					Printed on: 22/04/2025 09:10:03
Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0843/P	Covent Garden Community Association (form completed by Amanda Rigby, Co-Chair)	42 Earlham Street Covent Garden London WC2H 9LA	20/04/2025 21:52:03	COMMNT	As the amenity society for the area, Covent Garden Community Association (CGCA) has no objection to this application as long as there are no other changes applied for beyond those shown in the document which is entitled "Amended Proposed Plans, Elevations and Section" on the Camden planning portal. The application form has not been uploaded to the portal so we cannot be sure. Please contact us to confirm this. If there were any changes to the planning conditions attached to consent 2024/2698/P, for example (other than the change to condition 6 under 2025/0576/P which we have commented on separately), we would need to be able to comment on them. We also understand that a condition has been agreed with the applicant to obscure the windows shown in Section B-B in the amended plans, to avoid overlooking from the more intensive use. It would therefore be helpful to add this condition (or similar) to any consent notice for 2025/0843/P: "The windows to the rear of the 1st and 2nd floor elevations of the Stukeley Street building (shown as Section B-B on the consented drawings) to be obscured with a translucent treatment."

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Printed on: 22/04/2025 09:10:03 Response
2025/0843/P	James McWilliams	182A Drury Lane	20/04/2025 21:13:35	INT	I live next door to the premises. My bedroom looks onto the two storey building on the Stukeley Street side of the premises and is overlooked by the same. The Drury Lane entrance is a few metres from my flat.
					I have sought, and was pleased to be granted, a meeting with the management of the new business. This took place on 28 March 2025.
					At the meeting I raised three points of concern, as follows:
					 Smells from food cooked on the premises. The proposed door to the first floor roof space, leading to the possibility that staff using the two storey extension will have free access to that space. In the past this space has been the source of noise disturbance and pollution from smoking. Overlooking of our bedroom and bathroom from the Stukeley Street offices.
					At the meeting I was reassured that high efficiency extraction equipment will be installed and that on-site cooking will be limited to baking cruffins rather than primary cooking like frying. I am satisfied with this reassurance.
					The business was also receptive to my concerns about the use of the roof space and agreed not to include the proposed door. I note with appreciation that the door no longer features in the updated application of 7 April.
					The business also agreed to apply an obscuring film to the windows facing my flat. It would be appreciated if this undertaking was added to any notice of approval.
					More generally, I am in support of there being a second entrance to the restaurant in Strukely Street. Local residents will know that Stukeley Street frequently experiences anti-social behaviour, much of it related to drug use and dealing. I think that a well-run, high end business will help deter this kind of activity which thrives on currently being an out of the way, poorly policed, location.
					In closing, may I say that I much appreciated the open and receptive approach taken by the business when we met. I much support good, responsible businesses in the neighborhood and look forward to a lasting good relationship with my new next door neighbour.