9-12 NEW COLLEGE PARADE

DAYLIGHT & SUNLIGHT REPORT

DIRECTOR: LIAM DUNFORD CLIENT: NEW COLLEGE LIMITED DATE: MARCH 2025 VERSION: VERSION 2 PROJECT: P3000

Point 2 Surveyors Limited, 17 Slingsby Place, London, WC2E 9AB

0207 836 5828 point2.co.uk



Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
|---|--|-----|
| 2 | Sources of Information | 4 |
| 3 | Assessment Methodology & Application of Guidance | 5 |
| 4 | Parameters and Assumptions | 9 |
| 5 | The Application Site | .10 |
| 6 | The Proposed Development | .11 |
| 7 | The Surrounding Properties | .12 |
| 8 | Assessment Results | .14 |
| 9 | Conclusion | .24 |
| | | |

Appendices

2

| Appendix 1: | Drawings |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Appendix 2: | Window Maps |
| Appendix 3: | Technical Analysis |



3

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Point 2 Surveyors Ltd. have been instructed to assess to the daylight and sunlight implications as a result of the redevelopment of 9-12 New College Parade ("the Application Site" / "the Proposed Development"), within the London Borough of Camden.
- 1.2 This report relates to the Proposed Development and provides detailed technical support regarding the potential impact on the daylight and sunlight amenity of 6 neighbouring receptors containing / assumed to contain an element of residential accommodation.
- 1.3 The Proposed Development will comprise the retention of existing two storey facade and basement, and redevelopment to provide a four storey (plus basement) 52 x room hotel building. The building would be fully occupied by the hotel (C1) use, with accompanying restaurant at ground floor and basement levels.
- 1.4 The Local Planning Authority will be informed in this by the BRE document entitled Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight A Guide to Good Practice 2022 (BRE Guidelines)¹. The BRE Guidelines are the principal guidance in this area.
- 1.5 The BRE Guidelines are not mandatory, though decision-takers may consider the suitability of a proposed scheme for a site using the BRE guidance. Consideration will be given to the urban context within which a scheme is located, and daylight and sunlight will be one of several planning considerations which the local authority will weigh in the planning balance.

¹ Building Research Establishment 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight' – A Guide to Good Practice, 3rd Edition, 2022 (BRE Guidelines)



2 Sources of Information

2.1 In the process of compiling this report, the following sources of information have been used:

Point 2 Surveyors

Site Photography (received 29/03/22)

ZMapping Ltd.

Photogrammetry Model (received 15/03/22) New College Parade_140322_Solids XY@NE.dwg

Studio Kyson

Proposed Scheme Information (received 19/12/24) NCP_Point_2_241216.skp





3 Assessment Methodology & Application of Guidance

- 3.1 It is common practice to assess daylight and sunlight by reference to the guidelines set out in the 2022 Building Research Establishment ("BRE") Report 'Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight - A guide to good practice' (the "BRE Guidelines"). This document is widely accepted by planning authorities as the means by which to consider the effect of development on the daylight and sunlight enjoyed by neighbouring buildings. It is also used to assess daylight and sunlight within new development.
- 3.2 The BRE daylight and sunlight guidance was established in relation to a sub-urban environment. As such, the default nationwide BRE numerical criteria are based on 25° development angles, which are frequently inappropriate, and indeed unachievable, in urban areas.
- 3.3 The BRE Guidelines emphasise to the user, whether that be designers, consultants or planning officials to apply the guidelines in a manner that is appropriate for a particular situation. For example, in the introductory summary it states:

"This guide as a comprehensive revision of the 2011 edition of site layout planning for daylight and sunlight. **It is purely advisory and the numerical target values within it may be varied to meet the needs of the development and its location.** Appendix F explains how this can be done in a logical way while retaining consistency with the British Standard Recommendations on interior lighting."

3.4 In Section 1: Introduction, at paragraph 1.6 it states:

"the guide is intended for building designers and their clients, consultants and planning officials. **The advice given here is not mandatory** and the guide should not be seen as an instrument of planning policy; its aim is to help rather than constrain the designer. **Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly** since natural lighting is only one of the many factors in site layout design. **In special circumstances the developer or planning authority may wish to use different target values. For example,** in historic city centres **or in an area with modern high-rise buildings, a higher degree of obstruction may be unavoidable if new developments are to match the height and proportions of existing buildings.**"

3.5 At paragraph 2.2.3 (Existing Buildings), it states:

"Note that the numerical values given here are purely advisory. Different criteria may be used based on the requirement for daylighting viewed against other site layout constraints. Another important issue is whether the existing building is itself a good neighbour, standing a reasonable distance from the boundary and taking no more than its fair share of light. Appendix F gives further guidance"

3.6 In Appendix F it states at section F1:

5



"Sections 2.1 and 2.2 and 2.3 give numerical target values in assessing how much light from the sky is blocked by obstructing buildings. **These values are purely advisory and** *different targets may be used on special requirements of the proposed development or its location.*"

- 3.7 The numerical advice offered by the BRE is not mandatory and a practical application of the target values is required as natural lighting is only one of many factors that should be considered. Where appropriate, the BRE Guidelines acknowledge the use of alternative target values.
- 3.8 Furthermore, in recent years, National Planning Policy Framework ("NPPF") and Mayor of London Supplementary Planning Guidance ("SPG") encourages a more flexible interpretation of the BRE Guidelines to properly optimise site potential. This supports the use of considering alternate daylight targets. In urban areas, retained Vertical Sky Components ("VSCs") between 15% and 18% have frequently been considered acceptable by local authorities, the Greater London Authority ("GLA") and Inspectors at appeal.
- 3.9 In relation to the properties surrounding a site, usually the local planning authority will only be concerned with the impact to main habitable accommodation (i.e. living rooms, bedrooms and kitchens) within residential properties. Non-habitable rooms such as bathrooms and hallways have not been considered within this report.
- 3.10 To determine whether a neighbouring existing building may be adversely affected, the initial test provided by the BRE is to establish if any part of the proposal subtends an angle of more than 25° from the lowest window serving the existing building. If this is the case then there may be an adverse effect, and more detailed calculations are required to quantify the extent of any impact.
- 3.11 The BRE Guidelines provide two principal measures of daylight for assessing the impact on properties neighbouring a site, namely Vertical Sky Component ("VSC") and No-Sky Line ("NSL").
- 3.12 In relation to sunlight, we examine the BRE Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH); and in relation to sunlight amenity to gardens and amenity spaces, we apply the quantitative BRE overshadowing guidance.
- 3.13 These measures of daylight and sunlight are discussed in the following paragraphs -

Diffuse Daylight

- 3.14 **Vertical Sky Component ("VSC")** VSC is a measure of the direct skylight reaching a point from an overcast sky. It is the ratio of the illuminance at a point on a given vertical plane to the illuminance at a point on a horizontal plane due to an unobstructed sky.
- 3.15 For existing buildings, the BRE Guideline is based on the loss of VSC at a point at the centre of a window, on the outer plane of the wall.





- 3.16 The BRE Guidelines state that if the VSC at the centre of a window is less than 27%, and it is less than 0.8 times its former value (i.e. the proportional reduction is greater than 20%), then the reduction in skylight will be noticeable, and the existing building may be adversely affected.
- 3.17 Where there are multiple windows serving a room, an overall VSC can be derived by weighting the VSC for each window in accordance with its window area. This method should not be used where the windows are more than 5m apart.
- 3.18 Where balconies and large overhangs are present on neighbouring residential properties, in the first instance, the VSC is calculated at the centre of each window on the main facade to ascertain whether the proportional reductions will be noticeable, and whether the retained VSCs would be considered suitable for the locale (as above). However, as stated at paragraph 2.2.13, the BRE Guidelines state:

"Existing windows with balconies above them typically receive less daylight. Because the balcony cuts out light from the top part of the sky, even a modest obstruction opposite may result in large relative impact on the VSC."

- 3.19 It is therefore suggested that a further assessment is carried out where the effect of balconies is removed to show that the presence of the balcony, rather than the size of the new obstruction, was the main factor in the relative loss of light. The VSC results should therefore also be considered with the effects of any balconies/overhangs removed from the calculations.
- 3.20 **No-Sky Line ("NSL")** NSL is a measure of the distribution of daylight within a room. It maps out the region within a room where light can penetrate directly from the sky, and therefore accounts for the size of and number of windows by simple geometry. It may be used where the room layouts are known.
- 3.21 The BRE suggests that the area of the working plane (set at 850mm above the floor) within a room that can receive direct skylight should not be reduced to less than 0.8 times its former value (i.e. the proportional reduction in area should not be greater than 20%).
- 3.22 It should be noted that Appendix F of the BRE Guidelines does not recommend the use of NSL where alternative urban daylight targets are used, paragraph F6 says:

"In assessing the loss of light to an existing building, the VSC is generally recommended as the appropriate parameter to use. This is because the VSC depends only on obstruction, and is therefore a measure of the daylit environment as a whole."

Sunlight

7

3.23 Annual Probable Sunlight Hours ("APSH") – In relation to sunlight, the BRE recommends that the APSH received at a given window in the proposed case should be at least 25% of the total available, including at least 5% in winter.



- 3.24 Where the proposed values fall short of these, and the absolute loss is greater than 4%, then the proposed values should not be less than 0.8 times their previous value in each period (i.e. the proportional reductions should not be greater than 20%).
- 3.25 The BRE Guidelines state that:

"...all main living rooms of dwellings, and conservatories, should be checked if they have a window facing within 90 degrees of due south. Kitchens and bedrooms are less important, although care should be taken not to block out too much sun. Normally loss of sunlight need not be analysed to kitchens and bedrooms...."

3.26 The APSH figures are calculated for each window, and where a room is served by more than one window the contribution of each is accounted for in the overall figures for the room. The acceptability criteria are applied to overall room-based figures.

9

4 Parameters and Assumptions

- 4.1 To calculate the various measures of daylight and sunlight it is necessary to construct a three-dimensional computer model. The model is then analysed using proprietary software to calculate the various measures of daylight and sunlight associated with the identified receptors.
- 4.2 The 3D model was created to reproduce the massing of the buildings both on and surrounding the Application Site at a level of detail appropriate to the calculations performed. All heights in the model are in mm Above Ordnance Datum ("AOD").
- 4.3 In assessing the impact of a new development on neighbouring properties, it is usual to only consider main habitable spaces (i.e. bedrooms, living rooms and kitchens) within residential properties that contain a site-facing window. In accordance with the BRE Guidelines and British Standard guidance, VSC and APSH values have been calculated at the window centre, on the outside wall face.
- 4.4 Best estimates were made in establishing building use (residential or commercial) and room uses; generally, these were made from external observation, VOA searches and recourse to planning records where available. Where floor plan information could not be obtained, reasonable assumptions have been made as to the internal configuration of the rooms behind the fenestration. Unless the building form suggests otherwise, rooms have generally been assumed as 4.2 meters deep or half the depth of the building.



5 The Application Site

- 5.1 The Application Site is in the London Borough of Camden.

Fig.1 Drawing Number: P3000/02 – 3D View – Existing Building

5.2 Our understanding of the Application Site location and existing building(s) that occupy the Application Site are illustrated in drawing numbers P3000/01-03, contained within Appendix 1.



6 The Proposed Development



Fig. 2 Drawing Number: P3000/64 – 3D View – Proposed Development

6.1 Our understanding of the Proposed Development is illustrated in drawing numbers P3000/63-65, contained within Appendix 1.



7 The Surrounding Properties

- 7.1 The following properties are identified / assumed to contain residential accommodation. Due to their proximity to the Application Site, the impact the Proposed Development has upon the daylight and sunlight amenity of their habitable rooms (bedrooms, living rooms and kitchens) has been assessed:
 - 1. Harrold House (1A/1B/1C)
 - 2. 9-10 College House
 - 3. New College Court
 - 4. College Court
 - 5. 39 College Crescent Road
 - 6. Palmers Lodge



7.2 The location of these properties can be seen illustrated in the drawings contained within Appendix 1, and on the extract below:

Fig. 3 Identification Drawing ("the Plan")

7.3 Detailed results for each window and associated room assessed can be found in Appendix 2 and are summarised in Section 8.

March 2025

8 Assessment Results

- 8.1 A total of 160 windows serving 122 identified / assumed habitable rooms have been assessed across 6 properties.
- 8.2 Palmers Lodge and Harold House will remain fully compliant with the BRE guidelines and these properties will not experience any noticeable daylight or sunlight impact and do not need to be considered further. The remaining properties are discussed in more detail below.
- 8.3 In assessing the overall daylight and sunlight effects on the remaining properties, it is important to remember that the BRE Guidelines urge that:

"The advice given here is not mandatory ... Although it gives numerical guidelines these should be interpreted flexibly".

- 8.4 Furthermore, as was evident in Rainbird², it is accepted that daylight is a two-stage process:
 - First, as a matter of calculation, whether there would be a material deterioration in conditions; and
 - Second, as a matter of judgement, whether that deterioration would be acceptable in the circumstances of the case, including the local context.
- 8.5 In addition, the National Planning Policy Framework ("NPPF") and Mayor of London Supplementary Planning Guidance ("SPG") encourages a more flexible interpretation of the BRE Guidelines to properly optimise site potential. This supports the use of considering alternate daylight targets. In urban areas, retained Vertical Sky Components ("VSCs") between 15% and 18% have frequently been considered acceptable by local authorities, the Greater London Authority ("GLA") and Inspectors at appeal.

9-10 College House

- 8.6 This is a 3-storey property adjacent to the Site on the southeast side. The ground floor of this property is in commercial use and the two upper floors contain residential apartments.
- 8.7 We have sourced partial floor plans and incorporated them within our analysis model. In total we tested 11 windows serving 5 habitable rooms.





Daylight

8.8 The VSC results show that 9 out of 11 windows tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines. The two windows that fall short of their suggested targets are W2/201 and W1/202 and they are shaded in red and amber in Fig. 4 below. These windows serve bedrooms, which are less sensitive in daylight terms, and they are accompanied by another fully compliant window within the same room, which will decrease the overall effect on these rooms. The affected ground floor window looks directly onto the Site and has already VSC below the recommended 27%, therefore, it is not unexpected that any additional viable mass on the Site will cause reductions beyond the BRE guidelines.



Fig. 4 VSC Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

8.9 When the NSL method is considered, 4 out of 5 rooms tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines. The one room that falls short of its recommended targets (bedroom R2/201) does so only marginally, 23.9% against the BRE allowance of 20% and is identified by yellow windows in Fig. 5 below. The retained NSL will be 54.3%.





Fig. 5 NSL Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

Sunlight

8.10 With regards to sunlight, none of the site-facing living rooms assessed has a window orientated within 90° due south. Therefore, the property does not qualify for sunlight assessment.

Summary

8.11 In summary, any adverse effect is limited to 2 bedrooms, which are considered less sensitive in daylight terms than the main living spaces. The most affected ground floor bedroom window looks directly onto the Site and is already compromised; therefore, it is not unexpected that it will experience VSC reductions beyond the BRE allowance of 20% if any viable mass is to be added on the Site. When NSL is considered, the results show that these 2 bedrooms will experience no more than marginal losses on balance as a result of the Proposed Development.

New College Court

8.12 This is a 5-storey property adjacent to the Site on the northwest side and is in residential use.





8.13 We have sourced partial floor plans and incorporated them within our analysis model. In total we tested 20 windows serving 20 rooms. Two columns of 5 windows each in the recessed part of the block serve small kitchens and the remaining windows tested on the rear elevation serve bedrooms. While mainly the windows closest to the Site will have an aspect of the Proposed Development, the remaining windows have been tested to demonstrate the typical levels of light currently enjoyed within the property.

Daylight

8.14 The VSC results show that 16 out of 20 windows tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines and these windows are highlighted in green in Fig. 6 and 7 below.



Fig. 6 VSC Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%





Fig. 7 VSC Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

- 8.15 Out of 4 windows that fall short of their recommended targets, 1 does so only marginally and it is shaded yellow in Fig.6. The remaining 3 windows will experience a greater reduction in their VSC and they are shaded in red. All affected windows serve galley kitchens that have an area of less than 7.5 m². Currently, the affected windows enjoy VSC levels between 11.13% to 20.03% which will reduce to between 6% and 15.4% post-development. However, all these rooms will remain NSL compliant with only negligible changes in their daylight distribution contours.
- 8.16 Moreover, the windows tested in the recessed centre part of the block which corresponds to the affected windows, serve similarly small kitchens and they were tested to demonstrate the typical VSC levels within the building. These windows experience VSC levels between 3.6% on the ground floor to 9.4% on the third floor and as they do not have an aspect of the Site will remain at the same level post-development. The VSC values for these kitchen windows are lower than the retained VSC values for the affected kitchens close to the Site, showing that the Proposed Development will not reduce the daylight levels below the ones already experienced by the property.
- 8.17 When the NSL method is considered, the results show that all 20 rooms tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines.



8.18 Lastly, it is also important to remember that The Mayor of London's guidance, contained within SPG 10 (March 2016) indicates that kitchens below 13-15 m² are usually counted as non-habitable for planning purposes. Each assessed kitchen within New College Court falls significantly short of this threshold and could potentially be discounted from the assessment. We have assessed them for completeness; however, the results should be interpreted in light of the above and with consideration of fully compliant NSL results.

Sunlight

8.19 With regards to sunlight, none of the site-facing living rooms assessed have a window orientated within 90° due south. Therefore, the property does not qualify for sunlight assessment.

Summary

8.20 In summary, any adverse effect is limited to 4 small galley kitchens which typically would be considered as non-habitable for planning purposes. Furthermore, despite reductions in their VSC levels beyond the BRE 20% allowance, they will retain levels in line with the existing VSC levels in other similar kitchens within the same block and all will remain fully compliant in NSL terms.

College Court

- 8.21 This is a 4-storey residential block of flats located to the north of the Site.
- 8.22 We have sourced partial floor plans and incorporated them within our analysis model. In total we tested 26 windows serving 15 rooms.

Daylight

8.23 The VSC results show that 24 out of 26 windows tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines and these windows are highlighted in green in Fig. 8 below. The two windows that fall short of their suggested targets do so only marginally and they are hatched in yellow in Fig. 8. The retained VSC for these 2 windows are 17.4% and 18.1%, which are in line with what is typical in an urban environment.



19



Fig. 8 VSC Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

8.24 When the NSL method is considered, the results show that 13 out of 15 rooms tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines. The 2 rooms that transgress (R1/399 & R3/399) will experience a percentage reduction of 39.2% and 36.9%, respectively and they have windows shaded in amber in Fig. 9 below. These 2 rooms will achieve an NSL between 57.4% and 60% post-development.



20



Fig.9 NSL Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

Sunlight

8.25 In relation to sunlight, all qualifying rooms/windows at the property will fully comply with the BRE guidelines in APSH terms.

Summary

8.26 In summary, any adverse effect is limited to 2 ground floor rooms which, despite the reductions in their daylight, will retain VSC and NSL levels considered commensurate with an urban environment. All rooms will fully comply with the BRE guidelines in sunlight terms.

39 College Crescent Road

- 8.27 This is a series of 4-storey houses located to the east of the Site.
- 8.28 We have sourced partial floor plans for these properties and incorporated them within our analysis model. In total we tested 28 windows serving 20 rooms.





Daylight

8.29 The VSC results show that 21 out of 28 windows tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines and these windows are highlighted in green in Fig. 10 below. Out of 7 windows that fall short of their suggested targets, 5 do so only marginally and they are shaded in yellow in Fig. 10. The two remaining windows are the ground floor LD windows W2/499 and W3/499 (shaded in amber in Fig.10) and they will experience a reduction of 30.70% and 37.76%, respectively. However, it must be noted that the lowest retained VSC value at this property is 18.8%, which is a level typically considered good and acceptable in an urban environment. Furthermore, windows within 39 College Crescent are unusually large and they occupy nearly the whole window wall, allowing for adequate daylight to be reached despite the higher level of obstruction attributed to the Proposed Development.



Fig. 10 VSC Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

8.30 When the NSL method is considered, the results show that 18 out of 20 rooms tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines. The 2 rooms that transgress are R1/499 and R2/499 and they will experience a percentage reduction of 52% and 31.7%, respectively and will maintain an NSL coverage between 48% and 68%. The affected rooms are shaded in red and amber in Fig. 11. Based on the floor plans sourced, the affected rooms are over 6.3m deep. Please note that the BRE guidelines recognise that in rooms deeper than 5m a greater movement of the no sky line may be unavoidable, which is the case here.





Fig.11 NSL Results (percentage reduction), green is BRE compliant, yellow 20-29.9% reduction, amber 30-39.9% & red is >40%

Sunlight

8.31 In relation to sunlight, all qualifying rooms/windows at the property will fully comply with the BRE guidelines in APSH terms.

Summary

- 8.32 Currently, the property enjoys very high levels of daylight and sunlight. While postdevelopment, a small number of windows and rooms will experience reductions in VSC and NSL terms beyond the 20% BRE allowance, all windows will maintain at least 18.8% VSC which is considered a good level in an urban environment. Moreover, the 2 affected rooms are unusually deep, which contributes to a greater movement of the NSL, as discussed above. All rooms/windows are fully compliant in sunlight terms.
- 8.33 Furthermore, we note that 39 College Crescent was built in around 2013 following the planning application ref.2008/5896/P, allowed on the appeal. The daylight and sunlight report submitted with the application showed that a number of windows within College Court and Palmers Lodge experienced reductions beyond the BRE guidelines as a result of 39 College Crescent proposals, with the maximum percentage reduction for the College Court of 43.9% and 90.1% for Palmers Lodge. This illustrates that when developing in this locality, some isolated areas of transgression may be unavoidable and still considered acceptable on balance.



9 Conclusion

- 9.1 We have undertaken an assessment of the potential daylight and sunlight effects associated with the Proposed Development at 9-12 New College Parade.
- 9.2 When the VSC method is considered, 145 (91%) out of 160 windows tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines.
- 9.3 When the NSL method is considered, 117 (96%) out of 122 rooms tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines.
- 9.4 When the APSH method is considered, all 44 (100%) relevant rooms tested will fully comply with the BRE guidelines.
- 9.5 When developing in an urban environment, it is often inevitable to cause some increase in the level of obstruction and, subsequently, some proportionate changes in daylight that exceed default BRE guidelines. However, where there are transgressions, they are isolated, and the affected windows/rooms will comply with at least one daylight method of assessment. Furthermore, the retained daylight levels recorded are considered commensurate with an urban environment, showing the properties will maintain light levels typically experienced in similar typologies.
- 9.6 The Proposed Development has been carefully designed, and while it will increase the height of the current building on Site, it will continue with the current townscape to respect its neighbours.
- 9.7 Overall, the design of the Proposed Development follows the practical application of the BRE guidelines and respects the existing amenities for neighbouring residential accommodation as much as practically possible. Following the construction of the Proposed Development, the relevant neighbouring properties will continue to enjoy good levels of natural light.



Appendix 1: Drawings

| New | College | Crescent Rd |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| College Court | | |
| | Finchley Road | 9-10 College House |
| Harrold House | | |

College Court

Sources: Z-Mapping LTD 3D CAD model of site and surroundings

Studio Kyson Site Survey (received 01/11/23) 2023-10-31_Point 2-Survey_9-12_New_College_Parade.dwg

Key: Existing Buildings Proposed Scheme

Consented Scheme

Studio Kyson Proposed Scheme (received 24/06/24) 655-21_Planning Reduced scheme 2024- point 2.dwgg

Scheme Confirmed: Date: Drawn By: Dwg No: Scale: Date: P3000/57 NI 1:450 @A3 Jul 24 Point 2 Surveyors Limited, 17 Slingsby Place, London, WC2E 9AB | 0207 836 5828 | point2.co.uk

Project: 9-12 New College Parade South Hampstead London





Title: Site Plan Existing Buildings



Harrold House

College Court

.

39 College Crescent Rd

9-10 College House

181

New College Court

Sources: Z-Mapping LTD 3D CAD model of site and surroundings

Studio Kyson Site Survey (received 01/11/23) 2023-10-31_Point 2-Survey_9-12_New_College_Parade.dwg

Studio Kyson Proposed Scheme (received 24/06/24) 655-21_Planning Reduced scheme 2024- point 2.dwgg

| Key: Existing Buildings Proposed Scheme Consented Scheme | | Project: 9-12 New College Parade South Hampstead London | | Title: 3D View Existing Buildings | | |
|--|------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| All Heights in mm AOD | | | | | | |
| Scheme Confirmed: | Date: - | Drawn By: NI | Scale: NA @A3 | Date: Jul 24 | Dwg No: P3000/58 | Rel: |
| | | | Point 2 Surveyors L | imited, 17 Slingsby F | Place, London, WC2E 9AB 0207 836 58 | 828 |









Studio Kyson Site Survey (received 01/11/23) 2023-10-31_Point 2-Survey_9-12_New_College_Parade.dwg

Studio Kyson Proposed Scheme (received 24/06/24) 655-21_Planning Reduced scheme 2024- point 2.dwgg

| Key: Existing Buildings Proposed Scheme Consented Scheme All Heights in mm AOD | | Project: 9-12 New C South Ham London | ollege Parade pstead | | Title: 3D View Existing Buildings | |
|---|------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Scheme Confirmed: - | Date: - | Drawn By: NI | Scale: NA @A3 | Date: Jul 24 | Dwg No: P3000/59 | Rel: 11 |
| | | | Point 2 Surveyors I | Limited, 17 Slingsby F | Place, London, WC2E 9AB 0207 836 58 | 328 point2.co.uk |





College Court 39 College Crescent Rd New College Court Imers Lodge 9-10 College House Finchley Road Harrold House Project: 9-12 New College Parade South Hampstead London Sources: Z-Mapping LTD 3D CAD model of site and surroundings Key: Existing Buildings Title: Site Plan Proposed Scheme 19/12/24 Proposed Scheme

Studio Kyson Site Survey (received 01/11/23) 2023-10-31_Point 2-Survey_9-12_New_College_Parade.dwg

Consented Scheme

Studio Kyson Proposed Scheme (received 19/12/24) NCP_Point_2_241216.skp







Harrold House

College Court

39 College Crescent Rd

9-10 College House

181

New College Court

Sources: Z-Mapping LTD 3D CAD model of site and surroundings

Studio Kyson Site Survey (received 01/11/23) 2023-10-31_Point 2-Survey_9-12_New_College_Parade.dwg

Studio Kyson Proposed Scheme (received 19/12/24) NCP_Point_2_241216.skp

| All Heights in mm AOD Date: Date: Date: Dwg No: Proposed Scheme 19/12/24 Scheme Confirmed: Date: NI NA @A3 Jan 25 P3000/64 Rel: | Point 2 Surveyors Limited, 17 Slingsby Place, London, WC2E 9AB 0207 836 5828 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------|
| All Heights in mm AOD | Scheme Confirmed: Date: | Drawn By: NI | Scale: NA @A3 | Date: Jan 25 | Dwg No: P3000/64 | Rel: |
| Kev: Sector Building Duilding | Existing Buildings Proposed Scheme Consented Scheme All Heights in mm AOD | Project: 9-12 New South Har London | College Parade npstead | | Proposed Scheme 19/12/24 | |

71900









| Studio Kyson | |
|---|------------|
| Site Survey (received 01/11/23) | |
| 2023-10-31_Point 2-Survey_9-12_New_College_ | _Parade.dw |
| | |

Studio Kyson Proposed Scheme (received 19/12/24) NCP_Point_2_241216.skp

| wg | Key: Existing Buildings Proposed Scheme Consented Scheme All Heights in mm AOD | | Project: 9-12 New Co South Hamp London | ollege Parade Istead | | Title: 3D View Proposed Scheme 19/12/24 | |
|----|---|-------|--|-------------------------|--------|--|----|
| | Scheme Confirmed: | Date: | Drawn By: | Scale: | Date: | Dwg No: | Re |
| | - | - | NI | NA @A3 | Jan 25 | P3000/65 | |







Point 2 Surveyors Limited, 17 Slingsby Place, London, WC2E 9AB

0207 836 5828 point2.co.uk





9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing VSC | Proposed VSC | Loss | %Loss |
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| Harrold House | | | | | | |
| R2/101 | | W3/101 | 15.52 | 14.94 | 0.58 | 3.74 |
| R3/101 | | W4/101 | 15.50 | 14.83 | 0.67 | 4.32 |
| R5/101 | | W7/101 | 15.60 | 14.74 | 0.86 | 5.51 |
| R6/101 | | W8/101 | 15.64 | 14.70 | 0.94 | 6.01 |
| R8/101 | | W11/101 | 15.71 | 14.66 | 1.05 | 6.68 |
| R9/101 | | W12/101 | 15.76 | 14.67 | 1.09 | 6.92 |
| R11/101 | | W15/101 | 15.91 | 14.77 | 1.14 | 7.17 |
| R13/101 | | W18/101 | 10.87 | 10.60 | 0.27 | 2.48 |
| R14/101 | | W19/101 | 12.05 | 11.76 | 0.29 | 2.41 |
| R16/101 | | W22/101 | 13.27 | 13.02 | 0.25 | 1.88 |
| R17/101 | | W23/101 | 13.60 | 13.36 | 0.24 | 1.76 |
| R19/101 | | W26/101 | 13.50 | 13.30 | 0.20 | 1.48 |
| R20/101 | | W27/101 | 12.93 | 12.73 | 0.20 | 1.55 |
| R22/101 | | W30/101 | 11.44 | 11.28 | 0.16 | 1.40 |
| R23/101 | | W31/101 | 10.33 | 10.19 | 0.14 | 1.36 |
| R25/101 | | W34/101 | 7.64 | 7.51 | 0.13 | 1.70 |
| R26/101 | | W36/101 | 16.15 | 15.96 | 0.19 | 1.18 |
| R27/101 | | W35/101 | 16.38 | 16.17 | 0.21 | 1.28 |
| R1/102 | | W1/102 | 18.07 17.63 | 17.79 17.33 | 0.28 | 1.55 |
| R2/102 | | W/3/102 | 17.05 | 16.77 | 0.38 | 2.22 |
| R3/102 | | W/4/102 | 18.08 | 17.62 | 0.46 | 2.22 |
| R3/102 | | W5/102 | 17.66 | 17.18 | 0.48 | 2.72 |
| R4/102 | | W6/102 | 17.24 | 16.68 | 0.56 | 3.25 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing VSC | Proposed VSC | Loss | %Loss |
|--------------------|----------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| | | | | | | |
| R5/102 | | W7/102 | 18.16 | 17.55 | 0.61 | 3.36 |
| R5/102 | | W8/102 | 17.74 | 17.12 | 0.62 | 3.49 |
| , | | , | | | | |
| R6/102 | | W9/102 | 17.33 | 16.67 | 0.66 | 3.81 |
| R7/102 | | W10/102 | 18.26 | 17.59 | 0.67 | 3.67 |
| R7/102 | | W11/102 | 17.83 | 17.16 | 0.67 | 3.76 |
| | | | | | | |
| R8/102 | | W12/102 | 13.12 | 12.98 | 0.14 | 1.07 |
| R8/102 | | W13/102 | 13.03 | 12.88 | 0.15 | 1.15 |
| R9/102 | | W14/102 | 14.20 | 14.04 | 0.16 | 1.13 |
| D10/102 | | W15 (100 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| R10/102 | | W15/102 | 15.80 | 15.66 | 0.14 | 0.89 |
| K10/102 | | VV 10/ 102 | 15.55 | 15.42 | 0.15 | 0.84 |
| R11/102 | | W17/102 | 15.69 | 15.57 | 0.12 | 0.76 |
| R12/102 | | W18/102 | 16.68 | 16 57 | 0.11 | 0.66 |
| R12/102 | | W19/102 | 16.20 | 16.10 | 0.10 | 0.62 |
| 112/102 | | 10/10/ | 10.20 | 10.10 | 0.10 | 0.02 |
| R13/102 | | W20/102 | 15.25 | 15.16 | 0.09 | 0.59 |
| R14/102 | | W/21/102 | 15 56 | 15 / 9 | 0.08 | 0.51 |
| R14/102 R14/102 | | W22/102 | 14.89 | 13.48 | 0.08 | 0.31 |
| 114/102 | | WZZ/10Z | 14.05 | 14.02 | 0.07 | 0.47 |
| R15/102 | | W23/102 | 13.22 | 13.17 | 0.05 | 0.38 |
| | | | | | | |
| R16/102 | | W24/102 | 13.09 | 13.03 | 0.06 | 0.46 |
| R16/102 | | W25/102 | 12.34 | 12.28 | 0.06 | 0.49 |
| D17/100 | | W2C/102 | 17.00 | 17 70 | 0.00 | 0.51 |
| K17/102 | | VV26/102 | 17.82 | 17.73 | 0.09 | 0.51 |
| R18/102 | | W27/102 | 17.60 | 17.53 | 0.07 | 0.40 |
| D.4.44.00 | | | | | | |
| R1/103 | | W1/103 | 25.93 | 25.84 | 0.09 | 0.35 |
| R2/103 | | W2/103 | 26.06 | 25.93 | 0.13 | 0.50 |
| | | | | | | |
| R3/103 | | W3/103 | 26.21 | 26.04 | 0.17 | 0.65 |
| D4/102 | | W/4/100 | 26 41 | 26.10 | 0.22 | 0.02 |
| 1/4/ 102 | | VV4/100 | 20.41 | 20.19 | 0.22 | 0.65 |
| R5/103 | | W5/103 | 26.54 | 26.30 | 0.24 | 0.90 |
| | | | | | | |
| R6/103 | | W6/103 | 26.70 | 26.45 | 0.25 | 0.94 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

DAYLIGHT

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing VSC | Proposed VSC | Loss | %Loss |
|----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| R7/103 | | W/7/103 | 26.82 | 26 57 | 0.25 | 0.93 |
| N//103 | | W0/100 | 21.04 | 21.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R8/103 | | W8/103 | 21.94 | 21.92 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| R9/103 | | W9/103 | 22.89 | 22.86 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| R10/103 | | W10/103 | 23.47 | 23.44 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| R11/103 | | W11/103 | 23.87 | 23.85 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| R12/103 | | W12/103 | 23.98 | 23.96 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| R13/103 | | W13/103 | 23.69 | 23.67 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| R14/103 | | W14/103 | 23.81 | 23.80 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| R15/103 | | W15/103 | 23.20 | 23.19 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| R16/103 | | W16/103 | 22.24 | 22.23 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| R17/103 | | W17/103 | 19.06 | 19.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R18/103 | | W18/103 | 18.85 | 18.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 9-10 College H | louse | | | | | |
| R2/201 | BEDROOM | W2/201 | 17.23 | 3.13 | 14.10 | 81.83 |
| R2/201 | BEDROOM | W3/201 | 20.65 | 19.42 | 1.23 | 5.96 |
| R3/201 | BEDROOM | W4/201 | 20.94 | 20.40 | 0.54 | 2.58 |
| R3/201 | BEDROOM | W5/201 | 21.01 | 20.61 | 0.40 | 1.90 |
| R3/201 | BEDROOM | W6/201 | 14.07 | 14.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/201 | KITCHEN | W7/201 | 15.36 | 15.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/201 | KITCHEN | W8/201 | 15.31 | 15.30 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| R1/202 | BEDROOM | W1/202 | 27.16 | 18.08 | 9.08 | 33.43 |
| R1/202 | BEDROOM | W2/202 | 26.64 | 22.39 | 4.25 | 15.95 |
| R2/202 | LKD | W3/202 | 26.77 | 24.99 | 1.78 | 6.65 |
| R2/202 | LKD | W4/202 | 27.70 | 27.07 | 0.63 | 2.27 |
| New College C | Court | | | | | |
| R1/301 | BEDROOM | W1/301 | 14.45 | 14.42 | 0.03 | 0.21 |

org:P2-300019-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead.3000\Rel12\APR191224.xls cur: \\london\Projects\300019-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead.3000\Reports\DLSL Pre app Letter - January 2025\Analysis\APR191224



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing VSC | Proposed VSC | Loss | %Loss |
|---------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------|---------------|
| R3/301 | KITCHEN | W3/301 | 11.13 | 5.98 | 5.15 | 46.27 |
| R4/301 | BEDROOM | W4/301 | 12.96 | 12.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R6/301 | KITCHEN | W6/301 | 3.64 | 3.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/302 | BEDROOM | W1/302 | 18.39 | 18.38 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| R3/302 | KITCHEN | W3/302 | 15.23 | 7.56 | 7.67 | 50.36 |
| R4/302 | BEDROOM | W4/302 | 16.75 | 16.74 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| R6/302 | KITCHEN | W6/302 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/303 | BEDROOM | W1/303 | 23.61 | 23.60 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| R3/303 | KITCHEN | W3/303 | 17.55 | 9.90 | 7.65 | 43.59 |
| R4/303 | BEDROOM | W4/303 | 22.00 | 22.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R6/303 | KITCHEN | W6/303 | 6.47 | 6.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/304 | BEDROOM | W1/304 | 28.90 | 28.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R3/304 | KITCHEN | W3/304 | 20.32 | 15.40 | 4.92 | 24.21 |
| R4/304 | BEDROOM | W4/304 | 27.67 | 27.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R6/304 | KITCHEN | W6/304 | 9.42 | 9.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/305 | BEDROOM | W1/305 | 34.07 | 34.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R3/305 | KITCHEN | W3/305 | 26.03 | 26.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/305 | BEDROOM | W4/305 | 33.27 | 33.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R6/305 | KITCHEN | W6/305 | 19.94 | 19.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| College Court | | | | | | |
| R1/399 | | W1/399 | 18.50 19.73 | 16.67 16.50 | 1.83 | 9.89 16 37 |
| R2/399 | | W3/399 | 20.98 | 16.84 | 4.14 | 19 73 |
| R3/200 | | W// /200 | 20.00 | 17 27 | 5.61 | 2/ /1 |
| R3/399 | | W5/399 | 25.33 | 18.09 | 7.24 | 28.58 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing | Proposed | Loss | %Loss |
|----------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| | | | 130 | 100 | | |
| R1/400 | LKD | W1/400 | 22.55 | 21.26 | 1.29 | 5.72 |
| R1/400 | LKD | W2/400 | 23.62 | 21.26 | 2.36 | 9.99 |
| R2/400 | | W3/400 | 27.34 | 23.44 | 3,90 | 14.26 |
| R2/400 | | W4/400 | 28.79 | 23.11 | 5.68 | 19.73 |
| R2/400 | | W5/400 | 22.33 | 20.38 | 1.95 | 8.73 |
| R3/400 | | W6/400 | 16.46 | 15.11 | 1.35 | 8.20 |
| R1/401 | | W1/401 | 29.52 | 29.07 | 0.45 | 1.52 |
| R2/401 | | W2/401 | 30.21 | 29.32 | 0.89 | 2.95 |
| R3/401 | LKD | W3/401 | 32.06 | 30.38 | 1.68 | 5.24 |
| R3/401 | LKD | W4/401 | 33.60 | 31.38 | 2.22 | 6.61 |
| R3/401 | LKD | W5/401 | 28.32 | 27.54 | 0.78 | 2.75 |
| R4/401 | | W6/401 | 9.04 | 8.17 | 0.87 | 9.62 |
| R1/402 | | W1/402 | 34.43 | 34.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/402 | | W2/402 | 34.57 | 34.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/402 | | W3/402 | 34.53 | 34.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R2/402 | BEDROOM | W4/402 | 34.92 | 34.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/402 | LKD | W6/402 | 35.63 | 35.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/402 | LKD | W7/402 | 36.30 | 36.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/402 | LKD | W8/402 | 35.20 | 35.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R5/402 | | W9/402 | 26.99 | 26.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R6/402 | | W10/402 | 29.81 | 29.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 39 College Cre | escent Rd | | | | | |
| R1/499 | LK | W1/499 | 26.81 | 18.95 | 7.86 | 29.32 |
| R2/499 | LK | W2/499 | 30.27 | 18.84 | 11.43 | 37.76 |
| R3/499 | LK | W3/499 | 30.39 | 21.06 | 9.33 | 30.70 |
| R4/499 | LK | W4/499 | 29.77 | 25.52 | 4.25 | 14.28 |
| R1/500 | LIVINGROOM | W1/500 | 24.12 | 19.72 | 4.40 | 18.24 |
| R1/500 | LIVINGROOM | W2/500 | 8.27 | 8.16 | 0.11 | 1.33 |
| R1/500 | LIVINGROOM | W3/500 | 31.90 | 25.21 | 6.69 | 20.97 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing VSC | Proposed VSC | Loss | %Loss |
|---------------|------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| | | | | | | |
| R2/500 | LIVINGROOM | W4/500 | 30 51 | 23 30 | 7 21 | 23.63 |
| R2/500 | LIVINGROOM | W5/500 | 15 46 | 14 70 | 0.76 | 4 92 |
| R2/500 | LIVINGROOM | W6/500 | 33.94 | 24.26 | 9.68 | 28.52 |
| 112/ 500 | LIVINGROOM | W0/300 | 33.34 | 24.20 | 5.00 | 20.32 |
| R3/500 | LIVINGROOM | W7/500 | 34.16 | 25.22 | 8.94 | 26.17 |
| R3/500 | LIVINGROOM | W8/500 | 20.84 | 20.65 | 0.19 | 0.91 |
| R3/500 | LIVINGROOM | W9/500 | 32.49 | 27.07 | 5.42 | 16.68 |
| | | | | | | |
| R4/500 | LIVINGROOM | W10/500 | 34.45 | 30.29 | 4.16 | 12.08 |
| R4/500 | LIVINGROOM | W11/500 | 20.97 | 20.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R4/500 | LIVINGROOM | W12/500 | 31.74 | 29.32 | 2.42 | 7.62 |
| | | | | | | |
| R2/501 | BEDROOM | W2/501 | 28.34 | 25.16 | 3.18 | 11.22 |
| | | | | | | |
| R4/501 | BEDROOM | W4/501 | 36.02 | 31.37 | 4.65 | 12.91 |
| | | | | | | |
| R5/501 | BEDROOM | W5/501 | 36.73 | 32.80 | 3.93 | 10.70 |
| | | | | | | |
| R7/501 | BEDROOM | W7/501 | 33.29 | 31.39 | 1.90 | 5.71 |
| | | | | | | |
| R1/502 | BEDROOM | W1/502 | 24.26 | 23.37 | 0.89 | 3.67 |
| | | | | | | |
| R2/502 | BEDROOM | W2/502 | 29.82 | 28.61 | 1.21 | 4.06 |
| | | | | | | |
| R3/502 | BEDROOM | W3/502 | 37.36 | 35.60 | 1.76 | 4.71 |
| | | | | | | |
| R4/502 | BEDROOM | W4/502 | 30.70 | 29.15 | 1.55 | 5.05 |
| | | | | | | |
| R5/502 | BEDROOM | W5/502 | 30.39 | 29.03 | 1.36 | 4.48 |
| | | | | | | |
| R6/502 | BEDROOM | W6/502 | 38.29 | 37.00 | 1.29 | 3.37 |
| | | | | | | |
| R7/502 | BEDROOM | W7/502 | 33.50 | 32.75 | 0.75 | 2.24 |
| | | | | | | |
| R8/502 | BEDROOM | W8/502 | 30.94 | 30.47 | 0.47 | 1.52 |
| | | | | | | |
| Palmers Lodge | | | | | | |
| Ŭ | | | | | | |
| R1/599 | KITCHEN | W1/599 | 25.11 | 24.13 | 0.98 | 3.90 |
| | | | | | | |
| R2/600 | DORNITORY | W3/600 | 19.97 | 19.12 | 0.85 | 4.26 |
| R2/600 | DORNITORY | W4/600 | 17.95 | 17.23 | 0.72 | 4.01 |
| R2/600 | DORNITORY | W5/600 | 19.01 | 18.45 | 0.56 | 2.95 |
| | | - | | | | |
| R2/601 | DORNITORY | W3/601 | 37.53 | 37.25 | 0.28 | 0.75 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| Room | Room Use | Window | Existing VSC | Proposed VSC | Loss | %Loss |
|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| R3/601 | DORNITORY | W2/601 | 37.61 | 37.28 | 0.33 | 0.88 |
| R4/601 | DORNITORY | W4/601 | 35.93 | 35.70 | 0.23 | 0.64 |
| R1/602 | DORMITORY | W1/602 | 38.85 | 38.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R2/602 | DORMITORY | W2/602 | 38.72 | 38.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R1/609 | CONSERVATORY | W1/609 | 16.30 | 15.14 | 1.16 | 7.12 |
| R1/609 | CONSERVATORY | W2/609 | 29.47 | 28.62 | 0.85 | 2.88 |
| R1/609 | CONSERVATORY | W3/609 | 17.48 | 17.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



NSL ANALYSIS

9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

NSL

| Room | Room Use | Whole Room | Existing | Proposed | Loss | %Loss |
|---------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|------|-------|
| | | 3410 | 3410 | Synt | SqT | |
| Harrold House | | | | | | |
| narroid nouse | | | | | | |
| R2/101 | | 89.8 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R3/101 | | 72.7 | 64.4 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R5/101 | | 89.8 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/101 | | 72.7 | 64.4 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R8/101 | | 89.8 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R9/101 | | 72.7 | 64.4 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R11/101 | | 89.8 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R13/101 | | 78.6 | 69.2 | 69.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R14/101 | | 63.7 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R16/101 | | 78.6 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R17/101 | | 63.7 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R19/101 | | 78.6 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R20/101 | | 63.7 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R22/101 | | 78.6 | 65.8 | 65.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R23/101 | | 63.7 | 55.4 | 55.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R25/101 | | 100.6 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R26/101 | | 56.5 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R27/101 | | 54.1 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/102 | | 143.1 | 136.3 | 136.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/102 | | 72.7 | 68.9 | 68.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/102 | | 143.1 | 136.3 | 136.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/102 | | 72.7 | 68.9 | 68.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R5/102 | | 143.1 | 136.3 | 136.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/102 | | 72.7 | 68.9 | 68.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R7/102 | | 143.1 | 136.3 | 136.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R8/102 | | 125.2 | 107.1 | 107.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R9/102 | | 63.7 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R10/102 | | 125.2 | 108.1 | 108.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R11/102 | | 63.7 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R12/102 | | 125.2 | 115.9 | 115.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R13/102 | | 63.7 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R14/102 | | 125.2 | 117.7 | 117.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R15/102 | | 63.7 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R16/102 | | 147.2 | 128.5 | 128.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R17/102 | | 54.1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R18/102 | | 56.5 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/103 | | 143.1 | 135.0 | 135.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/103 | | 72.7 | 70.3 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/103 | | 143.1 | 135.0 | 135.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/103 | | 72.7 | 70.3 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R5/103 | | 143.1 | 135.0 | 135.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/103 | | 72.7 | 70.3 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R7/103 | | 143.1 | 135.0 | 135.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R8/103 | | 125.2 | 113.5 | 113.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R9/103 | | 63.7 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



NSL ANALYSIS

9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

NSL

| Room | Room Use | Whole Room sq ft | Existing sq ft | Proposed sq ft | Loss sq ft | %Loss |
|------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|
| / | | | | | | |
| R10/103 | | 125.2 | 116.7 | 116.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R11/103 | | 63.7 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R12/103 | | 125.2 | 116.7 | 116.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R13/103 | | 63.7 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R14/103 | | 125.2 | 116.7 | 116.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R15/103 | | 63.7 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R16/103 | | 147.2 | 134.3 | 134.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1//103 | | 54.1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R18/103 | | 56.5 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9-10 College Hou | se | | | | | |
| R2/201 | BEDROOM | 163.3 | 116.5 | 88.7 | 27.8 | 23.9 |
| R3/201 | BEDROOM | 171.0 | 146.5 | 146.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| R4/201 | KITCHEN | 75.8 | 66.4 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/202 | BEDROOM | 174.6 | 108.7 | 104.0 | 4.8 | 4.4 |
| R2/202 | LKD | 342.5 | 265.3 | 264.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| New College Cour | t | | | | | |
| R1/301 | BEDROOM | 163.1 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/301 | KITCHEN | 80.7 | 49.2 | 46.3 | 2.9 | 5.9 |
| R4/301 | BEDROOM | 155.1 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/301 | KITCHEN | 67.2 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/302 | BEDROOM | 163.1 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/302 | KITCHEN | 80.7 | 59.2 | 56.7 | 2.5 | 4.2 |
| R4/302 | BEDROOM | 155.1 | 83.2 | 83.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/302 | KITCHEN | 67.2 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/303 | BEDROOM | 163.1 | 108.4 | 108.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/303 | KITCHEN | 80.7 | 65.7 | 64.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| R4/303 | BEDROOM | 155.1 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/303 | KITCHEN | 67.2 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/304 | BEDROOM | 163.1 | 136.6 | 136.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/304 | KITCHEN | 80.7 | 68.3 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/304 | BEDROOM | 155.1 | 126.6 | 126.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/304 | KITCHEN | 67.2 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/305 | BEDROOM | 163.1 | 159.7 | 159.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/305 | KITCHEN | 80.7 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/305 | BEDROOM | 155.1 | 152.2 | 152.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/305 | KITCHEN | 67.2 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| College Court | | | | | | |
| R1/399 | | 314.6 | 296.8 | 180.5 | 116.3 | 39.2 |
| R2/399 | | 76.3 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 8.3 |
| R3/399 | | 235.3 | 223.7 | 141.2 | 82.5 | 36.9 |
| R1/400 | LKD | 395.4 | 374.0 | 374.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



NSL ANALYSIS

9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

NSL

| Room | Room Use | Whole Room sq ft | Existing sq ft | Proposed sq ft | Loss sq ft | %Loss |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------|
| 20/100 | | | | | | |
| R2/400 | | 235.3 | 229.7 | 229.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/400 | | 98.0 | 68.5 | 68.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/401 | | 206.7 | 201.3 | 201.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/401 | | 2/1./ | 260.2 | 260.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/401 | LKD | 201.2 | 200.1 | 200.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/401 | | 97.5 | /9.4 | /9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/402 | | 206.7 | 203.8 | 203.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/402 | BEDROOM | 169.3 | 165.1 | 165.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/402 | LKD | 201.2 | 201.0 | 201.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R5/402 | | 98.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/402 | | 240.3 | 199.8 | 199.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 39 College Cresce | ent Rd | | | | | |
| R1/499 | LK | 477.0 | 475.8 | 228.7 | 247.2 | 52.0 |
| R2/499 | LK | 479.3 | 479.2 | 327.2 | 151.9 | 31.7 |
| R3/499 | IK | 476.6 | 476.6 | 393.0 | 83.5 | 17.5 |
| R4/499 | IK | 471.9 | 467.6 | 448.2 | 19.4 | 4.1 |
| R1/500 | LIVINGROOM | 368.7 | 368.0 | 326.0 | 42.0 | 11.4 |
| R2/500 | LIVINGROOM | 368.1 | 367.9 | 336.8 | 31.1 | 8.5 |
| R3/500 | LIVINGROOM | 365.9 | 365.8 | 365.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| R4/500 | LIVINGROOM | 359.7 | 359.5 | 359.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/501 | BEDROOM | 188.4 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R4/501 | BEDROOM | 190.6 | 189.8 | 189.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R5/501 | BEDROOM | 189.5 | 189.0 | 189.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R7/501 | BEDROOM | 181.2 | 180.5 | 180.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/502 | BEDROOM | 113.9 | 108.2 | 108.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/502 | BEDROOM | 165.5 | 165.5 | 165.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/502 | BEDROOM | 167.6 | 167.6 | 167.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| , R4/502 | BEDROOM | 110.1 | 105.5 | 105.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R5/502 | BEDROOM | 110.1 | 105.5 | 105.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R6/502 | BEDROOM | 163.7 | 163.6 | 163.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R7/502 | BEDROOM | 162.3 | 162.3 | 162.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R8/502 | BEDROOM | 105.4 | 100.7 | 100.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Palmers Lodge | | | | | | |
| R1/599 | КІТСНЕМ | 165 1 | 141 ହ | 141 5 | 03 | 0.2 |
| R2/600 | DORNITORY | 571 8 | 558.0 | 558.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/601 | DORNITORY | 157.0 | 144.2 | 144.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/601 | DORNITORY | 205.9 | 201 9 | 201 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/601 | DORNITORY | 164 5 | 156.5 | 156.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/602 | | 104.J | 212 0 | 212.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/602 | | 230.5 | 212.0 181 Л | 181 <i>Л</i> | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/609 | CONSERVATORY | 220.5 202 Q | 191.4 | 101. 4 298 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| N1,000 | CONSERVATORI | .50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| | | | | Win | dow | | | | | Ro | om | | | |
|------------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| Poom | Window | Poom Liso | Exis | sting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual |
| KOOIII | WIIdow | KOOIII Ose | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss |
| 9-10 Colle | ege House | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R3/201 | W4/201 | BEDROOM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | - | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R3/201 | W5/201 | BEDROOM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | - | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R3/201 | W6/201 | BEDROOM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | - | 0.0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | - | 0.0 |
| College Co | ourt | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R1/399 | W1/399 | | 7 | 46 | 4 | 43 | 42.9 | 6.5 | | | | | | |
| R1/399 | W2/399 | | 12 | 51 | 5 | 44 | 58.3 | 13.7 | 12 | 55 | 5 | 48 | 58.3 | 12.7 |
| R2/399 | W3/399 | | 12 | 50 | 5 | 43 | 58.3 | 14.0 | 12 | 50 | 5 | 43 | 58.3 | 14.0 |
| R3/399 | W4/399 | | 16 | 55 | 5 | 44 | 68.8 | 20.0 | | | | | | |
| R3/399 | W5/399 | | 18 | 60 | 6 | 48 | 66.7 | 20.0 | 18 | 61 | 6 | 49 | 66.7 | 19.7 |
| R1/400 | W1/400 | LKD | 9 | 56 | 7 | 54 | 22.2 | 3.6 | | | | | | |
| R1/400 | W2/400 | LKD | 12 | 58 | 8 | 54 | 33.3 | 6.9 | 13 | 62 | 9 | 58 | 30.8 | 6.5 |
| R2/400 | W3/400 | | 16 | 64 | 11 | 59 | 31.3 | 7.8 | | | | | | |
| R2/400 | W4/400 | | 19 | 65 | 11 | 57 | 42.1 | 12.3 | | | | | | |
| R2/400 | W5/400 | | 18 | 46 | 11 | 39 | 38.9 | 15.2 | 19 | 69 | 12 | 62 | 36.8 | 10.1 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| | | | | Win | dow | | | | | Ro | om | | | |
|--------|--------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| Room | Window | Room Lise | Exis | sting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual |
| Koom | Window | Koom ose | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss |
| R3/400 | W6/400 | | 17 | 42 | 12 | 37 | 29.4 | 11.9 | 17 | 42 | 12 | 37 | 29.4 | 11.9 |
| R1/401 | W1/401 | | 15 | 67 | 15 | 67 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 | 67 | 15 | 67 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/401 | W2/401 | | 18 | 70 | 18 | 70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18 | 70 | 18 | 70 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/401 | W3/401 | LKD | 20 | 72 | 20 | 72 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R3/401 | W4/401 | LKD | 21 | 74 | 21 | 74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R3/401 | W5/401 | LKD | 18 | 53 | 18 | 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21 | 82 | 21 | 82 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/401 | W6/401 | | 9 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/402 | W1/402 | | 25 | 75 | 25 | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R1/402 | W2/402 | | 26 | 76 | 26 | 76 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R1/402 | W3/402 | | 25 | 75 | 25 | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27 | 77 | 27 | 77 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/402 | W4/402 | BEDROOM | 25 | 75 | 25 | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25 | 75 | 25 | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/402 | W6/402 | LKD | 25 | 75 | 25 | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R4/402 | W7/402 | LKD | 26 | 77 | 26 | 77 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R4/402 | W8/402 | LKD | 20 | 59 | 20 | 59 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28 | 97 | 28 | 97 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R5/402 | W9/402 | | 21 | 55 | 21 | 55 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21 | 55 | 21 | 55 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| | | | Wind | | dow | | | | | Ro | om | | | |
|------------|--------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Room | Window | Room Lise | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual |
| Noom | window | Noom ose | Winter | Annual | Winter | Annual | %Loss | %Loss | Winter | Annual | Winter | Annual | %Loss | %Loss |
| | | | APSH | APSH | APSH | APSH | | | APSH | APSH | APSH | APSH | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P6/402 | W10/402 | | 15 | 66 | 15 | 66 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 | 66 | 15 | 66 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| N0/402 | VV10/402 | | 10 | 00 | 13 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 | 00 | 15 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 39 College | e Crescent R | 8d | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ee conce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R1/499 | W1/499 | LK | 18 | 61 | 5 | 48 | 72.2 | 21.3 | 18 | 61 | 5 | 48 | 72.2 | 21.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R2/499 | W2/499 | LK | 22 | 63 | 11 | 46 | 50.0 | 27.0 | 22 | 63 | 11 | 46 | 50.0 | 27.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R3/499 | W3/499 | LK | 20 | 66 | 15 | 48 | 25.0 | 27.3 | 20 | 66 | 15 | 48 | 25.0 | 27.3 |
| D.4/400 | M/4/400 | | 20 | 64 | 17 | | 15.0 | 14.1 | 20 | C 4 | 17 | | 15.0 | 1 4 1 |
| R4/499 | W4/499 | LK | 20 | 64 | 17 | 55 | 15.0 | 14.1 | 20 | 64 | 17 | 55 | 15.0 | 14.1 |
| R1/500 | W1/500 | | 14 | 45 | 8 | 39 | 42.9 | 13 3 | | | | | | |
| R1/500 | W2/500 | LIVINGROOM | 3 | 22 | 1 | 20 | 66.7 | 9.1 | | | | | | |
| R1/500 | W3/500 | LIVINGROOM | 24 | 69 | 15 | 60 | 37.5 | 13.0 | 24 | 71 | 16 | 63 | 33.3 | 11.3 |
| , | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R2/500 | W4/500 | LIVINGROOM | 16 | 61 | 6 | 51 | 62.5 | 16.4 | | | | | | |
| R2/500 | W5/500 | LIVINGROOM | 4 | 25 | 0 | 21 | 100.0 | 16.0 | | | | | | |
| R2/500 | W6/500 | LIVINGROOM | 25 | 70 | 12 | 55 | 52.0 | 21.4 | 25 | 71 | 15 | 61 | 40.0 | 14.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R3/500 | W7/500 | LIVINGROOM | 26 | 72 | 17 | 57 | 34.6 | 20.8 | | | | | | |
| R3/500 | W8/500 | LIVINGROOM | 21 | 50 | 19 | 48 | 9.5 | 4.0 | | | | | | |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| | | | | Win | dow | | | | | Ro | om | | | |
|--------|---------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| Room | Window | Room Lise | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual |
| Koom | vv maow | Noom Ose | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss |
| R3/500 | W9/500 | LIVINGROOM | 27 | 69 | 20 | 60 | 25.9 | 13.0 | 27 | 77 | 20 | 68 | 25.9 | 11.7 |
| R4/500 | W10/500 | LIVINGROOM | 27 | 74 | 22 | 67 | 18.5 | 9.5 | | | | | | |
| R4/500 | W11/500 | LIVINGROOM | 21 | 50 | 21 | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| R4/500 | W12/500 | LIVINGROOM | 26 | 66 | 24 | 63 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 27 | 78 | 24 | 74 | 11.1 | 5.1 |
| R2/501 | W2/501 | BEDROOM | 17 | 46 | 16 | 45 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 17 | 46 | 16 | 45 | 5.9 | 2.2 |
| R4/501 | W4/501 | BEDROOM | 25 | 73 | 20 | 68 | 20.0 | 6.8 | 25 | 73 | 20 | 68 | 20.0 | 6.8 |
| R5/501 | W5/501 | BEDROOM | 26 | 74 | 21 | 69 | 19.2 | 6.8 | 26 | 74 | 21 | 69 | 19.2 | 6.8 |
| R7/501 | W7/501 | BEDROOM | 27 | 69 | 24 | 66 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 27 | 69 | 24 | 66 | 11.1 | 4.3 |
| R1/502 | W1/502 | BEDROOM | 13 | 38 | 13 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13 | 38 | 13 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R2/502 | W2/502 | BEDROOM | 14 | 45 | 14 | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14 | 45 | 14 | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/502 | W3/502 | BEDROOM | 25 | 73 | 24 | 72 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 25 | 73 | 24 | 72 | 4.0 | 1.4 |
| R4/502 | W4/502 | BEDROOM | 24 | 64 | 23 | 63 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 24 | 64 | 23 | 63 | 4.2 | 1.6 |
| R5/502 | W5/502 | BEDROOM | 14 | 48 | 13 | 47 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 14 | 48 | 13 | 47 | 7.1 | 2.1 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| | | | | Win | dow | | | | | Ro | om | | | |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| Room | Window | Room Lise | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual |
| Koom | WINCOW | Koom ose | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | Winter APSH | Annual APSH | %Loss | %Loss |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R6/502 | W6/502 | BEDROOM | 27 | 77 | 25 | 75 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 27 | 77 | 25 | 75 | 7.4 | 2.6 |
| R7/502 | W7/502 | BEDROOM | 27 | 67 | 25 | 65 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 27 | 67 | 25 | 65 | 7.4 | 3.0 |
| R8/502 | W8/502 | BEDROOM | 27 | 65 | 26 | 64 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 27 | 65 | 26 | 64 | 3.7 | 1.5 |
| Palmers Lo | odge | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R1/599 | W1/599 | KITCHEN | 18 | 46 | 17 | 44 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 18 | 46 | 17 | 44 | 5.6 | 4.3 |
| R2/600 | W3/600 | DORNITORY | 22 | 37 | 21 | 36 | 4.5 | 2.7 | | | | | | |
| R2/600 | W4/600 | DORNITORY | 20 | 33 | 19 | 32 | 5.0 | 3.0 | | | | | | |
| R2/600 | W5/600 | DORNITORY | 21 | 36 | 20 | 35 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 23 | 41 | 22 | 40 | 4.3 | 2.4 |
| R2/601 | W3/601 | DORNITORY | 24 | 69 | 24 | 69 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24 | 69 | 24 | 69 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R3/601 | W2/601 | DORNITORY | 25 | 71 | 25 | 71 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25 | 71 | 25 | 71 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R4/601 | W4/601 | DORNITORY | 21 | 59 | 21 | 59 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21 | 59 | 21 | 59 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/602 | W1/602 | DORMITORY | 25 | 74 | 25 | 74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25 | 74 | 25 | 74 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



9-12 New College Parade, South Hampstead, London Existing vs Proposed Scheme 19-12-24

| | | | Window | | | | Winter | er Annual | Room | | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Poom | Window | Poom Lise | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual | Exis | ting | Prop | osed | Winter | Annual |
| KUUIII | window | Koom ose | Winter | Annual | Winter | Annual | %Loss | %Loss | Winter | Annual | Winter | Annual | %Loss | %Loss |
| | | | APSH | APSH | APSH | APSH | | | APSH | APSH | APSH | APSH | | |
| R2/602 | W2/602 | DORMITORY | 24 | 73 | 24 | 73 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24 | 73 | 24 | 73 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| R1/609 | W1/609 | CONSERVATORY | 4 | 25 | 3 | 24 | 25.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | |
| R1/609 | W2/609 | CONSERVATORY | 16 | 64 | 16 | 61 | 0.0 | 4.7 | | | | | | |
| R1/609 | W3/609 | CONSERVATORY | 15 | 44 | 15 | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21 | 73 | 20 | 71 | 4.8 | 2.7 |