Application N	Consultoos Nomo	Dociniont Address	Dessived	Commont	Dechange	Printed on:	08/04/2025	09:10:05
Application N 2025/0852/P	Consultees Name Paul Fineberg	Recipient Address 22B Winchester Road	Received 07/04/2025 15:59:26	Comment OBJNOT	Response Dear Sir or Madam,			
					Objection to Regal London's 100 Avenue Road 2025/0852/P			
					Please note the following design objections which relate to the dele tall tower proposal would impose on surrounding Conservation Are wider London panorama.			
					Objection to the Visual impact on surrounding Conservation Area r corridors	neighbourhoods	s and view	
					No concept design rationale has been presented that would demonal alone positive and uplifting— relation of the proposal to surroundin neighbourhoods.			
					Assessment of any such presentation must include the long views Avenue, up Avenue Road, and from many other local and longer d		-	
					The present proposal would too starkly mark views from these dee presence of depressingly ordinary developer housing, the like of w industrial areas of London.			
					Being of generic appearance, this proposal appears not to have be prominent position in this much valued part of London.	en conceived f	or this	
					The dark-hued brick or brick style slip cladding is unacceptable on prominence. Brick is normally associated with Edwardian or 1930's or 6 storeys, as found in numerous neighbourhood locations, inclu- application site in the Ernst Freud designed Regency Lodge (6 sto	s era mansion b Iding 40 metres	plocks of 4 - 5	
					The visual impact of a brick tower would be totally inappropriate at reasons noted.	this height and	l position for the	Э
					Light coloured stone is preferred to harmonise with the sky, such a previously proposed and preferred by Camden Planning Authority.		stone	
					Deleterious effect of proposal on local public amenity and atmosph and additional burden on local capacity	1ere : unit numt	oer increase	
					The proposals would squeeze 28% more housing units (53 in num internal room heights and so reduce at a stroke the spatial amenity			

apartments.

					Local community facilities, the environment and congestion of added service delivery traffic would mean the public amenity and atmosphere of the local area would carry the burden of the fiscal benefit realised by private developers.
					This significant change in proposals must be evaluated fully to determine the correct and proper balance of any eventual development.
					The addition of the extra 3 storeys and 53 housing units is unacceptable unless an alternative workable access / egress route is workable for delivery, servicing and waste management from Avenue Road A41 which in principle has the capacity to absorb this in terms of the atmosphere of that busy road.
2025/0852/P	Tamas Haiman	3 Eton Avenue	07/04/2025 17:27:59	OBJ	I object to the proposed changes to Delivery, Servicing and Waste Management
		NW3 3EL			Regal declare that 237 residential units "will generate an estimated circa 36-38 deliveries per day." Even though this estimate seems an unrealistically low, it is still too much traffic for a pedestrian market and a pedestrian area where kids regularly run around and play. No proper account has been taken of the constant danger to the life of the children and to all who enjoy using this space freely and safely. With a 29% increase of households, the new plan is completely untenable. It would be a continual stream of traffic which could ultimately lead to the loss of the market altogether, which the community will not accept, neither it is acceptable to destroy the livelihood of the farmers who sell there. An alternative viable solution could be to reroute all deliveries and collections to the A41, perhaps using the same or a variation of the route that is planned for construction.