Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response	T Tinted on.	17/05/2025	07.10.
2025/0866/P	Robert Starr	20 St Mark's Crescent	16/03/2025 22:22:03	OBJNOT	Dear Camden Planning:			
					Re: Planning Application 2025/0866/P- Proposed Garden Room at 2 London NW1 7TU	21 St Mark's Cr	rescent	
		NW1 7TU			As immediately affected neighbours living next door to 21 St Mark's to this planning application.	Crescent, we v	write to object	
					The drawings filed with the application indicate that the proposed gas substantial habitable structure measuring 3.7m X 3.5m, and 2.5m in		uld be a	
					The site of the proposed garden room is in a unique part of a Conse	rvation Area.		
					The property- 21 St Mark's Crescent - is part of an historic Crescent	in Primrose H	ill.	
					There is no precedent for any such garden structures in this side of	the Crescent.		
					Moreover, the drawings fail to provide critical information necessary application, notably the height of the proposed Garden Room in rela garden party walls- the garden party wall between 20 and 21 St Mar party wall between 21 and 22 St Mark's Crescent.	ation to the heig	ght of two key	
					One of us (Robert Starr) discussed our concern with the Applicant. had personally measured the two garden party walls from within his of the proposed Garden Room would definitely exceed the height of party walls- according to his personal calculations the height of the would exceed the height of the two existing garden party walls by 0. that is in fact the case, it would constitute an additional ground for d	property, and t the two existin proposed Gard 6m, i.e. almost	that the height ng garden en Room t two feet. If	
					Given the critical importance of such information, surely the drawing Application should be required to provide the information.	s submitted wi	th the	
					Robert Starr wrote to the Planning Officer to point out this omission, 1) the Applicant be asked provide a suitable drawing(s) show proposed Garden Room in relation to the height of these two garder 2) that Camden extend the period for submitting Comments u drawing(s) is (are) provided by the Applicant and posted to Camden website.	ving the height on party walls; an until 21 days after the second s	of the nd ter such a	
					As far as we are aware, the Planning Officer has not acted on that r	equest.		
					An additional ground for our objection to this Application is that the p have a 'wraparound window' facing the windows to the rear of our h bedroom and bathroom.			

Printed on: 17/03/2025

09:10:02

Comment Response

Such a structure would clearly constitute an invasion of our privacy.

For these reasons, we ask that the application for planning approval be denied.

Robert Starr Ann Marie Starr 20 St Mark's Crescent London NW1 7TU