

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0852/P	Terence Ewing	9 Lawn Road London NW3 2XS	15/04/2025 21:32:53	OBJ	<p>1. I object to this current application as the changes are clearly a completely fresh planning application and should not be accepted or considered to be an amendment to the original application as approved by the Planning Inspector on behalf of the Secretary of State in 2016.</p> <p>2. The changes are considerable and have been highlighted by other objectors with valid objections already stated, and it is unnecessary to repeat them again.</p> <p>3. Most notably there are changes to the cladding colour, and also the addition of housing units of 54, with an increase in the number of floors to 26.</p> <p>4. It was only on the basis of the previously submitted application and the provision of social homes for rent and the number of homes provided that the Planning Inspector was previously persuaded to grant permission for that development.</p> <p>5. These amendments, which it will not be necessary to set out as they have been amply covered by other objectors, including the Belsize Society, are therefore in complete contrast to the details that were previously granted planning permission in 2016.</p> <p>6. There therefore arises the first issue of whether the Council have the power to consider this revised scheme as an amendment to the previous scheme at all, or whether if discretionary, they should do so.</p> <p>7. If the latter applies, then the amendments should be rejected as being additional criteria not considered by the original Planning Inspector, and in effect submitting a completely fresh planning application under the false guise of amendments to the original scheme.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, whichever applies, this present scheme should not be considered under delegated powers, but should be referred to the Committee and rejected.</p>