

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1114/L	Paul	6 Flask Walk	13/04/2025 17:59:00	COMMNT	<p>I objected to the previous planning application 2024/5666/P which this new application appears to be identical to. Can someone explain why the repetition which would seemingly be a waste of planning office resources. I repeat my objection as follows:</p> <p>Along with other objectors, I am concerned that, were approval given to this belated application, a de facto means of accessing the roof to use as a terrace would be granted. Were the application to be approved this would likely open the door to similar applications on other developments.</p> <p>In addition, before any consideration of the application, I would suggest requesting from the applicant the reason(s) why it is an 'impossibility of constructing the window on site as originally drawn'? If there is a rational reason to this then why cannot it be constructed off-site?</p> <p>I find it concerning that work requiring a change from the original planning permission can be implemented before even an application for change can be made let alone before permission is granted, seemingly creating a 'fait accompli'. I would ask you to request from the applicant, before their application is considered, the reason(s) why the change was implemented before they applied for permission.</p> <p>I, too, am concerned as to how long this development has been on going and creating a blight on the streetscape for 10 years - even the Shard took less than 4 years to build.</p>
