					Printed on: 31/03/2025 09:10:06
Application N	<b>Consultees Name</b>	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1230/T	ellen frost	flat b, 10 rochester road	28/03/2025 19:04:26	INT	I am surprised and concerned that the small sycamore tree at no.11 (which is the offspring of the VERY large sycamore at no.10) is being prioritised to be cut down before the very large parent tree at no.10 whose roots are causing dangerous subsidence to both properties.
		nw19jh nw19jh			The parent tree at no.10 is situated far too close to no.10 and no.11 and its roots are causing huge amounts of structural damage to no.11 with the front wall and porch partially collapsing and will soon also do the same to no.10.
					Temporary struts have been installed to the porches on both no.10 and no.11 by Clarion housing, with no indication of any other further works being done by them to prevent damage to the 2 x Victorian properties which (indicated by the size of the tree) was built long before the tree was planted.
					This is clearly visible from the pavement and the entrance to the very popular park opposite, which is visited by lots of local people and passer bys. It is distressing to see this level of dilapidation clearly not being resolved but also is very sad as the road is full of well maintained properties which contribute to the positive community atmosphere the area.
					No.10 and No.11 are both owned by Clarion housing which is social housing, and the 3 other flats in no.10 have retired residents who have each lived there for over 20 years. For them and myself (a leaseholder owner) to lose our homes due to preventable subsidence would be awful.
					The tree at no.10 has sap which falls onto the shared path and steps to the front door and public pavement which has caused people to slip. Its roots have also pushed up the paving slabs which cause further trip hazards to the elderly residents and public.
					Rochester Road, Rochester Terrace and Wilmot Place surround a park which is filled with 15+ very large trees (which are appropriately planted no where near properties) and many properties on the road have small none invasive trees such as cherry trees, so cutting down the tree at no.10 wouldn't result in the area being without trees.
					I have no issue with the laurel box hedge being cut down (I'm surprised that required council permission)