

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2025/0484/P	Katherine Woolf	02/03/2025 19:27:59	COMNOT	I disagree with the taller blocks, the lack of funds to incentivise a new GP surgery, the lack of sufficient and truly affordable social housing, and the lack of funds to upgrade finchley road tube station.
2025/0484/P	F R Newell	02/03/2025 16:40:00	COMMNT	<p>This planning application seems inappropriate and ill conceived - for example, the proposed health centre and insufficient "community green" are on land Landsec does not own and are not going to be built for some years. The application does not maintain local amenities as we lose Sainsbury's, Aldi, a cinema and a meeting space whilst the developer does not seem to listen to residents' opinions. The blocks are too tall. There are not enough 2 and 3 bedroom flats; there should be fewer studio and 1 bed units. There are only 315 social housing units and 35% affordable - it should be 50% affordable housing with a range of sizes and 80% for rent. The open spaces are not big enough and there should be a nursery and a health centre to cope with over 1500 new homes included on Landsec owned land in Phase 1.</p> <p>The supposed benefits of tube station improvements have not been addressed in this application nor have they been negotiated with TfL. The supposed open spaces do not have room for a football pitch, basketball court or children's playground, instead appearing as green paths or small patches of greenery. In phase 1 there is no mention of electric charging points or secure parking for ebikes or delivery vehicles; nor of disabled parking. And, of course, the blocks need to be 4 floors lower, not raised against local opinion.</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p>