the test is performed on the dried or as-received portion Subcontracted analysis (5) ~Sample details provided by customer and can affect the validity of results



Normec DETS Limited Unit 1, Rose Lane Industrial Estate **Rose Lane** Lenham Heath Maidstone Kent ME17 2JN Tel : 01622 850410



Soil Analysis Certificate - Sample Descriptions	
DETS Report No: 24-09316	
Jomas Associates Limited	
~Site Reference: 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW22DA	
~Project / Job Ref: J3029	
~Order No: P5943J3029.5	
Reporting Date: 16/08/2024	

DETS Sample No	~TP / BH No	~Additional Refs	~Depth (m)	Moisture Content (%)	Sample Matrix Description
^ 732183	WS1	ES	0.35	13.5	Brown sandy clay with stones
^ 732184	WS1	ES	1.00	16.3	Light brown sandy clay
^ 732185	WS2	ES	4.00	15.2	Light brown clay
^ 732186	WS2	ES	7.00	17.3	Light brown clay

Moisture content is part of procedure E003 & is not an accredited test Insufficient Sample^{1/5} Unsuitable Sample^{1/5} ~Sample details provided by customer and can affect the validity of results

^ no sampling date provided; unable to confirm if samples are within acceptable holding times



Normec DETS Limited Unit 1, Rose Lane Industrial Estate Rose Lane Lenham Heath Maidstone Kent ME17 2JN Tel : 01622 850410



Soil Analysis Certificate - Methodology & Miscellaneous Information	
DETS Report No: 24-09316	
Iomas Associates Limited	
Site Reference: 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW22DA	
√Project / Job Ref: J3029	
∨Order No: P5943J3029.5	
Reporting Date: 16/08/2024	

Matrix	Analysed	Determinand	Brief Method Description				
Soil	D	Boron - Water Soluble	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by 2:1 bot water extract followed by ICP-OFS	F012			
Soil	AR	BOION Water Solable	Determination of BTEX by heatspace GC-MS	F001			
Soil	D	Cations	Determination of cations in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OFS	E002			
Soil	D	Chloride - Water Soluble (2:1)	Determination of chloride by extraction with water & analysed by ion chromatography	E009			
Soil	AR	Chromium - Hexavalent	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1.5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry	E016			
Soil	AR	Cyanide - Complex	Determination of complex cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry	E015			
Soil	AR	Cyanide - Free	Determination of free cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry	E015			
Soil	AR	Cyanide - Total	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry	E015			
Soil	D	Cyclohexane Extractable Matter (CEM)	Gravimetrically determined through extraction with cyclohexane	E011			
Soil	AR	Diesel Range Organics (C10 - C24)	Determination of hexane/acetone extractable hydrocarbons by GC-FID	E004			
Soil	AR	Electrical Conductivity	Determination of electrical conductivity by addition of saturated calcium sulphate followed by electrometric measurement	E022			
Soil	AR	Electrical Conductivity	Determination of electrical conductivity by addition of water followed by electrometric measurement	E023			
Soil	D	Elemental Sulphur	Determination of elemental sulphur by solvent extraction followed by GC-MS	E020			
Soil	AR	EPH (C10 – C40)	Determination of acetone/hexane extractable hydrocarbons by GC-FID	E004			
SOIL	AR	EPH Product ID	Determination of acetone/nexane extractable hydrocarbons by GC-FID	E004			
Soil	AR	EPH TEXAS (C6-C8, C8-C10, C10-C12,	Determination of acetone/nexane extractable hydrocarbons by GC-FID for C8 to C40. C6 to C8 by	E004			
Soil	D	<u>C12-C16, C16-C21, C21-C40</u>) Elugrido - Water Soluble	headspace GC-MS Determination of Elugrida by ovtraction with water & analysed by ion chromatography	E000			
Soil	D	Fraction Organic Carbon (EQC)	Determination of TOC by combustion analyser	E009			
Soil	D D	Organic Matter (SOM)	Determination of TOC by combustion analyser.	F027			
Soil	D	TOC (Total Organic Carbon)	Determination of TOC by combustion analyser.	F027			
Soil	AR	Exchangeable Ammonium	Determination of ammonium by discrete analyser.	E029			
Call	5	FOC (For this Oversia Cashar)	Determination of fraction of organic carbon by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by	F010			
SOIL	D	FOC (Fraction Organic Carbon)	titration with iron (II) sulphate	E010			
Soil	D	Loss on Ignition @ 450oC	Determination of loss on ignition in soil by gravimetrically with the sample being ignited in a muffle furnace	E019			
Soil	D	Magnesium - Water Soluble	Determination of water soluble magnesium by extraction with water followed by ICP-OES	E025			
Soil	D	Metals	Determination of metals by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES	E002			
Soil	ΔR	Mineral Oil (C10 - C40)	Determination of hexane/acetone extractable hydrocarbons by GC-FID fractionating with SPE	F004			
501			cartridge	LUUT			
Soil	AR	Moisture Content	Moisture content; determined gravimetrically	E003			
Soll	D	Nitrate - Water Soluble (2:1)	Determination of nitrate by extraction with water & analysed by ion chromatography	E009			
Soil	D	Organic Matter	iron (II) sulphate	E010			
Soil	AR	PAH - Speciated (EPA 16)	Determination of PAH compounds by extraction in acetone and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards	E005			
Soil	AR	PCB - 7 Congeners	Determination of PCB by extraction with acetone and hexane followed by GC-MS	E008			
Soil	D	Petroleum Ether Extract (PEE)	Gravimetrically determined through extraction with petroleum ether	E011			
Soil	AR	pH	Determination of pH by addition of water followed by electrometric measurement	E007			
Soil	AR	Phenois - Total (monohydric)	Determination of phenols by distillation followed by colorimetry	E021			
SOIL	D	Phosphate - Water Soluble (2:1)	Determination of phosphate by extraction with water & analysed by ion chromatography	E009			
Soil		Sulphate (as SO4) - Total Sulphate (as SO4) - Water Soluble (2:1)	Determination of culphate by extraction with 10% nct followed by icp chematography	E013			
Soil	D	Sulphate (as SO4) - Water Soluble (2:1)	Determination of water soluble subbate by extraction with water followed by ICP-OFS	E009			
Soil	AR	Sulphate (ds 301) Water Soluble (2:1)	Determination of sulphide by distillation followed by colorimetry	F018			
Soil	D	Sulphur - Total	Determination of total sulphur by extraction with agua-regia followed by ICP-OES	E024			
Soil	AR	SVOC	Determination of semi-volatile organic compounds by extraction in acetone and hexane followed by GC-MS	E006			
Soil	AR	Thiocyanate (as SCN)	Determination of thiocyanate by extraction in caustic soda followed by acidification followed by addition of ferric nitrate followed by colorimetry	E017			
Soil	D	Toluene Extractable Matter (TEM)	Gravimetrically determined through extraction with toluene	E011			
Soil	D	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Determination of organic matter by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with	E010			
		TPH CWG (ali: C5- C6, C6-C8, C8-C10	ITON (11) SUIDNALE				
		(10-C12) $(12-C16)$ $(16-C21)$ $(21-C24)$	Determination of hexane/acetone extractable hydrocarbons by CC-FID fractionating with SPE				
Soil	AR	210 - C12, C12 - C10, C10 - C21, C21 - C34, C10 - C12, C12 - C7, C7 - C8 - C10, C10 - C10, C10 - C12, C12 C12 - C12, C12, C12, C12, C12, C12, C12, C12,	petermination of the case (Set to CS to CS by backgroups GC-MS	E004			
			cartinage for Co to Coor Co by fieauspace oc-115				
			Determination of howang/agetong outwartable hydrogenic are by CC FID for the set in CPF				
Soil	AR		Determination or nexane/acetone extractable hydrocarbons by GC-FID tractionating with SPE	E004			
		aro: C5-C/, C/-C8, C8-C10, C10-C12,	cartriage for US to C44. C5 to C8 by headspace GC-MS				
		C12-C16, C16-C21, C21-C35, C35-C44)					
Soil	AR	VOCs	Determination of volatile organic compounds by headspace GC-MS	E001			
Soil	AR	VPH (C6-C8 & C8-C10)	Determination of hydrocarbons C6-C8 by headspace GC-MS & C8-C10 by GC-FID	E001			

D Dried AR As Received

~Sample details provided by customer and can affect the validity of results



Normec DETS Limited Unit 1, Rose Lane Industrial Estate Rose Lane Lenham Heath Maidstone Kent ME17 2JN Tel : 01622 850410



List of HWOL Acronyms and Operators DETS Report No: 24-09316 Jomas Associates Limited ~Site Reference: 24 Burgess Hill, London, NW22DA ~Project / Job Ref: J3029 ~Order No: P5943J3029.5 Reporting Date: 16/08/2024

Acronym	Description
HS	Headspace analysis
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics only
AR	Aromatics only
#1	EH_2D_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	[EH_2D_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative eg. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
~	Sample details provided by customer and can affect the validity of results
~	Sample details provided by customer and can affect the validity of results

Det - Acronym



APPENDIX 4 – GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

Prepared by Jomas Associates Ltd On behalf of Verve Concepts Limited









APPENDIX 5 – GROUNDWATER MONITORING TEST RESULTS

Prepared by Jomas Associates Ltd On behalf of Verve Concepts Limited

GROUNDWATER MONITORING BOREHOLE RECORD SHEET														
Site: 24 Burgess Hill	Operative(s): JMH			Date: 21/08/2024	Time: 15:15		Round: 1	Page: 1						
MONITORING EQUIPMENT														
Instrument Type	Instrument Ma	ke			Serial No.		Date Last Calibrated							
Dip Meter – Interface Probe	In-Situ				-		-							
MONITORING CONDITIONS														
Weather Conditions: Sunny with clouds Ground C				Conditions: Dry Temper			rature: 20°C							
Barometric Pressure (mbar): N/A	Barometr	ic Pressure Trend (24hr):	Ambient Concentration: N/A											
					SULTS									
Monitoring Point Location	VOC (ppm)	Depth to product	Depth to water		Depth to base of well	Comments							
	Peak	S	Steady	(inddi)	(mbgi)		(mbgi)							
WS1				-	3.24		5.25							
WS2				-	3.07		5.35							

* NMP = No Measurable Product

GROUNDWATER MONITORING BOREHOLE RECORD SHEET														
Site: 24 Burgess Hill	Operative(s):D	JH		Date: 28/08/2024	Time: 09:50		Round: 2	Page: 1						
MONITORING EQUIPMENT														
Instrument Type	Instrument Ma	ke			Serial No.		Date Last Calibrated							
Dip Meter – Interface Probe	In-Situ				-		-							
MONITORING CONDITIONS														
Weather Conditions: Sunny with clouds Gro				conditions: Dry		Temper	femperature: 19°C							
Barometric Pressure (mbar): N/A	Barometr	ic Pressure Trend (24hr):		Ambient Concentration: N/A										
					SULTS									
Monitoring Point Location	VOC ((ppm)	Depth to product	Depth to water		Depth to base of well	Comments							
	Peak	5	Steady	(mbgl)	(mbgl)		(mbgl)							
WS1				-	3.20		5.25							
WS2				-	3.09		5.33							

* NMP = No Measurable Product

JIMAS ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL LAND REMEDIATION

WE LISTEN, WE PLAN, WE DELIVER

Geotechnical Engineering and Envrionmental Services across the UK





JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD

Unit 24 Sarum Complex Salisbury Road Uxbridge UB8 2RZ

CONTACT US

Website: www.jomasassociates.com Tel: 0333 305 9054 Email: quotes@jomasassociates.com