

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0548/P	Danya Glaser	33 Camden Square NW1 9XA	18/03/2025 20:58:56	APP	<p>Dear Mr Yeung,</p> <p>RE: Planning application 2025/0548/P</p> <p>I live at 33 Camden Square NW1, next door to the house that is the subject of this application. As a statutory consultee I have a number of significant concerns which I would wish you to consider carefully as part of reviewing and hopefully determining this application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To the best of my knowledge the Notice for this application was only posted on our lamp post after 17 March 2025, but the notice states that comments can be received by 17 March 2025. That is unacceptable. Moreover, on the Comment section on this Planning Application form, the date by which comments can be sent is 23 March 2025. This is contradictory information and needs clarification. In short, I am not satisfied that the local community has been given the appropriate timeframe in which to share their views on this application with you. 2. Critically, the proposed structure would without question: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. infringe my right of light as it replaces the slender glasshouse roof which currently abuts my house with a solid full masonry and significantly taller structure which is also much deeper than the current structure b. Infringe my visual amenity in terms of outlook from my kitchen, my balcony and my garden. 3. The proposed structure protrudes into the gardens well beyond all current buildings in the square and I am clear that the CAAC will have significant reservations about setting such a significant precedent. It is also not clear that the proposed structure even has a chance of meeting your own policies on minimum distances. <p>Would you please confirm to me whether you are minded to allow this application to go to committee? I am a long-standing resident of Camden and know numerous of your councillors (and will cc in my ward councillors). I would be rather surprised if they would wish to spend their time on an application which so obviously infringes basic policy. However, as importantly to me, I would like to know whether you are minded to reject this application out of hand or whether you feel it has sufficient merit to go in front of your committee, before I commit to the expense of engaging my own planning consultant to draw up a rebuttal to these wholly unacceptable plans, and indeed commission a CGI with verified views to demonstrate the unacceptable impact on my amenity.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Dr Danya Glaser</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0548/P	Danya Glaser	33 Camden Square NW1 9XA	18/03/2025 20:58:57	APP	<p>Dear Mr Yeung,</p> <p>RE: Planning application 2025/0548/P</p> <p>I live at 33 Camden Square NW1, next door to the house that is the subject of this application. As a statutory consultee I have a number of significant concerns which I would wish you to consider carefully as part of reviewing and hopefully determining this application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To the best of my knowledge the Notice for this application was only posted on our lamp post after 17 March 2025, but the notice states that comments can be received by 17 March 2025. That is unacceptable. Moreover, on the Comment section on this Planning Application form, the date by which comments can be sent is 23 March 2025. This is contradictory information and needs clarification. In short, I am not satisfied that the local community has been given the appropriate timeframe in which to share their views on this application with you. 2. Critically, the proposed structure would without question: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. infringe my right of light as it replaces the slender glasshouse roof which currently abuts my house with a solid full masonry and significantly taller structure which is also much deeper than the current structure b. Infringe my visual amenity in terms of outlook from my kitchen, my balcony and my garden. 3. The proposed structure protrudes into the gardens well beyond all current buildings in the square and I am clear that the CAAC will have significant reservations about setting such a significant precedent. It is also not clear that the proposed structure even has a chance of meeting your own policies on minimum distances. <p>Would you please confirm to me whether you are minded to allow this application to go to committee? I am a long-standing resident of Camden and know numerous of your councillors (and will cc in my ward councillors). I would be rather surprised if they would wish to spend their time on an application which so obviously infringes basic policy. However, as importantly to me, I would like to know whether you are minded to reject this application out of hand or whether you feel it has sufficient merit to go in front of your committee, before I commit to the expense of engaging my own planning consultant to draw up a rebuttal to these wholly unacceptable plans, and indeed commission a CGI with verified views to demonstrate the unacceptable impact on my amenity.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Dr Danya Glaser</p>