| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Printed on: 03/03/2025 Response: | 09:10:02 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|----------|
| 2025/0842/P | Peter Darley | 02/03/2025 18:50:49 | | Further to my earlier comments, I am concerned that the one metre square roof skylight will cause light pollution. It will be right under the lime tree, which it will illuminate. This will further affect the character of the woodland area and its wildlife, which is already impacted by the applicants' bright rear security lights, a pair of wall mounted lights that are often kept on permanently, night and day, without any movement triggers. These shine into our bedroom and our efforts to have them adapted for movement have not met with a positive response. | |
| 2025/0842/P | Peter Darley | 28/02/2025 22:42:31 | OBJ | The application makes no reference to the Tree Preservation Order that has preserved the green character of the area these last few decades. No 52, with its large garden, makes little contribution to this green character and now threatens a lime tree (not ours as stated in the application) that is vital to this character and may well have been planted 150 years ago. The combination of the outbuilding and the plastic grass are the very antithesis of the green character that the local residents have enjoyed hitherto and of which the area is rightly proud. The claim that the proportion and scale of the outbuilding are appropriate must be challenged. To the height of 3.0m (shown as 3.42m on the North Elevation) must be added the raising of the floor level (by how much?) to provide a clearance for the roots of the lime tree. The green roof depth must also be added, thereby raising the apex of the roof well above 3.0m. As for the facade we will be confronted with as we enter our garden, this is a large unbroken area of boarding that will dominate the view, running along the entire border between our gardens, obscuring all sight lines to the north and destroying much of the light we receive from that direction. I have superimposed the outbuilding on the present view, but there is no means of uploading this image. I shall therefore send it directly to the Project Officer. As for maintenance, while we shall have access to our side of the garden wall, No 52 will only have 50 cm to work with. I believe that the wall will need to be rebuilt as part of the works so that future maintenance will be minimal. It may also need to be raised to reduce the impact of the outbuilding. | |