

Delegated Report

Officer

Liam Vincent

Application Number(s)

2025/1417/T

Application Address

1 Haversham Place N6 6NG

Proposal(s)

REAR GARDEN: 1 x Sycamore (T1) - Fell to ground level.

1 x Hornbeam (T2) - Reduce height by up to 2.5m back to previous reduction points.

Recommendation(s):

No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area.

Application Type:

Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area

Consultations

Adjoining Occupiers:

No. notified

1

No. of responses

2

No. of objections

2

Summary of consultation responses:

The notification of intended works did not receive any response from consultation:

CAAC/Local groups* comments:

*Please Specify

- Highgate Neighbourhood Forum:
We object to the felling of this tree. 2021/1928/T a sycamore was felled in the side garden. 2022/0006/T a sycamore was felled in the front garden and an ash and two more sycamores were felled in the rear garden. This is in addition to the clearing of trees between the rear garden and Fitzroy Park in 2020. This exposed the house to public view and changed the character of this corner, at the entrance to Hampstead Heath. The further felling of yet another tree will be to the further detriment of the Conservation Area.
- Highgate CAAC:
We would like to agree with the comments made by Highgate Neighbourhood Forum. Far too many trees have been lost in this area over recent years. We would like the tree officer to visit to assess whether these works are necessary.

Assessment

The s.211 notification is to remove a sycamore tree (T1) and prune a hornbeam (T2) back to previous points of reduction in the rear garden of a private residence on Haversham Place, which is within the Highgate Village conservation area.

Photographic evidence shows that T1 is not exemplary of its species. A site visit has confirmed that it is not readily visible from a public place (Merton Lane). It is not a rare or unusual species and is not known to have any cultural or historic value.

Whilst it is regrettable to lose any tree, it is not expedient to serve a TPO to object to the intended removal of T1.

The Council does not object to the proposed works.