

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0939/P	Thomas Ash	Flat 16, Ferdinand House Ferdinand Place NW1 8EB	04/04/2025 23:04:39	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this variation of conditions. In particular, I object to the reduction in the number of affordable housing units and the change in the mix of affordable homes. As stated in local plan policy H4: "an affordable housing target of 50% applies to developments with capacity for 25 or more additional dwellings". This development was far off this target even before this proposed variation of conditions, with less than one third of homes planned to be affordable under the initial planning proposal. This change in conditions means less than 15% of homes will be affordable. This must be rejected due to the fact it is not consistent with the legally approved local plan policy H4.</p> <p>In addition, the variation in conditions means almost all 3 and 4 bed affordable homes will be lost. As stated in the local plan "The existing affordable housing stock is heavily skewed to 1 or 2-bedroom homes, and overcrowding in the existing stock creates a compelling need for an additional supply of large affordable homes with 3 or more bedrooms". Therefore this disproportionate reduction in the number of 3 and 4 bedroom affordable homes is unacceptable and must be rejected.</p> <p>Finally, as stated in local plan policy H4 "the guideline mix of affordable housing types is 60% social-affordable rented housing and 40% intermediate housing". These changes to conditions would take the development far from this target, resulting in only 27% of homes being London Affordable Rent homes and 73% being intermediate homes. This is unacceptable as it is inconsistent with local plan policy H4 and should be rejected.</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0939/P	Isabel Smith	7 Chalcot Crescent	05/04/2025 09:06:35	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this variation of conditions. In particular, I object to the reduction in the number of affordable housing units and the change in the mix of affordable homes. As stated in local plan policy H4: "an affordable housing target of 50% applies to developments with capacity for 25 or more additional dwellings". This development was far off this target even before this proposed variation of conditions, with less than one third of homes planned to be affordable under the initial planning proposal. This change in conditions means less than 15% of homes will be affordable. This must be rejected due to the fact it is not consistent with the legally approved local plan policy H4.</p> <p>In addition, the variation in conditions means almost all 3 and 4 bed affordable homes will be lost. As stated in the local plan "The existing affordable housing stock is heavily skewed to 1 or 2-bedroom homes, and overcrowding in the existing stock creates a compelling need for an additional supply of large affordable homes with 3 or more bedrooms". Therefore this disproportionate reduction in the number of 3 and 4 bedroom affordable homes is unacceptable and must be rejected.</p> <p>Finally, as stated in local plan policy H4 "the guideline mix of affordable housing types is 60% social-affordable rented housing and 40% intermediate housing". These changes to conditions would take the development far from this target, resulting in only 27% of homes being London Affordable Rent homes and 73% being intermediate homes. This is unacceptable as it is inconsistent with local plan policy H4 and should be rejected. Here's what I wrote I strongly object to this variation of conditions. In particular, I object to the reduction in the number of affordable housing units and the change in the mix of affordable homes. As stated in local plan policy H4: "an affordable housing target of 50% applies to developments with capacity for 25 or more additional dwellings". This development was far off this target even before this proposed variation of conditions, with less than one third of homes planned to be affordable under the initial planning proposal. This change in conditions means less than 15% of homes will be affordable. This must be rejected due to the fact it is not consistent with the legally approved local plan policy H4.</p> <p>In addition, the variation in conditions means almost all 3 and 4 bed affordable homes will be lost. As stated in the local plan "The existing affordable housing stock is heavily skewed to 1 or 2-bedroom homes, and overcrowding in the existing stock creates a compelling need for an additional supply of large affordable homes with 3 or more bedrooms". Therefore this disproportionate reduction in the number of 3 and 4 bedroom affordable homes is unacceptable and must be rejected.</p> <p>Finally, as stated in local plan policy H4 "the guideline mix of affordable housing types is 60% social-affordable rented housing and 40% intermediate housing". These changes to conditions would take the development far from this target, resulting in only 27% of homes being London Affordable Rent homes and 73% being intermediate homes. This is unacceptable as it is inconsistent with local plan policy H4 and should be rejected.</p>