From: Nigel Carpenter Sent: 14 April 2025 12:05 To: Planning Subject: RE: 2024/5408/P 125, Shaftsbury Avenue

Hi there

I understand that this matter is now coming to Committee for a decision

I can only re-state the comments I made earlier in the process but did not get any reply / feedback from – See below

Kind regards

Nigel Carpenter

Feel Good Again

f 🎔 🞯 🦻

This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the intended recipients. If you are not an intended recipient you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this

message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version.

From: Nigel Carpenter Sent: 16 January 2025 14:53 To: Subject: 2024/5408/P 125, Shaftsbury Avenue

Attention of David Fowler, Planning Officer

Dear David

I am the owner of an apartment in Phoenix Street opposite the proposed development – reference above

Flat 8, 1A Phoenix Street, London, WC2H 8BU

I would like to make the following comments please:

1. Are any residents of our block ending up with a worse view or more intrusive outlook the other way ?

It was difficult to see an elevation / schematic of the development from our particular perspective – before/ existing and intended – in any of the planning docs

2. I am concerned that the developer has gone for some tick box "Affordable workspaces" around our "corner" in order no doubt to comply with a council policy

Why shouldn't "our corner" get some "premium space" as well ? The concern would be if run down , semi industrial workshops were to occupy the space. Perhaps with noisy trades eg bandwidth saws , tumbling out onto the street . Why cant it be interesting independent shops ; independent coffee outlets etc . Maybe some digital incubation space etc ?

Why does our corner end up with the sacrificial "poor end" as opposed to the "intelligent ; stimulating area ?

Many parts of this development are not facing residents – why not put stuff like this elsewhere ?

Perhaps very tight noise restrictions and some policies to encourage start ups, independents etc ?

We have suffered a lot of vandalism, break ins, graffiti, drug crime and rough sleeping around the open space opposite our development and within the control of this development

It would be nice for this development to play a role in bringing it to an end

3. From what I could see the landscaping in our area was a real lost opportunity with very little consideration. The last part of the scheme to be considered. Too many large hard surfaces, single trees and benches as opposed to a proper, well screened, contemporary park space with interesting materials, lots of greenery and well thought out measures so that the crime, drug use etc are all banished for good

I look forward to hearing from you in due course

Kind regards

Nigel Carpenter