

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1375/P	Resident of Dartmouth park road	16 Dartmouth Park Road	03/04/2025 17:59:40	OBJ	<p>This planned building contradicts policy D2 which states that buildings and extensions should integrate with surrounding buildings and not dominate</p> <p>The planned building is 5 storeys high + basement = 6 floors.</p> <p>None of the current buildings on the street are higher than 3 storeys + basement and pitched roof.</p> <p>If you count the basements then the proposal is 6 storeys. And the other houses on the street at 4 storeys.</p> <p>Allowing a 6 storey building on our street not only dominates, and ruins the look of a conservation area, it also sets dangerous precedent for future developments</p> <p>The developer needs to firstly decide if a basement counts as an extra storey or not, and then apply the same rule to both their proposal and our existing houses. They cannot discount the basement as a storey in their own building proposal, and add a storey onto our buildings for our basements.</p> <p>Moreover the map provided lists our 3 storey houses (4 if you count basements) as 5 storey buildings. This is either a genuine error, or an attempt to mislead. Either way this must be corrected: there are currently no 5 storey buildings on our street, and certainly no 6 floor buildings (which there's will be, including basement)</p> <p>The maximum height allowed should match that of existing properties on the street aka 3 storeys, plus one basement and a pitched roof (which some may convert into a pitched loft room). Anything more than this risks changing the very nature of our street and this conservation area</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1375/P	Chris Murray	25A Dartmouth Park Road	03/04/2025 13:51:10	COMMNT	<p>The existing site is of little merit and there are significant housing challenges across the UK, particularly family homes. Many would suggest a redevelopment of the Lamorna property would make sense. However the proposal put forward currently is not suitable for a number of reasons:</p> <p>1) It does not provide the family housing it claims to alleviate - with only one 3 bedroom property proposed and numerous 1 and 2 bed properties.</p> <p>2) It is tall and imposing, and would completely overshadow the properties on Chetwynd Road that would lose most of their sunlight, which is already limited due to the gardens being north facing.</p> <p>3) As others on Dartmouth Park Road have found when digging basements - the river fleet runs directly underneath this road. This has caused serious damp issues in the basements that have been previously built on the street including across the road from my property.</p> <p>A far better alternative would be construction of two or three 3 bedroom properties, which would be in keeping with the family homes that make up the majority of Dartmouth Park Road, and provide the accommodation that is required rather than further 1 beds. This would also support a lower height of property and remove the need to dig into the river fleet.</p>
