

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0710/P	Kathy Pimlott	Flat 6, Cambridge Court, 4 Earlham Street London WC2H 9RZ	01/04/2025 11:24:12	OBJ	4-10 Tower Street is surrounded by residential use - within the block itself and the adjacent Cambridge Court block. Very close by, where Tower Street joins Earlham Street, there are many additional dwellings on upper floors. Given the possibilities for use that would arise with a change to Class E, I am opposing the proposal or, at the least, asking that any consent includes time restrictions on hours of operation to avoid undue disturbance to residents. My concern is increased by the disturbance which could be caused by restaurants and/or bars in the light of the proposed extension of Framework Hours (licencing).
2025/0710/P	Christy Rogers	Flat 6 4-19 Tower Street London WC2H 9NP	01/04/2025 18:58:59	OBJ	<p>Full Class E is not appropriate for these premises.</p> <p>Restaurant use for this size space would require a large commercial kitchen, with very substantial extract fans and air conditioning. This would all have to be at the rear of the building in a very tight space below the bedrooms of the flats above. There would be very significant impacts on the residents of those flats in terms of noise and smell.</p> <p>I do not understand why the applicant has answered "no" to "Does the proposal involve the need to dispose of trade effluent or trade waste?" A large restaurant would generate a huge amount of waste in the form of spent cooking oil, food waste, packaging waste etc.</p> <p>There is no rear access to the building, so all restaurant deliveries would have to come to the front of the building in the tiny one-way street. All waste and rubbish would also have to be collected from the street side. This would be very impactful on residents because deliveries and collections would be noisy and would block the street. There is valid concern that rubbish may be left out for collection.</p> <p>I do not understand why the applicant has answered "no" to "Are Hours of Opening relevant to this proposal?" when clearly licensed restaurant hours would be hugely impactful on residents compared with the previous hours of opening of the gym. Restaurant use would run much later in the evenings. Restaurant premises are themselves noisy, and people leaving are always noisy.</p> <p>Because of the inevitable negative impacts on the residents of the building and the surrounding buildings, permission for Class E(b) (restaurant) should not be granted.</p>