Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 07/03/2025 (Response:	09:10:03
2025/0637/T	Natalia Kietiene	06/03/2025 22:48:50	ОВЈ	The tree is situated in our garden and we can observe it during different weather conditions including heavy storms in November 2024 and January 2025.	
				According to our observations we can attest that it is a strong and solid tree despite its age.	
				We understand our neighbours from across the street and their concerns regarding their property safety in case it should fall. The tree is old so its roots partially destroyed the wall of the brick wall. It's a common occurrence with the trees of old age, since their growth is limited by human made conditions. In that case the decision we find the most appropriate is to strengthen the brick wall to help support the tree.	
				This tree is an important part of the Hampstead historic landscape. So, we think it is crucial to find a solution to treat the tree or reduce its height which can preserve it to keep the area in its current state	
2025/0637/T	Therese Sundelin	06/03/2025 23:51:11	OBJ	On behalf of myself and my family members I object to the felling of this beautiful old tree without further investigation to be absolutely sure it is so unstable that it poses a threat that cannot be mitigated in any other way. It is hugely valuable to the neighbourhood, not only by virtue of its size, age and beauty, both also through the environmental benefits it provides - reduction of air pollution, cooling, and the habitat it provides for wildlife. It should not be felled without further testing and careful investigation of alternatives. If such testing and investigation shows there to be no viable alternative to felling, then it should be replaced with an evergreen like a holm oak or a bay tree. But every care should be taken to avoid felling if at all possible.	
2025/0637/T	Jane Corbin	06/03/2025 22:21:23	COMMNT	As owner of 93 Frognal - the tree is at the bottom of our pathway and screens us from the road - I am concerned about this proposal to completely fell this veteran Holm Oak. I appreciate the worries of the owners of the house directly opposite but I believe everything possible should be done with rigorous testing to prove whether this fungus is really making the tree so unsafe as to necessitate its complete destruction? Could it not be reduced in height to make it more stable and reduce the proximity of the tree canopy to 102 Frognal? I also understand this kind of fungus can be tolerated by trees in a symbiotic relationship? This majestic tree is a valuable screen against noise and traffic pollution for this whole stretch of Frognal as well as being an environmental asset and shelter for birds and insects. It is an important street tree in a place	
				where the frontage boundaries have been eroded and the tree provides a compensatory mass and focal element.	
				If there really is no alternative but to fell the tree as it has a TPO it would be preferable to replace it with another Holm oak or evergreen like a bay or holly tree - a decent size and planted further back.	
				Thank you for considering my objection. Kind regards Jane Corbin	