Application N	Consultoes Name	Docimient Adduses	Received	Comment		9:10:04
Application N 2025/0523/P	Consultees Name Lucy Cottrell	Recipient Address 10 St George's Terrace	21/03/2025 01:22:26	Comment OBJ	Response I would like to object to planning applications 2025/0523/P, relating to Flat 1, 2 St George's Terrace.	
					After all the issues with subsidence on the lower end of the Terrace, it would be worrying for building work disturbing the ground to take place at the moment at one of the very most impacted houses.	
					Four things need resolution before any such works should be: (1) Camden Council settling with all the residents, now that tree damage has been established as the cause; (2) the ground settling and stabilising, currently being monitored (3) the requisite house repairs being done, (4) the whole Terrace to getting back its subsidence insurance. As I understand it, we are some distance away from these items all being resolved.	
					Although I live in house no 10, I am one of the many co-owners of the freehold of no 2, so very much an interested party.	
					The Terrace is as fragile as it is beautiful. The London County Council Bomb Damage Maps 1939-45, map 38, available at the British Library – 'the bible of bomb damage' - shows serious bomb damage to the houses of St George's Terrace. In fact, beyond number 11 houses were demolished because of what was the bomb damage in what is now the site of Hill View. Local historian Martin Sheppard gave a talk on this subject to the community a number of years ago. Surely, this is relevant information in assessing subsidence risk. The history of bomb damage is, surprisingly, not mentioned in the structural report attached to the current application.	
					Sadly, past works in the Terrace have shown the risks are very real, despite copious reassurances from the structural engineers involved at that time. Hundreds of thousands of pounds of compensation were required for the subsidence in the Mews as a result of works at no 9 basement, work that progressed despite the objections of multiple residents. Residents impacted had to wait for almost 10 years – planning application 2014, case settled end 2024, their lives turned upside down, with court case after court case. The stress on the victims was considerable.	
					The proposed works at no. 2, as per the planning application in hand, promise "manual hand tools and small-scale demolition equipment will be used where possible to prevent damage to existing structures" (underlining mine). Similar reassurances were given for the works undertaken at no 9, where the excavation method was given as "hand tools" and they promised "any protrusions of the footings into our site shall be carefully trimmed back using hand tools to avoid causing any damage to the foundation". Despite this, the floors of both Mews houses collapsed.	
					So, in conclusion, given Camden Council is currently a party in a subsidence dispute/issue at Number 2, and has been forced to sacrifice three glorious plane trees as part of the remedy, trees with combined utility values over £300k, something not done without extensive evidence,	

the Council will be all too aware of the strong basis for our concerns. I would urge Camden to refuse planning permission until all these matters are resolved and the buildings have stabilised

Application N Consultees Name Recipient Address Received Comment Response

Printed on: 21/03/2025 09:10:04

Response

once more and are insurable.