



**BAILY  
GARNER**

## **Design and Access and Heritage Statement**

**Grand Connaught Rooms Lightwell  
Freemasons Hall  
60 Great Queen Street  
London  
WC2B 5AZ**

**Cathodic Protection Installation and repair of glazed brickwork to the Grand Connaught Rooms Lightwell, including the Air Handling Plant Room and associated repairs and decorations to windows and rainwater goods.**

Prepared on behalf of  
**United Grand Lodge of England  
Freemasons Hall  
60 Great Queen Street  
London  
WC2B 5AZ**

**Job No: 36290  
Date: 15 April 2025**

Baily Garner LLP  
146-148 Eltham Hill,  
London SE9 5DY  
T. 020 8294 1000  
E. [reception@bailygarner.co.uk](mailto:reception@bailygarner.co.uk)

**[www.bailygarner.co.uk](http://www.bailygarner.co.uk)**

# Design and Access and Heritage Statement

Great Connaught Rooms Lightwell  
Freemasons Hall  
60 Great Queen Street  
London  
WC2B 5AZ

Prepared on behalf of  
**United Grand Lodge of England**  
Freemasons Hall  
60 Great Queen Street  
London  
WC2B 5AZ

## Prepared By:

Authorised for Issue:

  
Matt Hornby (01753 9544 GMT+1)

For and on behalf of Baily Garner LLP

Apr 16, 2025

Version	Issue Date	Reason for Issue
-	15 April 2025	Listed Building application

# Design and Access Statement

60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ

---

## CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	General .....	3
1.2	Structure of the Statement .....	3
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Understanding the Context .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Site Description .....	3
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Design .....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Description of the Proposal .....	4
3.2	Existing Use .....	5
3.3	Layout .....	5
3.4	Scale .....	5
3.5	Appearance .....	5
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Heritage Statement .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Precedent Decision .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Access .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>8</b>

## Appendices

**Appendix A** - Photo Schedule – Glazing Brickwork failures to lightwell elevations, including condition of Windows and rainwater goods.

**Appendix B** – Corrosion Engineering Solutions RSD Phase 4 Cathodic Protection Concept Design, including:

- Building Segments for Phasing Drawing Ref: CN22-028-J733-SK001)
- Plan View Gridlines Drawing (Ref: CN22-028-J946-SK103)
- Concept Design Stage Proposed Cathodic Protection Zone Layout Drawing (Ref: CN22-028-J946-SK101)
- Examples of Photographs of Lightwell Elevations Drawing (Ref: CN22-028-J946-SK 102)

# Design and Access Statement

60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ

---

## 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 General

- 1.1.1 This Design and Access Statement has been prepared by Baily Garner on behalf of UGLE. It accompanies the full planning application for repair work to the masonry façades of the internal Great Connaught Rooms Lightwell at 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ.
- 1.1.2 The project is referred to as 'Phase 4' and will address significant structural failure within the Grand Connaught Rooms lightwell. The project follows last years successful completion of Phase 3 which included cathodic protection works to the masonry facades of the front entrance tower, under Planning and Listed Building Consent, Application reference 2020/1830/P.
- 1.1.3 The application proposes the emergency repair works required to the glazed brickwork elevations of the Grand Connaught Rooms internal lightwell to address both Health and Safety Risks and the need for Maintenance / Repair of the façades suffering from Regent Street Disease (RSD) are met, whilst maintaining the Grade II\* listing.
- 1.1.4 This report responds to the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) (Amendment) (England) Order 2006 (the GDPO) for planning applications (with some exceptions) to be accompanied by a Design and Access Statement.
- 1.1.5 The structure and content of the statement has been informed by DCLG Circular 01/2006 Guidance on Changes to the Development Control System (12 June 2006) and Design and Access Statements: How to Write, Read and Use Them (CABE, 2007). Together these have provided advice on what a Design and Access Statement should include.

### 1.2 Structure of the Statement

- 1.2.1 Based on the Circular 01/2006 and CABE advice, the following sections of the Statement comprise:
- Section 2.0 - Understanding the Context
  - Section 3.0 - Design
    - Description of Proposal, Use, Layout, Scale and Appearance
  - Section 4.0 - Access
  - Section 5.0 - Summary and Conclusion


## 2.0 Understanding the Context

### 2.1 Site Description

- 2.1.1 Freemasons' Hall is located at 60 Great Queen Street, London WC2B 5AZ, which is on the corner of Great Queen Street and Wild Street.

# Design and Access Statement

## 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ



©Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence no. 100019980

Scale 1:1250

### 3.0 Design

#### 3.1 Description of the Proposal

- 3.1.1 Clean all glazed brickwork using a Doff cleaning, a steam-based system, which is an appropriate method for cleaning the glazed brickwork, using high-temperature steam to remove dirt, grime, and biological matter without damaging the bricks or pointing.
- 3.1.2 Install a Cathodic Protection (CP) system. The CP system is to arrest on-going corrosion of the steelwork embedded in the glazed brick façades of the Grand Connaught Room Lightwell and the Air-handling Plantroom, which sits at one end of the lightwell.
- 3.1.3 The installation of the CP System is detailed in the concept design Corrosion Engineering which is included as supporting the application. See document reference: CN22-028-J946-R1777 REV01 FMH Phase 4 Concept CP Design.
- 3.1.4 The CP system will limit the extent of intrusive works traditionally associated with remedial works to remediate Regent Street Disease where the external cladding needs to be removed to expose and treat the steelwork frame.
- 3.1.5 To install the CP, the existing cast iron rainwater goods will be temporarily removed and refixed on completion.
- 3.1.6 Owing to the extent of Regent Street Disease and resulting damage caused to the external glazed brickwork, brickwork repairs will be required and the extent of rebuilding is detailed on the structural engineer's drawings. All brickwork repairs will be undertaken to match the existing brickwork using the existing bricks (where these can be carefully removed intact) or using reclaimed bricks.

# Design and Access Statement

## 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ

---

- 3.1.7 Sections of the brickwork to the air handling plant room and buttress walls with the lightwell will require rebuilding due to significant failure and these areas are identified on the Wilde Consultant drawings. All brickwork rebuilding will be undertaken to match the existing brickwork using the existing bricks (where these can be carefully removed intact) or using reclaimed bricks.
- 3.1.8 Maintenance and redecoration on a like for like basis will be undertaken to protect the existing windows and rainwater goods which are located in the lightwell.

### 3.2 Existing Use

- 3.2.1 The property the headquarters of the United Grand Lodge of England and the principal meeting place for Masonic Lodges in London.
- 3.2.2 The property is located in the Seven Dials (Covent Garden) Conservation Area.

### 3.3 Layout

- 3.3.1 No alterations required to the existing layout of any dwelling.

### 3.4 Scale

- 3.4.1 No change in scale is proposed and therefore this item is not considered applicable.

### 3.5 Appearance

- 3.5.1 It is proposed that the glazed brickwork repairs and repointing will match the existing style and colour using the existing bricks (where these can be carefully removed intact) or using reclaimed bricks.

## 4.0 Heritage Statement

- 4.1.1 For reference we have included the original Heritage Statement produced by Heritage Places, which provides an assessment of the significance of built and/or cultural heritage at and in the environs of the application site at Freemasons' Hall, 60 Great Queen Street, London, and of the impact of the submitted development proposal on that significance. detail See document reference: Heritage Statement for repair of masonry facade at Freemasons Hall
- 4.1.2 The existing building of 60 Great Queen Street was constructed as the "Masonic Peace Memorial Building" and as a memorial to Freemasons who lost their lives during the First World War. The hall is the central headquarters of the United Grand Lodge of England (UGLE) and was constructed between 1927-33.
- 4.1.3 Designed by HV Ashley and Winton Newman, whose ingenious fitting of the plan to the irregular polygonal site, won them the commission as the result of a competition.
- 4.1.4 The art deco building is a steel frame construction faced with Portland stone and is Grade II\* listed.
- 4.1.5 The building is in stripped Classical style with a tower. Long facades (with slightly projecting entrance bays) emphasised by string courses and heavy cornice above the 1st floor. Two attics stepped above, culminating across the west angle in the corner tower, which echoes the form of the Temple entrance beneath and is flanked by two giant fluted columns. This defines the diagonal axis along which the Temple itself lies, as well as its associated

# Design and Access Statement

## 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ

---

processional access route. There are metal-framed windows along the elevations and decorative lamp brackets at the entrances to the building.

- 4.1.6 During the early development of steel frame construction methods, engineers and architects generally failed to recognise and appreciate the risks of corrosion. At the time, it was considered that the masonry cladding surrounding the structural steel would prevent the ingress of moisture, these assumptions were incorrect. Moisture and water vapour easily penetrated the external masonry with the resulting problems of corrosion damage now observed. The severity of water penetration related to a number of design details and maintenance problems, but, from day one, water could enter structures clad with naturally porous materials such as the limestone on the main elevations as well as the brickwork clad internal lightwell areas to the building.
- 4.1.7 In respect of the glazed brickwork within the lightwells and the ingress of water, it must be noted that low porosity or relatively impervious materials, can also entrap moisture entering by other routes and exasperate the corrosion associated with Regent Street Disease.
- 4.1.8 Corrosion problems in buildings constructed up to the late 1930s (and in certain cases beyond) are now becoming increasingly common. As the long-term vulnerability of the steelwork corroding was not appreciated until it began to manifest itself on cracking to the stone / masonry, there was never any great consideration given to ongoing Planned Preventative Maintenance (PPM), until it became apparent that there was an underlying problem. Typical consequences include cracking of the external facade, and/or 'jacking' of the masonry, due to the development of expansive corrosion products (rust). If left unattended, open joints and cracked masonry allow the direct penetration of moisture, thus accelerating corrosion and possibly leading to structural problems.
- 4.1.9 To put it into context, Figure 1 below identifies the 3-time stages of corrosion for early 20<sup>th</sup> century steel framed buildings. As the process reaches the end of Stage II (Initiation), the growth of corrosion becomes exponential during Stage III. Early intervention between Stages II and III is imperative. As the rate of corrosion increases exponentially so too does the cost of repairs.




Figure 1

- 4.1.10 The risks arising to users of the building posed by such dilapidated facades is high. Following structural engineer's advice, the Grand Connaught Rooms lightwell has been closed and emergency scaffolding has been erected to the full extent of the lightwell to prevent the risk of sections of the elevation detaching from the steel structure.

# Design and Access Statement

## 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ

---

4.1.11 The scaffolding has also been utilised to undertake detailed surveys and investigations that have been used to support this application.

4.1.12 Appendix A includes a Photographic Schedule detailing the failure of the glazed brickwork associated with the steelwork corrosion and Regents Street Disease.

### 5.0 Precedent Decision

5.1.1 We do not believe that any part of this proposal is creating a precedent as the same works have been consented and undertaken to other areas of the building under an earlier phase.

In 2020 and 2023 Planning and Listed Building Consent was approved for Cathodic Protection works and masonry repairs to the main tower and facades at 60 Great Queen Street. Details of the consent and associated discharge of conditions is detailed below.

Address	Planning Reference / ID	Proposed Development
Freemasons Hall, 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ	2020/1830/P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Repair works to the masonry facades of the front entrance and tower</li><li>• Drawing Nos: Site plan elevations only (showing elevations affected by proposal), site location plan, FMS RSD DAS (design &amp; access statement), heritage statement, specification and drawings parts 1-11.</li></ul>
Freemasons Hall, 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ	2020/2368/L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Repair works to the masonry facades of the front entrance and tower</li></ul>
Freemasons Hall, 60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ	2023/1677/L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 2020/2368/L - Repair works to the masonry facades of the front entrance tower.</li></ul>

### 6.0 Access

6.1.1 No changes to access are proposed and therefore access is considered not applicable.

# Design and Access Statement

60 Great Queen Street, London, WC2B 5AZ

---

## 7.0 Summary

- 7.1.1 The proposed works will stop further corrosion of the building's steel framework which is corroding due to Regents Street Disease and is causing failure of the glazed brickwork. The proposed works will also repair all damaged glazed brickwork using reclaimed bricks to match the existing style and colour.
- 7.1.2 Samples of the repair bricks can be viewed on site to demonstrate the quality of match.
- 7.1.3 Maintenance and redecoration on a like for like basis will be undertaken to protect the existing windows and rainwater goods which are located in the lightwell.

## 8.0 Supporting Documents

- 8.1.1 The following supporting documents have been uploaded to the Planning portal to support the application.

### 8.1.2 Photographic Schedule

1. Photo Schedule – Glazing Brickwork failures to lightwell elevations, including condition of Windows and rainwater goods.

### 8.1.3 Drawings

1. 001 – Site Location Plan
2. 003 – Existing Plan
3. 004 – Existing Elevations
4. 004 CN22-028-J946 -SK103 Rev02 Gridlines
5. 005 CN22-028-J946-SK101 Rev01 Zoning & Extent of Work (*Proposed elevations detailing extent of CP installation*)
6. Wilde Consulting Drawings 001 (*Proposed areas of brickwork to be rebuilt*)


### 8.1.4 Reports

1. Corrosion Engineering Solutions RSD Phase 4 Cathodic Protection Concept Design, including:
  - (a) Building Segments for Phasing Drawing Ref: CN22-028-J733-SK001)
  - (b) Plan View Gridlines Drawing (Ref: CN22-028-J946-SK103)
  - (c) Concept Design Stage Proposed Cathodic Protection Zone Layout Drawing (Ref: CN22-028-J946-SK101)
  - (d) Examples of Photographs of Lightwell Elevations Drawing (Ref: CN22-028-J946-SK102)
2. Heritage Statement produced by Heritage Places.
3. Wilde Engineer's report April 2025 – Courtyard brickwork (*Report on a visual survey of the inner courtyard brickwork.*)

# APPENDIX PHOTOGRAPHIC SCHEDULE

---

A





# Freemasons Listed Building Consent Photo Schedule

# 1. Brickwork Failures



Photo 1



Photo 2



Photo 3



Photo 4



Photo 5



Photo 6



Photo 7




Photo 8



Photo 9



Photo 10



Photo 11



Photo 12



Photo 13



Photo 14



Photo 15




Photo 16



Photo 17



Photo 18



Photo 19




Photo 20



Photo 21




Photo 22



Photo 23




Photo 24



Photo 25



Photo 26



Photo 27




Photo 28




Photo 29



Photo 30




Photo 31




Photo 32




Photo 33



Photo 34



Photo 35




Photo 36




Photo 37




Photo 38



Photo 39



Photo 40



Photo 41

## 2. Air Handling Plant Room



Photo 42



Photo 43



Photo 44



Photo 45



Photo 46



Photo 47



Photo 48



Photo 49



Photo 50



Photo 51



Photo 52



Photo 53



Photo 54

## 3. Windows



Photo 55




Photo 56



Photo 57



Photo 58



Photo 59

## 4. Rainwater Goods



Photo 60




Photo 61

## 5. Opening up - Corroded Steelwork



Photo 62



Photo 63



Photo 64



Photo 65



Photo 66



Photo 67



Photo 68



Photo 69



Photo 70



Photo 71




Photo 72

**APPENDIX  
CONCEPT DESIGN**

**B**

---





**UNITED GRAND LODGE OF ENGLAND – REGENT  
STREET DISEASE WORK PHASE 4**

CATHODIC PROTECTION CONCEPT DESIGN REPORT

For

UNITED GRAND LODGE OF ENGLAND

DOCUMENT NUMBER: CN22-028-J946-R1777, REV 01

## DOCUMENT CONTROL

CONTRACT TITLE:

### **UNITED GRAND LODGE OF ENGLAND – REGENT STREET DISEASE WORK PHASE 4**

DOCUMENT TITLE:

### **CATHODIC PROTECTION CONCEPT DESIGN REPORT**

---

<b>DOCUMENT TYPE:</b>	Technical Report		
<b>DOCUMENT NUMBER:</b>	CN22-028-J946-R1777		
<b>REVISION DETAILS:</b>	<b>REVISION:</b> 01	<b>STATUS:</b> For Information	
	<b>AUTHOR:</b> C. Wozencroft	<b>CHECKED:</b> T. Robinson	<b>APPROVED:</b> R. Edwards
	<b>DATE OF ISSUE:</b>	24 March 2025	

---

**DISTRIBUTION:** 1 copy to UGLE.  
1 copy to Baily Garner  
1 copy to CES Archive.

---

**CLIENT:** United Grand Lodge of England  
60 Great Queen Street  
London  
WC2B 5AZ

**CONTACT:** Mr Paul Turner – Acting Grand Super Intendant of Works

---



**REVISION RECORD:**

<b>REVISION:</b>	<b>STATUS:</b>	<b>REVISION NOTES:</b>			
00	I	First issue.	<b>AUTHOR:</b> C. Wozencroft	<b>CHECKED:</b> T. Robinson	<b>APPROVED:</b> R. Edwards
			<b>DATE OF ISSUE:</b>	14 March 2025	
01	I	Location of TR cabinet moved to improve serviceability/safety.	<b>AUTHOR:</b> C. Wozencroft	<b>CHECKED:</b> T. Robinson	<b>APPROVED:</b> R. Edwards
			<b>DATE OF ISSUE:</b>	24 March 2025	

**COPYRIGHT AND LIABILITIES NOTICE**

This report is copyright. Reproduction of the whole or any part thereof must not be made without the express permission of Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd. This report and the opinions or recommendations made herein are based upon the information provided or referenced within. Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd accept no liability for any damages, charges, costs, (including, but not limited to, legal costs) or expenses in respect of or in relation to any damage to any property or other loss (save for death or personal injury occasioned by reason of any negligence on the part of Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd) whatsoever arising either directly or indirectly from the use of the report, the carrying out of any recommendations contained herein, the following of advice or the use of any goods or materials referred to in this report.

<b>CONTENTS</b>		<b>PAGE NO.</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	PROJECT PARTICULARS	2
1.1.1	THE PROJECT	2
1.1.2	CLIENT	2
1.1.3	PROJECT MANAGER	2
1.1.4	CATHODIC PROTECTION SPECIALIST [DESIGNER]	2
1.2	TERMS OF REFERENCE	3
1.3	SCOPE OF CONCEPT DESIGN PACKAGE	3
<b>2</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTIONAL DETAIL &amp; BACKGROUND</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>SCOPE OF SYSTEM</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>OUTLINE DESIGN</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	ANODE ARRAY & INSTALLATION	9
4.1.1	BEAMS	10
4.1.2	BUTTRESSED MASONRY COLUMNS	12
4.1.3	FLAT-WALL COLUMNS	14
4.1.4	CORNER COLUMNS	15
4.2	SYSTEM ZONING	16
4.3	CONTINUITY	17
4.4	NEGATIVE AND TEST NEGATIVE CONNECTIONS	17
4.5	MONITORING SENSORS	17
4.6	CONTROL EQUIPMENT AND CABLE MANAGEMENT	18
4.6.1	CONTROL EQUIPMENT	18
4.6.2	CABLE MANAGEMENT, INFRASTRUCTURE AND ROUTING.	20
4.6.3	CABLE PENETRATIONS	21
<b>5</b>	<b>DETAILS REQUIRED FOR DETAILED DESIGN</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1	HERITAGE APPROVAL	23
5.2	CONFIRMATION OF SCOPE	23
5.3	EXISTING STRUCTURAL DETAILS	24
5.4	MECHANICAL & ELECTRICAL SERVICES COORDINATION	24
<b>6</b>	<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>QUALITY STATEMENT</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>APPENDIX A. CONCEPT DESIGN SKETCHES</b>		

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Corrosion Engineering Solutions [CES] have been commissioned by United Grand Lodge of England to produce a concept design [roughly equivalent to Stage 3 of the Royal Institute of British Architects [RIBA] project guidance] for a Cathodic Protection [CP] system. The CP system is to arrest on-going corrosion of the steelwork embedded in the glazed brick façades of the Large Connaught Room Lightwell & the Air-handling Plantroom, which sits at one end of the lightwell.

The purpose of this document is to describe the context of the project and to communicate the concept design via text and sketches in sufficient detail to allow for planning and coordination in the context of the wider repair works. The other main purpose is to provide details of the CP design to inform listed building consent, as the structure is on the National Heritage List of England.

The intention of the CP is to protect and preserve the structure for a period of 50 years, but it is predicted that the major components of the system will last 100+ years.

The document has been prepared such that the principal concepts are accessible by anyone with a basic understanding of buildings and engineering. The nature of CP does, however, require an understanding of some specific technical concepts and it is expected that any persons using this document for pricing or planning purposes have an understanding commensurate with that expected of persons certificated to BS EN ISO 15257:2017, Level 3 in the reinforced concrete application sector.

## **1.1 PROJECT PARTICULARS**

### **1.1.1 THE PROJECT**

Below is a list of the parties involved in the refurbishment project at the design stage:

### **1.1.2 CLIENT**

#### **United Grand Lodge of England**

60 Great Queen Street  
London  
WC2B 5AZ



Main Contact: Mr Paul Turner

### **1.1.3 Project Manager**

#### **BAILY GARNER**

146 148 Eltham Hill,  
London,  
SE9 5DY



Main Contact: Mr Matt Hornsby

### **1.1.4 CATHODIC PROTECTION SPECIALIST [DESIGNER]**

#### **CORROSION ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS LTD**

64-66 Akeman Street,  
Tring,  
HP23 6AF



Main Contact: Mr Chris Wozencroft

## 1.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE

The design of this scheme has been carried out in accordance with the following contract documents, standards and guidance notes:

- i. BS EN ISO 12696:2022, “*Cathodic Protection of Steel in Concrete*” – N.B. although this structure is not universally constructed of concrete, this standard/sector can be applied to masonry clad early 20th Century Buildings.
- ii. BS EN ISO 15257:2017 “*Cathodic Protection – Competence levels and certification of cathodic protection personnel*”.
- iii. BS 7671:2018 “Regulations for Electrical Installations – The IET Wiring Regulations 18<sup>th</sup> Edition” and associated guidance notes.

## 1.3 SCOPE OF CONCEPT DESIGN PACKAGE

The concept design work for the CP system is intended to provide:

- i. A description of the structure and elements requiring protection.
- ii. The scope and extents of the proposed CP scheme.
- iii. Reasoning in support of the selected system and materials and identification of any which may be inappropriate.
- iv. An outline of the proposed CP scheme.

The CP concept design does not include detailed drawings, calculations, or materials specifications as these will need to be developed as part of the detailed design and in conjunction with the wider project team.

## 2 CONSTRUCTIONAL DETAIL & BACKGROUND

Freemasons' Hall, also known as *The Masonic Peace Memorial London*, is the home of the *Grand United Lodge of England*, who are the governing body for Freemasonry. The building is a four-storey steel frame structure, which is clad in Portland Stone. It was designed by Ashley and Newman Architects and was constructed between 1927 and 1933 as a memorial to the Freemason's who died during World War I. It consists of 26 temples around the central *Grand Temple* and is also home to a museum, gift shop, and a bar/café. In addition the building also has basement levels, a loft/service space above the *Grand Hall/Temple*, and a Grand Entrance upon which a tower of approximately four more storeys sits.

The whole structure is Grade II\* listed. This particular listing is understood to include internal and external fittings. Therefore, significant care must be taken to avoid impacting these.

Various investigations and assessments have been carried out over the past 20 years which have identified widespread expansive corrosion of the embedded steel frame. This expansive corrosion has caused extensive damage to the masonry cladding in the form of cracking & displacement. This is often referred to as Regent Street Disease [RSD].

Different parts of the building have had this problem addressed in different phases. Phase 1 addressed the issue in the southern half of the main lightwell, and Phase 2 included treatment of the parapet beams in that area.

Both of these phases used extensive masonry removal, surface treatment of the steel and replacement of masonry using new glazed bricks. Crucially, this work was not just limited to those areas that were extensively cracked and displaced, but extended to all areas that were at risk [i.e. every beam & column]. The result is that the treated areas are reasonably obvious, and extensive damage was caused to the heritage fabric of the building. The area covered by Phase 1 & 2 is shown in Photograph 1.



Photograph 1 - Phase 1 & 2 repairs

In 2023 the upper sections of the main entrance [down to datum 66m] and the tower that sits above this was treated in Phase 3 of the RSD work. This part of the structure is clad with Portland stone and CP was used to address the RSD risk as this drastically reduced the damage to the historic cladding and disruption to the building occupants, compared to traditional measures. This system was commissioned in autumn 2023. This installation included a fully automated and remotely controllable electronic control system in the form of a single Transformer Rectifier [TR] cabinet at the base of the Tower Room.

In parallel with Phase 3 works, the building's owners commissioned a study to categorise the different areas of the building that might be affected by RSD and to prioritise the intervention. This was summarised in a CES report titled Freemason's Hall Façade Asset Management [reference CN22-028-J733-R1292 REV01].

The Grand Superintendent of Works at that time, and the building's maintenance team, had identified that the structures associated with the two lightwells that neighbour the Connaught Rooms to the north-east were in significant distress. The larger of the two lightwells, and the Air-handling Plantroom that sits above it were prioritised to be Phase 4 of the work. These areas are shown in sketch SK001 [an extract from the CES report] in Appendix A.

### 3 SCOPE OF SYSTEM

The scope of the CP system is to protect the embedded steel beams and columns in the façades of the larger of the two Connaught Room Lightwells, and the Air-handling Plantroom that sits between them. The elevations are numbered 23, 25, 26 & 27 according to a wider survey of all of the façades [completed by Spatial Dimensions land surveyors]. Presently, there is some confusion as to whether these numbers are correct, and this is being investigated separately.

Both the Large Connaught Room Lightwell and the Air-handling Plantroom are entirely clad in white colour glazed brick. Generally, the façades on the Freemason's Hall façade of the lightwell are flat wall/ashlar but the Connaught Room wall has buttressed brickwork around the columns. There is also a large corbel jutting out from the wall at 3<sup>rd</sup> floor beam level.

It is understood that the Connaught Room elevation of the lightwell was built at the same time as Freemason's Hall, and thus shares similar construction details. Whereas the majority of the Connaught Rooms is a 19<sup>th</sup> Century building.

Presently, the details of the embedded members are not known. As discussed above, there are plans to undertake limited surveys. Before the detailed design is complete, it is planned that scaffold will be erected and additional investigations [using metal detectors, narrow stitch drilling of bed joints and limited opening up work] will be undertaken to ascertain the minimum information required.

Although it is possible that only the upper parts of the lightwell will require protection, this concept design has assumed the worst case and all members in all four façades have been included.

Equally, it is possible that the damage to the Air-handling Plantroom is so great that the extensive rebuilding that is required will render CP redundant, as direct treatment of the steel will be possible.

It should be noted that CP is a reasonably intense treatment and should only be undertaken where the damage caused by the installation drastically outweighs the damage that RSD will cause over the medium to long-term, or the damage that traditional approaches to treating RSD would cause [e.g. Phase 1 & 2].

The plantroom to the south-east of the large lightwell is not expected to be included. This is referred to as 'The Lift Motor Room' on CN22-028-J733-SK001 and is shown in Photograph 2.



Photograph 2 – Lift Motor Room to south-east of lightwell

It is likely that this building does not contain any embedded steel members. This is because the building does not appear to be original. It is only one storey tall, the brickwork is visually slightly different, it has been built in front of the neighbouring building's extract louvre and it appears to have a reinforced concrete slab roof.

Although the structure is not part of the scope, it has been identified as the location for the Phase 4 control cabinet.

## 4 OUTLINE DESIGN

The structure should be protected by the impressed current form of CP. Alternative systems such as galvanic and hybrid anodes have been considered but dismissed as inappropriate. Galvanic and hybrid anodes are generally much larger than the impressed current anodes being proposed and, because they are proprietary products, they are often vastly more expensive for a much reduced capacity/functionality.

The system will likely utilise titanium mesh ribbon anodes. An image of a segment of typical ribbon anode is given in Figure 1. These anodes will likely be 10/20 mm wide and 1 mm thick. The required lengths will be cut to suit the situation. The titanium is coated in mixed metal oxide [MMO]. The benefits of this material are that it is flexible to fit into the masonry joints, it can be used to form rod anodes installed into drilled holes, and, when properly managed, is robust and long-lasting with an extensive track record. These anodes have a design life of 100 years.




Figure 1 - Typical segment of MMO titanium ribbon anode.

Correctly installed and properly managed impressed current CP systems have been found to be the cheapest and most effective CP option for masonry structures. They are typically designed for a 50–60-year design life but with proper maintenance could function for 100+ years.

Based on the design life, impressed current CP is also likely to be a more environmentally friendly option for the structure as it does not require the mining and refining of high purity zinc. If properly managed, it is likely that an impressed current CP system will also require less maintenance with only monitoring sensors and control systems needing to be replaced within the service life, as opposed to the entire system.

Typically, Ag/AgCl reference electrodes [monitoring sensors] will need replacement every 10 to 15 years and the control equipment will require replacement approximately every 20 years.

## 4.1 ANODE ARRAY & INSTALLATION

It is envisaged that the system be installed predominantly externally as this limits disruption, noise, and mess to the occupants and listed internal features. In the case of the wall of the Connaught Rooms [south-west facing elevation], the work would almost certainly need to be done externally, as this is presently occupied by a third-party leaseholder. The other walls are in the domain of the owner [UGLE] but cannot easily accommodate an internal installation due to all the internal listed walls and disturbance this would cause the occupants.

The south-east facing elevation is a mostly an air-handling duct with little internal access. The north-west and north-east facing elevations are part of the 'front of house' space within Freemason's Hall [offices, corridors and stairwells], and most of these areas/finishes are listed.

Elements such as cable management and infrastructure will mostly be installed externally also, behind the parapet at roof level and/or within the Air-handling Plantroom at roof level. It is possible that some small amount cabling will need to be installed within risers, the internal soffits and walls, but none is envisaged at this stage. If required, this may require some out of hours work.

It is very likely that an ethernet cable connection between the existing TR unit in the Tower Room and the new Phase 4 TR unit [location to be confirmed] will be required.

As the CP system will have anode ribbons chased into the masonry joints of the facades, the joints will need to be widened from 5mm to 6mm, to 6mm to 8mm, the CP components grouted in place, and the joint over-pointed with an appropriate heritage lime-based mortar. Figure 2 shows an example of the proposed installation.





Figure 2 - Cross-section showing proposed anode installation

#### 4.1.1 BEAMS

It is likely that all beams will be protected using a series [probably two] runs of horizontal anode ribbons installed in joints slightly above and below the beam. An example is shown in the red lines marked on Photograph 3.



Photograph 3 - Position of anode ribbons for beam protection.

This is a well-established standard method for CP of embedded steel members.

The existing bed joints are approximately 4mm to 6mm wide presently, although the width varies considerably, even across a relatively small area. This requires a small widening of the joint to approximately 8mm.


Previous projects on other buildings have established that diamond blade cutting discs and multi-tools risk damaging the edges of the glazed bricks as the joint is enlarged. Instead, a

carbide cutting disc can be used to ‘sand’ the edges gently, which results in almost no damage to the glazing of the bricks.

No details are presently known of the size or orientation of the members. In the case of the beams, the orientation is given, and it is likely that the beams at each level are a similar size [as the expected loadings and geometry is similar]. It is possible that the large flat accessible roof will have deeper beams, because of snow-loading risks.

#### **4.1.2 BUTTRESSED MASONRY COLUMNS**

On the south-east side of the of the lightwell [Connaught Rooms wall], the columns are encased in buttressed brickwork – whereas the rest of the lightwell’s columns are not. The reason for this change in design is not known but it offers an opportunity to embed the anodes vertically up the instep of the buttress. This is shown the red lines marked on Photograph 4.



Anode ribbon chased in the instep. A similar method is to be used on the far [hidden] side.

Photograph 4 - Proposed position of anode ribbon in buttressed brickwork.

This is also a well-establish standard detail for CP of embedded steel members.

In most cases, the insteps of these buttresses are showing signs of previous damage and repair. This is particularly apparent at the upper levels. This means that the cables and anodes can be installed in chases without further damage to the brickwork.

As discussed above, there are no details available for the size of these columns but the brickwork suggests the stanchions are encased in the buttresses, and this gives a maximum limit to the overall dimensions.

### 4.1.3 FLAT-WALL COLUMNS

Where columns are not behind buttressed brickwork [three of the four lightwell elevations], they are to be protected using a series of horizontal strips of anode ribbon, installed every fourth or fifth brick course. This is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3 - Column anodes at flat-wall positions.

The exact length of each ribbon anode and the spacing required between them shall be determined during detailed design and is dependent on factors such as the size of the member to be protected and the cover depth.

This is also a well-established standard detail for CP of embedded steel members.

As discussed above, there are no details available for the size or orientation of the embedded steel members but they are not expected to be excessively large due to the original intended use of the structure [office building].

#### 4.1.4 CORNER COLUMNS

The nature of this part of the building means that there are few external corner columns. The only corner columns that might be included are on the Air-handling Plantroom and, as discussed above, this part of the structure may not be included in the scope.

Any corner columns that do require protection, more of the embedded member is at risk as it is exposed on two faces. For these situations a similar arrangement to that described in Section 4.1.3 is proposed, with flat strips of ribbon running horizontally across the face of the member. The difference is that two strips will be required, one for each face of the column, slightly offset from the corner arris, and they are to be linked with titanium conductor wire.

This is shown in Figure 1Figure 4.




Figure 4 - Example horizontal section through corner column showing proposed anode arrangement.

This is also a well-establish standard detail for CP of embedded steel members.

The size and orientation of the members in the Air-handling Plantroom are also not known but the extensive amount of masonry repair/rebuilding that is required means that these details can be confirmed before details are finalised. The nature of the proposed layout is such that the length and/or the spacing of the horizontal strips can be easily adjusted, at short-notice to accommodate the actual as-found dimensions of the member.

## **4.2 SYSTEM ZONING**

For the CP system to run as effectively as possible, the structure shall be divided into a series of zones. CP zoning is usually based on the perceived exposure conditions in each part of the building/façade, the size of the steel members and the façade material.

The lightwell & Air-handling Plantroom have been divided into five zones. The proposed zoning is shown on drawing CN22-028-J946-SK101. The zone numbering continues from the seven zones of Phase 3.

Zones 8 and 9 cover the upper storey and parapet/roof level beam of the lightwell. These are the areas that are almost certainly going to be included in the scheme. Zones 10 and 11 protect the areas below this and can easily be removed from the scheme should the survey results suggest that is possible. Finally, Zone 12 will protect the Air-handling Plantroom.

The zones have also been separated into the part of the structure that is UGLE's direct responsibility and the single elevation which is under The Connaught Room's control. This is to allow easy distribution of installation, maintenance and monitoring costs if required.

In reference to the distribution of maintenance responsibility discussed above, the concept design proposes dedicated junction boxes and multicore cables to serve each part of the structure. This will allow relatively easy separation of the system in the future, should the properties become fully independent.

It should also be noted that there remains scope for further division of the structure into more zones or changes to zone boundaries to account for specific details – i.e. more detailed information on the size/orientation of the embedded members after the surveys planned for early April 2025. The precise zoning shall be determined during detailed design.

### **4.3 CONTINUITY**

For a CP system to function, it is essential that all components intended to be protected, are electrically continuous. Given the construction approach/standard in the 1930s, it is highly likely, that the steel frame is electrically continuous within the lightwell and any given zone. The Phase 3 works confirmed good continuity of the frame on the Tower [a separate part of the structure], which increases confidence for Phase 4.

Externally mounted metallic components, within 0.5m of any anode, e.g. window fixings/mountings will require a protection strategy to prevent the CP from damaging these components. These items could be bonded directly to the steel frame [or the D.C. negative terminal at the TR] or be isolated from the system via the use of non-metallic mountings or surrounding their mountings in electrically resistive resin. A further option is to earth the metallic components.

### **4.4 NEGATIVE AND TEST NEGATIVE CONNECTIONS**

The relevant standards require a minimum of two of each type of connection per zone. However, the nature of a steel frame and need to minimise disruption to the façade means that a more pragmatic and considered approach can be accommodated.

A new control cabinet, also referred to as a TR, is required for this part of the system and it is proposed that it have its own negative and test negative connections. These connections shall be made internally and in close proximity to the control cabinet, so as to minimise the need for excessive cabling. A minimum of two negative and two test negatives will be required for this new control cabinet.

A similar approach was taken for the Phase 3 work and that has been proven to be effective.

### **4.5 MONITORING SENSORS**

It is envisioned that monitoring of the CP system will be performed by both reference electrodes and decay probes. Reference electrodes, while giving a precise measure of the potential of the steel structure, tend to lose their accuracy after 10-15 years in service and at this point, they may require replacement. To address this potential vulnerability, decay probes will also be specified. Decay probes do not lose their accuracy and can report valid results to the end life of a CP system.

In order to offer additional redundancy an equal number of two types of reference electrode shall be used these will be located in different zones to maintain an equal distribution:

- i. Silver/silver chloride [Ag/AgCl 0.5M KCl].
- ii. Manganese/manganese Dioxide [Mn/MnO<sub>2</sub>]

No other reference electrode chemistries shall be accepted.

The decay probes shall be made from short lengths of MMO coated titanium ribbon anode or repurposed MMO coated titanium rod anodes.

## **4.6 CONTROL EQUIPMENT AND CABLE MANAGEMENT**

### **4.6.1 CONTROL EQUIPMENT**

Impressed current CP systems require electronic control equipment to supply DC protection current, control the outputs, log monitoring data, report faults, and to allow remote control/monitoring. Modern control cabinets/TRs contain a variety of equipment including transformers, rectifiers, analogue-digital convertors, data-loggers, data-storage, modem-routers and human-machine interface controls.

As discussed in Section 4.4, a single new TR cabinet is envisaged. The existing system installed during Phase 3 [Zones 1 through 7] is served by a single TR cabinet in the base of the Tower that contains all of the required equipment. There is not sufficient room in that cabinet to accommodate the additional equipment and the location is too far removed from the lightwell to be efficient. A new TR cabinet is required.

This new/additional TR will require its own 230V power supply.

The exact location of the TR will be confirmed during the detailed design and will need to be carefully coordinated with the building's existing mechanical and electrical system. Presently, the inside of the lift-motor room to the south-east end of the lightwell is being proposed. The Air-handling Plantroom was originally proposed but the air pressures in this space make it a difficult environment to work in when the plant is running.

The TR shall be housed in suitable GRP IP65 rated cabinets, with all cabling entering the bottom of the cabinet. The cabinet should be of suitable size and weight to allow for installation without additional lifting equipment.

The TR cabinet that serves Phase 3 [Zones 1 through 7] is shown in Photograph 5.



Photograph 5 - Phase 3 TR cabinet located in the base of the Tower.

Quantities of terminations for the TR will be stated in the detailed design.

Each zone shall have a minimum output of 12V and 500 mA. The equipment shall allow the output current to be set in 1 mA increments with an accuracy of +/- 1 mA in constant current control. Each output channel shall be capable of having its output independently adjusted without affecting the output of any other output channel.

The equipment may provide functionality to arbitrarily limit the output via current or voltage.

The new TR cabinet shall act as a 'slave' unit to the existing TR cabinet, which will also be the interface/control, for the Phase 4 parts of the system. This is important as it is likely to keep costs to a minimum and maximise the efficiency of monitoring and maintenance.

It is currently envisioned that the control cabinet shall have the following dimensions 1400 x 1000 x 400 mm.

## 4.6.2 CABLE MANAGEMENT, INFRASTRUCTURE AND ROUTING.

### 4.6.2.1 MULTICORE CABLING & JUNCTION BOXES

Multicore cabling will be required from the TRs to the junction boxes. It is anticipated that the zones to each elevation will have a dedicated junction box and multicore, as will the zone that serves the Air-handling Plantroom [if included].

Multicore cables shall likely be 2.5mm<sup>2</sup> with either PVC or XLPE insulation, coloured black. The conductors shall be Class 2 or Class 5 stranded / flexible copper conductors. Appropriate cable management shall be utilised, for instance flexible conduit.

The size of the junction boxes shall be confirmed during detailed design, however, shall be IP65 rated. At this stage the project should assume that all junction boxes will be approximately will be 250 x 250 x 200mm.

Figure 5 below shows an example of the proposed arrangement.




Figure 5 - Example of junction boxes & penetrations mounted to rear face of parapets.

The precise route and form of the multicore cable management utilised will need to be determined at detailed design stage and coordinated with the existing M&E management. The CP cabling should be independent of other services and must be separated or otherwise protected from mains and high voltage AC sources.

It is presently envisaged that the junction boxes will be mounted to the rear face of the parapet wall and that multicore cables will run along the roof or back face of the parapets to the required position.

It may be that the detailed design opts for multiple small junction boxes along the parapet of each elevation, to minimise the number of cables that must be grouped and run in the joints near the penetration points.

#### 4.6.2.2 SINGLE CORE CABLING [FIELD CABLES]

The single core cabling shall penetrate into the façade from the junction box and serve each zone. These penetrations should be located as close as possible to the junction box positions. A small number of holes shall be drilled during this installation to facilitate cable penetrations through the façade.

Field cabling shall **not be visible externally**, all external cables shall be chased into masonry joints of the facades.

Cabling routes are subject to change during the detailed design.

#### 4.6.3 CABLE PENETRATIONS

At various positions [yet to be agreed], the cabling that is installed/hidden in the external masonry joints will need to be connected to the internal junction boxes.

The system will be designed such that the number of cables at any one penetration will be limited, thus reducing the width of the masonry joint required and disruption to the façade. It is important that these penetration points are disguised, and that their impact on the building's aesthetic is minimised.

The proposed detail will be to drill a small [approximately 18mm Ø] hole through the masonry at the intersection of a bed and perp joint. The cables will pass through this hole and be laid into the masonry joints. The edges of the blocks around the hole will then be repaired.

If a larger penetration is needed, then the face of a brick [preferably in a location where repair is required regardless] will be locally removed to create a larger aperture [up to 50mm x 50mm]. This will then be repaired using matched materials in the same manner that the existing damaged bricks are to be repaired.




Figure 6 - Example of cable penetration detail.

This method shall result in repairs at these locations that are indistinguishable from other, incidental repairs.

## **5 DETAILS REQUIRED FOR DETAILED DESIGN**

Before the detailed design can be progressed, more information is required.

### **5.1 HERITAGE APPROVAL**

The building is a listed monument and heritage approval/listed building consent is required from Camden Borough Council to undertake the repairs and install the CP system.

It is understood that this concept design shall form a major part of the listed building consent application. Baily Garner are managing the application on behalf of the project.

It is likely that heritage trials will be required to manage the rebuilding work, and the CP installation. This should be considered, discussed with Camden's heritage team and programmed into the work at the earliest opportunity.

### **5.2 CONFIRMATION OF SCOPE**

As discussed above, CP may be the least intrusive and most heritage compliant approach to controlling RSD but it is still a relatively intrusive technique and the scope of the system [and indeed any destructive repair methods] should be confined to only those areas where it is necessary.

Presently, there is no information to inform the assessment of scope other than visual clues that can be seen from the roof. A thorough, and largely non-destructive, investigation is planned for the first week of April 2025 once the scaffolding is in place.

This survey will use non-destructive techniques such as visual assessment, hammer-tap, half-cell potential mapping, metal-detector and resistivity measurement to determine the corrosion risk to the façades, and thus define the extent of the system.

It is also proposed that localised opening up work is done in areas of existing damage and/or areas where poor quality repairs have been carried out previously. These sites will be used to determine the actual corrosion condition, calibrate the more extensive non-destructive test values, and to obtain information on structural details.

There is no proposal presently to formally present this information or the analysis. Instead, CES proposes to organise a design meeting/workshop where recommendations can be presented

and discussed, alongside the proposals for masonry repair. Following this, CES will write to the owner formally recommending which parts of the façade should be included in the system extension.

### **5.3 EXISTING STRUCTURAL DETAILS**

As discussed above, extensive [and largely non-destructive] surveys are planned for early April 2025 once the scaffold is in place. These surveys will gather information on the existing structure, sufficient for the detailed design to progress.

The proposed surveys will include a limited number of intrusive investigations to calibrate the non-destructive testing but also to confirm member sizes, orientation and cover. It is proposed that one beam and one column are exposed in areas that have had poor quality repairs previous and are showing signs of repeat cracking. A third exposure is proposed on a beam or column on the Freemason's Hall side of the lightwell. This is to determine if construction details change between the different parts [there is a strong likelihood that they do] and to obtain a calibration point for steel that is not corroding.

### **5.4 MECHANICAL & ELECTRICAL SERVICES COORDINATION**

The building's Facilities Manager should provide information on the existing M&E scheme in this area. Although minimal cable routes are required, and only a single additional power supply is required for the new TR cabinet, these details should be discussed and carefully coordinated as soon as possible.

## 6 SUMMARY

Full details of the proposed CP system are yet to be determined, however, the following summary provides a framework for the detailed design to begin:

- i. The lightwell shall be protected by an Impressed Current Cathodic Protection [CP] system.
- ii. The scope of the protection is yet to be determined but may include the beams and columns on all the façades of the lightwell & the Air-handling Plant Room walls.
- iii. Survey work is planned for early April 2025 to gather information that will allow the scope to be properly determined. For now the worst case [total coverage of all elevations] has been assumed.
- iv. Some intrusive work will be required prior to the installation to confirm details of the steel arrangement and sizes. Two of three of these will be limited to areas which require substantial repair works [due to the impact of steel corrosion].
- v. The Phase 4 system will be divided into five separate CP zones as shown in sketch CN22-028-J946-SK101.
- vi. The beams and columns will likely be protected by lengths of 10 or 20mm wide MMO ribbon anode embedded in external masonry joints.
- vii. Many of the masonry joints will need to be widened to accommodate the CP installation which will be embedded in the mortar. The joints will then be over-pointed with heritage matched mortar to conceal the CP installation.
- viii. Each zone shall be monitored by a series of Ag/AgCl 0.5M KCl or Mn/MnO<sub>2</sub> reference electrodes and MMO decay probes.
- ix. A new control cabinet shall be installed and positioned in an appropriate plant room in the building to enable servicing without disruption to the daily operations of the building.
- x. The new control equipment shall be connected to the existing equipment installed in Phase 3.
- xi. Multicore cabling will be installed between the new control cabinet and junction boxes at roof level – probably along the rear faces of the parapet wall.
- xii. Heritage, M&E and masonry repair design coordination is required before the detailed design can progress.

## **7 QUALITY STATEMENT**

We confirm that we have exercised reasonable skill and care in the preparation of this report, which has been produced for and on behalf of Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.

The opinions contained within this report are based upon information available at the time of writing. If further information becomes available, we reserve the right to review our comments and revise our opinions.

## **APPENDIX A. CONCEPT DESIGN SKETCHES**

Presented separately as PDFs




# **CORROSION ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS**



**CORROSION  
ENGINEERING  
SOLUTIONS**

**Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd,**  
64-66 Akeman Street, Tring, HP23 6AF  
T: +44 (0) 1442 767 899  
E: [info@corrosionengineering.co.uk](mailto:info@corrosionengineering.co.uk)  
W: [www.corrosionengineering.co.uk](http://www.corrosionengineering.co.uk)

**Registered Office:**  
Lawford House, Albert Place,  
London N3 1QA.  
Registered in England and Wales,  
Company No. 07726421.



Notes:  
1.  
2.

Revision History [present & previous revision only]

00	Notes: North arrow added	Date: 12-7-23
	By: C. Wozencroft	Checked: R. Edwards
01	Notes: Phases numbers added	Date: 2-8-23
	By: C. Wozencroft	Checked:

Sheet Size: A3	Scale: NTS	Project Number: CN22-028-J733
-------------------	---------------	----------------------------------

**CORROSION ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS**



Unit S1, 64-66 Akeman Street,  
Tring, Hertfordshire, HP23 6AF  
T: +44 (0) 1442 767 899  
E: info@corrosionengineering.co.uk  
W: www.corrosionengineering.co.uk

Project:  
Freemason's Hall, London

Drawing Title:  
Building Segments for Phasing

Client:  
**UNITED GRAND LODGE OF ENGLAND**

Drawing No.: CN22-028-J733-SK001	Rev: 01
-------------------------------------	------------



Key Plan:

Notes:

00

Sheet Size:	Scale:	Project Number:
A1	NTS	CN22-028

Client Approval

A - APPROVED
B - APPROVED WITH COMMENTS
X C - DO NOT USE

Status:	Purpose of Issue:
SK	PROVISIONAL FOR COMMENT




**CORROSION ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS**

Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.  
Unit S1, 64-66 Akeman Street, Tring, Hertfordshire, HP23 6AF  
T: +44 (0) 1442 767 899  
E: info@corrosionengineering.co.uk  
W: www.corrosionengineering.co.uk


Copyright © The design and information presented on this drawing are subject to copyright as of the date of issue and may not be reproduced - in whole or part - or made use of in anyway without the written permission of Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.

Project Title:	FREEMASONS HALL PHASE 4 RSD WORKS
Drawing Title:	PLAN VIEW AND GRIDLINES
Client:	 <b>UNITED GRAND LODGE OF ENGLAND</b>


Drawing Number:	Revision:
CN22-028-J946-SK103	00




**SOUTH WEST FACING ELEVATION  
[CONNAUGHT ROOMS]**




**SOUTH EAST FACING ELEVATION**



**NORTH WEST FACING ELEVATION  
OF AIR-HANDLING PLANT ROOM**



**NORTH EAST FACING ELEVATION**



**NORTH WEST FACING ELEVATION**

**Key Plan:**

- Zone 8
- Zone 9
- Zone 10
- Zone 11
- Zone 12

**Notes:**

00	J. Lee	14/3/25	T. Robinson	14/3/25	C. Wozencroft	14/3/25
----	--------	---------	-------------	---------	---------------	---------

Sheet Size:	Scale:	Project Number:
A1	NTS	CN22-028

<b>Client Approval</b>	
A	APPROVED
B	APPROVED WITH COMMENTS
X	DO NOT USE
Status:	Purpose of Issue:
SK	PROVISIONAL FOR COMMENT



**CORROSION ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS**

Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.  
 Unit S1, 64-66 Akeman Street, Tring, Hertfordshire, HP23 6AF  
 T: +44 (0) 1442 767 899  
 E: info@corrosionengineering.co.uk  
 W: www.corrosionengineering.co.uk

Copyright © The design and information presented on this drawing are subject to copyright as of the date of issue and may not be reproduced - in whole or part - or made use of in anyway without the written permission of Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.

**Project Title:**  
 FREEMASONS HALL  
 PHASE 4 RSD WORKS

**Drawing Title:**  
 CONCEPT DESIGN STAGE  
 PROPOSED CATHODIC PROTECTION  
 ZONE LAYOUT

**Client:**



**UNITED GRAND LODGE  
OF ENGLAND**

Drawing Number:	Revision:
CN22-028-J946-SK101	00



**NORTH EAST FACING & NORTH WEST FACING ELEVATIONS**



**NORTH EAST FACING & SOUTH EAST FACING ELEVATION**




**SOUTH WEST FACING & SOUTH EAST FACING ELEVATIONS**



**SOUTH WEST FACING & NORTH WEST FACING ELEVATION**



**SOUTH EAST FACING ELEVATION**



**SOUTH WEST FACING & SOUTH EAST FACING ELEVATIONS**

Key Plan:

Notes:

00  
J. Lee 14/3/25 T. Robinson 14/3/25 C. Wozencroft 14/3/25

Sheet Size: A1	Scale: NTS	Project Number: CN22-028
-------------------	---------------	-----------------------------

Client Approval

- A - APPROVED
- B - APPROVED WITH COMMENTS
- X C - DO NOT USE

Status: SK	Purpose of Issue: PROVISIONAL FOR COMMENT
---------------	--



Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.  
Unit S1, 64-66 Akeman Street, Tring, Hertfordshire, HP23 6AF  
T: +44 (0) 1442 767 899  
E: info@corrosionengineering.co.uk  
W: www.corrosionengineering.co.uk

Copyright © The design and information presented on this drawing are subject to copyright as of the date of issue and may not be reproduced - in whole or part - or made use of in anyway without the written permission of Corrosion Engineering Solutions Ltd.

Project Title:  
FREEMASONS HALL  
PHASE 4 RSD WORKS

Drawing Title:  
EXAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS OF  
LIGHTWELL ELEVATIONS



Drawing Number: CN22-028-J946-SK102	Revision: 00
--	-----------------