



Westcott Court, 13 Holmdale Road, NW6

Daylight and Sunlight Assessment

Job No: 5381.1

Issued: December, 2023

Issue No.: 1



Contents

1.0 Introduction.....3

2.0 Project Summary4

3.0 Methodology5

4.0 Modelling & Data Sources.....6

5.0 BRE Guidance Targets7

6.0 Window Schedules8

7.0 Daylight Impact Results.....9

8.0 Sunlight Impact Results.....10

9.0 Sunlight To Neighbouring Gardens.....11

10.0 Daylight within the Proposal12

11.0 Conclusions14

Document Prepared By:

Document Authorised By:

Samuel Westover

Bernice Waterman

Dated:


Dated:

13.12.23

11.12.23

Signed:

Signed:



This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from T16 Design.

All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care, and diligence. No liability is accepted by T16 Design for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced.



1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This daylight and sunlight assessment has been prepared to support a planning for the proposed redevelopment of the site at Westcott Court, 13 Holmdale Road, NW6.
- 1.2 The report assesses the proposals in respect of daylight, sunlight and overshadowing matters, having regard to industry standard guidance. The report concludes that the proposal is acceptable and in accordance with planning policy requirements in relation to daylight and sunlight.
- 1.3 There is no existing specific National Planning Policy relating to the prospective impacts of developments on daylight and sunlight on their surrounding environment.
- 1.4 However, the BRE Report 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight: A Guide to Good Practice' (3rd Edition, 2022) is the established National guidance to aid the developer to prevent and/or minimise the impact of a new development on the availability of daylight and sunlight in the environs of the site and the assessment of light levels within new proposals.
- 1.5 This reference document is accepted as the authoritative work in the field on daylight, sunlight and overshadowing and is specifically referred to in many Local Authorities' planning policy guidance for daylighting.
- 1.6 The methodology therein has been used in numerous lighting analyses and the standards of permissible reduction in light are accepted as the industry standards.

2.0 Project Summary

- 2.1 The proposal site is at Westcott Court, 13 Holmdale Road, NW6 and it is currently occupied by a three storey building and three single storey garages.
- 2.2 The proposal is for the extension and internal refurbishment of the existing building and the demolition of the existing garage to be replaced by a two storey dwelling.
- 2.3 The impacts of the scheme on all residential neighbours potentially affected by the scheme have been considered.
- 2.4 Further details on the location of neighbours and their windows are given in Section 5.0
- 2.5 In addition to assessing the impacts of the scheme on neighbours, daylight within the proposed new dwellings have also been assessed.



Site Location

3.0 Methodology

3.1 For this analysis, we have undertaken the most common calculations for the change in daylight and sunlight to existing buildings, as recommended in BRE Digest 209. These are:

- Vertical Sky Component (VSC) for daylight impacts
- Target Daylight Factor (DF_T) for daylight within the proposal
- Annual Probable Sunlight Hours and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours (WPSH) (APSH) for sunlight impacts

3.2 The VSC method measures the general amount of light available on the outside plane of the window as a ratio (%) of the amount of total unobstructed sky viewable following introduction of visible barriers such as buildings. The maximum value is just under 40% for a completely unobstructed vertical wall.

3.3 The VSC is calculated using computer simulation under a CIE overcast sky. This works by simulating the amount of visible sky from the centre point of each window. It is not affected by orientation and so all potentially affected windows are assessed.

3.4 Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH) and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours (WPSH) are a measure of the amount of potential direct sunlight that is available to a given surface. APSH covers sunlight over the whole year and WPSH from September 21st to March 21st.

3.5 The number of total available hours is calculated from a data file in the software, built up over a number of years of actual weather data records.

3.6 Only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight. This is looked at in Section 8.

3.7 APSH can also be used to assess the impact on external spaces such as gardens. This is looked at in Section 9.

4.0 Modelling & Data Sources

- 4.1 The first stage of the analysis is to create the analysis model of the existing site condition and the proposal. This allows us to analyse the impact of the proposal when compared to the existing condition.
- 4.2 2D drawings have been provided by the design team. These drawings are used to construct a 3D analysis model which is exported into the specialist daylight software. Calculations are then run, for both existing and proposed scenarios.
- 4.3 Sufficient detail is added to the model for the analysis. In accordance with BRE recommendations, trees and foliage have been omitted from the calculations.
- 4.4 Information on the properties has been provided to us by the design team in the form of drawings giving the site as existing and proposed and photographs of the site and surroundings.
- 4.5 Web-based mapping sources and photos have been used to for location and size of neighbouring windows.

5.0 BRE Guidance Targets

- 5.1 The reference document for this analysis, BRE Digest 209, gives the methodology for undertaking the calculations. It also provides benchmark figures for the acceptable reduction in the daylight on existing properties which might be affected by development.
- 5.2 Specifically, the guidance gives figures for the VSC and APSH, as a percentage reduction that is "permissible" for the effect on existing windows.
- 5.3 It is worth noting the following statement in the Guidance introduction:
- 5.4 "The guide is intended for building designers and their clients, consultants and planning officials. The advice given here is not mandatory and this document should not be seen as an instrument of planning policy. Its aim is to help rather than constrain the developer.
- 5.5 Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly because natural lighting is only one of the many factors in site layout design.
- 5.6 The relevant BRE recommendations for daylight and sunlight are:
- The Vertical Sky Component measured at the centre of a window should be no less than 27, or if reduced to below this, no less than 0.8 times the former value.
 - The window should receive at least 25% of available annual sunlight hours and more than 5% during the winter months (September 21st to March 21st), or, where this is not the case, 80% of its former value.

6.0 Window Schedules



13 West Cottages, Rear Elevation

7.0 Daylight Impact Results

- 7.1 The Vertical Sky Component has been calculated for each of the 4 assessed windows for both the existing and proposed conditions.
- 7.2 As can be seen in the results below, all windows retain 80% of their current values.
- 7.3 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE recommendations in relation to daylight impacts.

Vertical Sky Component				
Window	Existing VSC	Proposed VSC	% Retained	Meets BRE Guidance?
1	30.78	30.56	99.29%	Yes
2	29.70	29.33	98.75%	Yes
3	25.72	24.04	93.47%	Yes
4	16.26	14.61	89.85%	Yes

8.0 Sunlight Impact Results

8.1 BRE guidance states that only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight provision. In this instance, 2 windows fall into this category. The Annual Probable Sunlight Hours has been calculated for each of these windows for both the existing and proposed conditions using the methodology described previously, both over the whole year, and through the “winter months” (September 21st until March 21st).

8.2 The BRE guidance states that the sun lighting may be adversely affected if the centre of the window:

- Receives less than 25% of annual hours or less than 5% of winter hours and
- Receives less than 80% of its current sunlight hours during either period and
- Has a reduction in sunlight over the whole year greater than 4% of annual probable sunlight hours

8.3 It is clear from the wording of the above that all three clauses need to be met to qualify as an adverse impact. Thus, if the window does not meet any one of these criteria, the impact is acceptable.

8.4 The results below show that all of the assessed windows retain in excess of 80% of their existing values both annually and over the winter months.

8.5 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.

Window	Annual Sunlight Hours			Winter Sunlight Hours			Meets Guidance?
	Ex. Hrs Received (%)	Prop. Hrs Received	% Retained	Ex. Hrs Received	Prop. Hrs Received	% Retained	
3	35.00	34.23	97.82%	3.74	3.19	85.24%	Yes
4	22.45	20.17	89.81%	0.55	0.47	84.65%	Yes

9.0 Sunlight To Neighbouring Gardens

- 9.1 Residential gardens are generally assessed using the sunlight hours test, but only on March 21st. The guidance describes a well-lit space as being one which receives at least 2 hours of direct sunlight on this date over 50% of its area.
- 9.2 BRE guidance also uses the "80%" rule for this test, whereby the effects are considered acceptable if the remaining sunlight is in excess of 80% of the existing level. This clause applies if the space is reduced to less than 50% of the area well sunlit.
- 9.3 The neighbouring gardens at 13 and 12 West Cottages was assessed using this methodology.
- 9.4 As can be seen, the neighbouring garden retains over 80% of its existing values and the scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance.



Amenity Sunlight Hours				
Garden	Existing Area Receiving 2 Hours	Proposed Area Receiving 2 Hours	% Retained	Meets BRE Guidance?
G1	11%	11%	100.00%	Yes
G2	0%	0%	100.00%	Yes

10.0 Daylight within the Proposal

10.1 This BRE and BS EN 17037 guidance allows for two alternative methods to assess daylight within new dwellings. This report uses the following method:

- Target Daylight Factor (DF_T)

10.2 The DF_T method is a complex and representative calculation to determine natural internal luminance.

10.3 It takes into account such factors as window size, number of windows available to the room, room size and layout, room surface reflectance, and the angle of visible sky reaching the window

10.4 Where units are repeated, it is acceptable to assess only one of that type, generally the lowest in height from the ground as a "worst case".

10.5 Due to the complexity of the daylight entering the proposed rooms, the Target Daylight Factor approach is the most suitable calculation to give a realistic indication of the internal illuminance that will be experienced.

10.6 The calculations have assumed a white ceiling, cream walls and mid-grey carpet or wooden floor using reflectance values taken from the BS EN 170437 Guidance.

10.7 Units 4, 5, 7 and 8 remain unchanged and therefore have not been assessed for internal daylight.

10.8 The benchmark values each room type which are recommended by the BRE guidance and BS:EN 17037:2018 are:

Table C2 – Target daylight factors (D) for London		
Level of recommendation	Target daylight factor D for half of assessment grid	Target daylight factor D for 95% of assessment grid
Minimum	2.1%	0.7%
Medium	3.5%	2.1%
High	5.3%	3.5%

10.9 It is deemed by the guidance that if the minimum DF criteria are met, then the occupiers of the dwelling will have sufficient daylight. As can be seen from the results below that all assessed habitable rooms meet and exceed the minimum levels of internal daylight.

10.0 Daylight within the Proposal

Minimum Target Daylight Factor – Units 1-21

Unit	Room	0.7% DF Target Area	Area Receiving 0.7% DF	2.1% DF Target Area	Area Receiving 2.1% DF	Meets Standards?
House 1	LKD	95%	99.4%	50%	90.3%	Yes
House 1	Bedroom 1	95%	98.1%	50%	82.4%	Yes
House 1	Bedroom 2	95%	97.7%	50%	76.8%	Yes
Flat 1	LKD	95%	98.9%	50%	86.1%	Yes
Flat 1	Bedroom 1	95%	99.9%	50%	94.5%	Yes
Flat 1	Bedroom 2	95%	99.6%	50%	92.0%	Yes
Flat 2	LKD	95%	97.5%	50%	72.4%	Yes
Flat 2	Bedroom 1	95%	98.2%	50%	84.7%	Yes
Flat 2	Bedroom 2	95%	100.0%	50%	96.7%	Yes
Flat 3	LKD	95%	97.0%	50%	66.8%	Yes
Flat 3	Bedroom 1	95%	97.9%	50%	83.8%	Yes
Flat 3	Bedroom 2	95%	100.0%	50%	100.0%	Yes

11.0 Conclusions

- 11.1 Using industry standard methodology, we have made numerical analyses to ascertain the effects of the proposal at Westcott Court, 13 Holmdale Road, NW6 and the levels of change in daylight and sunlight for the windows of the neighbouring properties.
- 11.2 The main criteria used in this analysis to show compliance are the Vertical Sky Component for daylight impacts and Annual and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours for sunlight impacts
- 11.3 As has been shown, the effect on VSC is within the 80% guidance value for the all of the assessed windows.
- 11.4 We conclude that these impacts are considered acceptable and within the BRE guidance recommendations.
- 11.5 In terms of sunlight, the assessed windows retain 80% of their existing values both annually and over the winter months.
- 11.6 All the neighbouring amenities retain 80% of their existing value.
- 11.7 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.
- 11.8 The new habitable rooms will benefit from daylight levels in excess of the requirements of BS EN 17037:2018 recommendations.
- 11.9 From a planning perspective therefore, it is the conclusion of this report that the proposed development is entirely acceptable for planning, in daylight and sunlight terms.



T16 Design Ltd.

T: 01206 572452

E: info@t16design.com

W: www.t16design.com

© 2023

Addendum

This Sunlight and Daylight Assessment was produced for a previous similar scheme at the same site (planning application 2023/5365/P). The proposed new dwelling in this scheme differs slightly from the previously consented in terms of massing/design, however, the difference is small and is not expected to result in any significant changes to the impacts with regards to daylight and sunlight availability.

The proposed extension to the existing block of flats is unlikely to affect daylight and sunlight availability to any of the neighbouring buildings due to its position and the effect of the other already existing buildings near the site.