

From: Tom Kearney [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 March 2025 22:58
To: Miriam Baptist; Planning
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Comments on 2025/1114/L have been received by the council.

Begin forwarded message:

From: planning@camden.gov.uk
Subject: Comments on 2025/1114/L have been received by the council.
Date: 25 March 2025 at 22:54:43 GMT
To: [REDACTED]

In my view, Application 2025/1114/L is identical to Application 2024/5666/P—to which I have already objected—and clearly represents a brazen attempt by the Applicant to obtain retrospective permission for two major alterations to Planning Consent 2016/3900/P, which—hardly being "Minor Alterations"—are, in effect, the construction of an unapproved Roof Terrace.

I believe the Applicant's installation of a full-length door and omission of a skylight clearly shows the Applicant's intention that the site is to be used as a Roof Terrace which, in addition to directly overlooking 43 Flask Walk's garden and conservatory, will destroy that neighbouring property's privacy and sense of enclosure in its main bedroom. It is clear to me that if the Applicant had included this design in its Application for Planning Consent 2016/3900/P, it would have been rejected by the Council.

Accordingly, the Council should immediately (1) reject Application 2025/1114/L and (2) instruct the applicant to replace the full-length door with a correctly constructed window featuring a central closure line with two opening panes and a smaller non-opening section below as shown in Planning Consent 2016/3900/P.

Comments made by Thomas Kearney of 56 Flask Walk, NW3 1HE, NW3 1HE
Phone [REDACTED]

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Objection

