

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0484/P	Shirley Skeel	26 Inglewood Road London NW6 1QZ	13/03/2025 14:36:45	OBJ	<p>Camden Councillors have given in to every demand from Landsec, and completely ignored the very legitimate concerns of the residents who voted them in. Camden has also broken many of its own rules and rules set by London and regional authorities.</p> <p>If the council approves these new changes, it will reinforce widespread suspicions among constituents as to why Landsec is getting all it wants. Councillors are agreeing to whatever is handed to them.</p> <p>The council in 2015 decided that 950 homes was the right number for this site. The council then worked with Landsec to almost double that to 1,800. The council took money in hand from Landsec to cut the amount of green space to a fraction of that required by its own rules. It reduced affordable housing from the required 50% to what Landsec now claims will be 36% (by floor space). Before this new change, I calculated the actual number of "affordable" flats was about 23% of the total. Only a small portion will be a social rent levels. Camden itself requires that the calculation be made according to habitable rooms. This has been ignored.</p> <p>West and South Hampstead will have to take in an extra 4,000 to 5,000 new residents with this development. There was already written concern from authorities about the effects on water, flooding, sanitation, crime, traffic, public transport, walking space on the pavements, health services, and the well-being of those who will live in these dark, narrow-corridorred, crowded spaces. The extra 43 flats will exacerbate an already serious design and logistics problem that Camden is choosing to ignore.</p> <p>The green space under this new plan will be less than half that required by Camden. It is disgraceful. Moving the Community Centre so it is no longer on the central square is pernicious. The height of the buildings, at up to 17 stories, is a travesty for a neighbourhood surrounded by conservation areas.</p> <p>Camden is acting desperately to try to meet its housing targets, with no thought for how they go about it. They are giving local residents absolutely nothing that they ask for. This despite the initial Landsec application having 1,000 written objections (which means about 5,000 to 10,000 people who actually object) submitted. All were ignored. Every one.</p> <p>Shape up Camden.</p>
2025/0484/P	Martin Craxton	14 Kidderpore Avenue London NW3 7SU	13/03/2025 19:39:50	AMEND	<p>Has the Council's target for social housing been met? If not, why not?</p> <p>Why is the development so dense?</p> <p>Why do the blocks have to be so high?</p> <p>Can the improvements to Finchley Road Station be brought forward. The Station without lifts and decent toilets is an embarrassment for 21st century London.</p>

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0484/P	Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum	? 12A Hollycroft Avenue NW3 7QL	13/03/2025 22:26:52	OBJ	<p>Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1). The additional height to the tall buildings will cause substantial harm to the surrounding conservation areas; 2). The super density, which is entirely alien to the area of London, where ;pw and mod-rose development is the norm; 3) Woefully inadequate green space provision, which is likely to be less than 40% of Camden's Local Plan policy requirement,
2025/0484/P	Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum	? 12A Hollycroft Avenue NW3 7QL	13/03/2025 22:36:21	OBJ	<p>Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <p>Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1). The additional height to the tall buildings will cause substantial harm to the surrounding conservation areas; 2). The super density, which is entirely alien to this area of London, where low and mid-rise development is the norm; 3) Woefully inadequate green space provision, which is likely to be less than 40% of Camden's Local Plan policy requirement,
2025/0484/P	Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum	? 33 Platt's Lane NW3 6NN	13/03/2025 22:37:15	OBJ	<p>Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Forum wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <p>Redington Frogнал Association wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1). The additional height to the tall buildings will cause substantial harm to the surrounding conservation areas; 2). The super density, which is entirely alien to this area of London, where low and mid-rise development is the norm; 3) Woefully inadequate green space provision, which is likely to be less than 40% of Camden's Local Plan policy requirement,

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/0484/P	Redington Frogmal Association	? 33 Platt's Lane NW3 6NN	13/03/2025 22:30:33	OBJ	<p>Redington Frogmal Neighbourhood Forum wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <p>Redington Frogmal Neighbourhood Forum wishes to object to the following aspects of this further planning application.</p> <p>1). The additional height to the tall buildings will cause substantial harm to the surrounding conservation areas;</p> <p>2). The super density, which is entirely alien to the area of London, where low and mid-rise development is the norm;</p> <p>3) Woefully inadequate green space provision, which is likely to be less than 40% of Camden's Local Plan policy requirement,</p>
2025/0484/P	Mark Gill	29 Hollycroft Avenue NW37QJ	13/03/2025 15:37:30	COMMNT	<p>Whilst I have generally been supportive of the redevelopment of the O2 Centre site and believe that we need more housing in our area, the proposed increase in height of the current plan would have a harmful impact on the local area and negatively change the important view of St Paul's. There is also insufficient green space in the current plan, I believe being 40% under that required by Camden's own Local Plan.</p>