

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0770/P	Agnieszka Slominska	1 Sandstone Place N19 5TU London	25/04/2025 11:26:06	SUPC	I don't have any objections to installing the new CCTV (we have been waiting for the CCTV activation since last July and seeing increased ASB on the estate including drug dealing) but would like to add and stress that all existing and redundant equipment be removed prior to the erection of the new mast. Most of this equipment is probably redundant and some of it is loose and flapping around in the wind. This is dangerous as it could fall and cause injury. There are many more spots on the estate where there are hanging wires, unsecured and redundant electrical equipment and Camden doesn't take any action whatsoever despite repeated reports from residents. It should be an obligatory part of the process to clean up hazardous and redundant installation/infrastructure before adding more.
2025/0770/P	Peter Kapos	46 Stoneleigh Terrace N19 5tz	25/04/2025 13:18:12	OBJ	<p>Objection</p> <p>While I support the principle of installing antennae for security purposes, I object to the proposed placement of poles on the North West elevation of the building.</p> <p>This façade forms part of a clean and cohesive run of elevations along Stoneleigh Terrace, and the addition of poles would be visually intrusive and diminish the overall appearance. I strongly recommend that any new poles be positioned on the side elevation of the building, at least 5 metres from the North West elevation.</p> <p>All existing poles are currently located along side elevations, and this precedent should be maintained. Poles should not be installed on the main front or rear elevations, which are integral to the visual coherence of the estate.</p> <p>The estate is under consideration for listing by English Heritage, and placing poles on principal elevations would significantly compromise its architectural character. Currently, there are no poles on any main elevations across the estate, and preserving this should be a priority to maintain the integrity of the original design.</p> <p>Furthermore, any consent granted should be conditional on the removal of all redundant poles and equipment prior to the installation of any new apparatus. Much of the current equipment is no longer in use and should be cleared by the applicant, Camden, before further installations are considered.</p> <p>Some of the existing equipment is unstable and poses a potential safety hazard to the public. These should be removed without delay to ensure public safety.</p> <p>I would be happy to support the application, provided that these conditions are met and that the proposed poles are repositioned to the side elevation.</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0770/P	Alex Thomas	2 Retcar Place	25/04/2025 16:16:24	COMMNT	<p>Whereas equipment like this is added to the estate buildings, it appears that Camden have made no effort to remove old and redundant equipment. The result is unsightly and dangerous clutter.</p> <p>As a resident of the estate on Retcar Place may I suggest that the installation of new equipment is conditional to the removal of old and redundant equipment.</p>
2025/0770/P	Sophie Jacobson	2 Sandstone place London N19 5TU	25/04/2025 12:30:04	OBJ	<p>Objection</p> <p>While I am happy with the principle of the installation of the antennae for security reasons I object to the poles being added to the the North West elevation of the building.</p> <p>These poles will be an unsightly and ugly addition to what is a clean and tidy run of elevations along Stoneleigh Terrace. Instead, the poles should be installed along the side elevation of the building at least 5m from the North West elevation.</p> <p>All other existing poles are located along the side elevations and any additional poles should be positioned on the side elevation as well, and not on the main front and rear elevations of the building.</p> <p>The Estate is currently being considered by English Heritage for listing and such additions on the main elevation will destroy the overall look and appearance of the estate. Currently there are no poles on the main elevations anywhere on the estate and this should remain the case to maintain the integrity of the overall design and appearance of the estate.</p> <p>Further more, any consent granted should be conditioned that all existing redundant poles and equipment should be removed before any new equipment is allowed to be installed.</p> <p>Much of the existing equipment is redundant and the applicant landlord, Camden should remove before adding any more.</p> <p>Some of the poles and equipment are loose and dangerous and could collapse and fall being a risk to public safety. These should be removed before any further equipment is installed.</p> <p>I would be happy to support the application, with the suggested conditions once the poles have been repositioned on the side elevation.</p>
2025/0770/P	Hiroyo Whiteside	50 Lulot Gardens	25/04/2025 21:06:47	SUPC	<p>As a resident of this estate, I should require that a planning condition be that all existing and redundant equipment be removed prior to the erection of the new mast.</p> <p>Most of this equipment is probably redundant, but some of it still there and look loose and I can see them flapping around in the wind. This is dangerous to residents and all the public passengers as it could fall and cause injury.</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0770/P	Rebecca Thomas	2 Retcar Place	25/04/2025 12:23:45	OBJ	<p>I object to the poles being added to the the North West elevation of the building.</p> <p>These poles will be an unsightly and ugly addition to what is a clean and tidy run of elevations along Stoneleigh Terrace.</p> <p>All other existing poles are located along the side elevations and any additional poles should be positioned on the side elevation as well, and not on the main front and rear elevations of the buildings.</p> <p>The Estate is currently being considered by English Heritage for listing and such additions on the main elevation will destroy the overall look and appearance of the estate. Currently there are no poles on the main elevations anywhere on the estate and this should remain the case to maintain the integrity of the overall design and appearance of the estate.</p> <p>Further more, any consent granted should be conditioned that all existing redundant poles and equipment should be removed before any new equipment is allowed to be installed.</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0770/P	Martin Evans	49 Stoneleigh Terrace Stoneleigh Terrace	25/04/2025 11:52:44	OBJ	<p>Objection</p> <p>While I am happy with the principle of the installation of the antennae for security reasons I object to the poles being added to the the North West elevation of the building.</p> <p>These poles will be an unsightly and ugly addition to what is a clean and tidy run of elevations along Stoneleigh Terrace. Instead, the poles should be installed along the side elevation of the building at least 5m from the North West elevation.</p> <p>All other existing poles are located along the side elevations and any additional poles should be positioned on the side elevation as well, and not on the main front and rear elevations of the building.</p> <p>The Estate is currently being considered by English Heritage for listing and such additions on the main elevation will destroy the overall look and appearance of the estate. Currently there are no poles on the main elevations anywhere on the estate and this should remain the case to maintain the integrity of the overall design and appearance of the estate.</p> <p>Further more, any consent granted should be conditioned that all existing redundant poles and equipment should be removed before any new equipment is allowed to be installed.</p> <p>Much of the existing equipment is redundant and the applicant landlord, Camden should remove before adding any more.</p> <p>Some of the poles and equipment are loose and dangerous and could collapse and fall being a risk to public safety. These should be removed before any further equipment is installed.</p> <p>I would be happy to support the application, with the suggested conditions once the poles have been repositioned on the side elevation.</p>