					Printed on:	07/03/2025	09:10:03
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:			
2025/0856/P	Robin Mackay Miller	06/03/2025 10:40:51	OBJ	Proposed development at 152 Fleet Road 2025/6168/P (rear 1st floor extension).			
				Whereas there do not seem to be any drawings of this proposed development loaded I register my strong objection against the proposed plans on the following bases :	d,		
				(1) CLAUSTROPHOBIC EFFECT: Our properties are on the thin end of the very sr between our backs and the backs of those on Fleet Road. The additional claustroph development would be at best unpleasant.	_		
				(2) BUILDING DENSITY: This proposal would not only further increase the building density of this small residential area, but would also compromise our ability to resist similar incremental developments on other properties nearby, adding to what is already an unacceptable rise in the density of building in this very constricted space.			
				(3) FIRE: There is already only a very small wedge of land in between our propertie and the backs of those on Fleet Road. All of our properties have almost no adequate the back in the event of Fire as it is. Any further in-filling of already crowded space we fire.	e means of es	cape from	
				(4) LIGHT POLLUTION (EFFECT ON BATS IN PARTICULAR): We are already discexisting building at night. Any such extension would have capacity to emit yet more the impact on our lives, wildlife, such as our already endangered bats in particular, and	ight at night.	Apart from	
				I therefore look forward to hearing from you that this proposal has been rejected.			
	With many thank in anticipation, yours sincerely						

					Printed on:	07/03/2025	09:10:03	
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:				
2025/0856/P	Robin Mackay Miller	06/03/2025 10:45:40	OBJ	Erratum [Planning number on previous objection was wrong. Please replace.]				
				Proposed development at 152 Fleet Road 2025/0856/P (rear 1st floor extension).				
				Whereas there do not seem to be any drawings of this proposed development loads I register my strong objection against the proposed plans on the following bases :				
				(1) CLAUSTROPHOBIC EFFECT: Our properties are on the thin end of the very setween our backs and the backs of those on Fleet Road. The additional claustropidevelopment would be at best unpleasant.				
				(2) BUILDING DENSITY: This proposal would not only further increase the building residential area, but would also compromise our ability to resist similar incremental properties nearby, adding to what is already an unacceptable rise in the density of beconstricted space.	on other			
				3) FIRE: There is already only a very small wedge of land in between our properties on Constantine find the backs of those on Fleet Road. All of our properties have almost no adequate means of escape the back in the event of Fire as it is. Any further in-filling of already crowded space would increase the rise.				
				(4) LIGHT POLLUTION (EFFECT ON BATS IN PARTICULAR): We are already disexisting building at night. Any such extension would have capacity to emit yet more the impact on our lives, wildlife, such as our already endangered bats in particular, a	light at night.	Apart from		
				I therefore look forward to hearing from you that this proposal has been rejected.				
				With many thank in anticipation, yours sincerely				

Total: 4