

[REDACTED]

From: gordon curtis [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 May 2025 10:00
To: Planning
Cc: Miriam Baptist
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Comments on 2025/1084/P have been received by the council.

[REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

[REDACTED]

with thanks

Gordon Curtis
For The Heath & Hampstead Society

From: <planning@camden.gov.uk>
Date: Fri, 2 May 2025, 14:37
Subject: Comments on 2025/1084/P have been received by the council.
To: <info@heathandhampstead.org.uk>

Ordinarily, we would not object to infill and in this case whilst the streetscape is not adversely affected by the scheme, this is only due to the fact that the present garages are unattractive, rather than the proposals being of outstanding quality. We would describe this as mediocre.

Our main reason for objection is that the scheme, by reasons of mass and height, is too large for this site and have a very detrimental effect on the amenity of the existing residential units at the lower levels of Palace Court. These rooms shall be deprived of light and views and plunged into permanent shadow. The applicant has neglected to show this detail which could be illustrated as a simple section.

We would also question the amount of external amenity space included for the new residential units, which looks very low and below the criteria for a family unit. .

The plot would be suited to smaller, lower rise development, 2 stories at the maximum, with a demonstration of the effect to neighbouring buildings, and to provide suitable outdoor space.

Comments made by The Heath & Hampstead Society of P.O. BOX 38214, London, NW3 1XD

[REDACTED]

Email info@heathandhampstead.org.uk

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Objection

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]