| Application N | Consultees Name | Recipient Address | Received | Comment | Response | , |
|---------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|---------|--|---|
| 2024/5549/P | Sylvia McNamara | 8 Wiblin Mews Kentish Town NW5 1BW NW5 1BW | 02/05/2025 04:05:07 | COMMNT | The issues here are that the quality of build has been so poor and the Four Quarters company is so steadfast in it's refusal to communicate with us, that most residents both of Wiblin Mews and the neighbouring streets of College Lane and Little Green Street believe they cannot trust the builders. However, the misery caused to the owners of houses 12 and 16 Wiblin Mews, where the maisonettes are to be built, misery because the buildings have not been finished and their walls were built to be contained in the yet to be built maisonettes, combined with the horror of waves of flooding in the underground car park/lower ground floor house entrances/garage/utility room/bedroom, again because the maisonettes have not been built and the tanking is insecure means that we residents are both desperate for the buildings to be complete and for us all to get our freehold so that we can begin to get some control over the situation, but also fearful of the impact of the building on these quiet streets, the dead end road and the foundations of the beautiful Georgian houses on Little Green Street, because the development company Four Quarters lack integrity. I am appalled that the company are proposing that the affordable housing should be flipped to market rate. It is not possible that they haven't made a profit, which I am sure will be clear to planners in their review of the figures. We would like you, as planners, to put stringent measures in place to ensure that the site is completed as soon as possible but that the light is not blocked for local residents, by the addition of height, and that the construction plan is very clear about how the site will be accessed and managed during the build. A site visit and short conversation with David Quigley from Veolia would reveal the extremely tight nature of the site especially since we residents have moved in. Bin collection has to be carefully orchestrated around the numerous roofing specialists we are all calling in to deal with our leaking, poorly constructed, rooves. | |

Printed on: 02/05/2025

09:10:04

| | | | | | Printed on: 02/05/2025 09:10:04 |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| Application N Co | onsultees Name | Recipient Address | Received | Comment | Response |
| 2024/5549/P Ar | aron Cronin | 20 Wiblin Mews | 02/05/2025 09:05:01 | COMMNT | Response to Planning Application no.2024/5549/P, London Borough of Camden by Susan Steel and Aron Cronin, residents and leasehold owners at 20 Wiblin Mews. |
| | | NW5 1BW NW5 1BW | | | We have lived at the above address for more than 8 years. We moved in expecting the twenty houses in Wiblin Mews to be completed within about one year. Seven years of uncertainty have followed during which time we have been living alongside two idle, part-completed building sites with resulting serious water ingress, visual blight, colonisation by foxes and and a safety hazard. At the time of original purchase it was clear that the adjoining block was for social provision and expect that to proceed as planned. The application as posted appears to be silent on the construction method to be adopted. Whilst we acknowledge that the planned works cannot be undertaken without some disruption and inconvenience to residents we are concerned about the potentially high levels of movement, noise and dust that may occur and what remediation terms are to be applied. We urge for a pause in any approvals prior to Wiblin Mews and adjoining residents having sight of and time to comment on the proposed method. There is a lack of clarity on: the level of expected noise intrusion from the proposed heat pump provision; rubbish and recycling arrangements for Block 3. Para 5.20 of the document Financial Viability Assessment posits a construction period of 5 months for the maisonettes and 7 months for the flats. Will the construction activity be taking place in parallel or in sequence? If in sequence our position is that completion of the maisonettes should come first so that the issues outlined in para 1 above are resolved. Achieving the completion of both elements of the development needs to be addressed as a matter of urgency. |