Printed on: 26/02/2025 09:10:11

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Respons

2024/3671/P

CRASH

25/02/2025 14:19:19 OBJ

ise:

CRASH would like to comment on aspects of this application and object to some aspects. It is not clear how this application aligns with the recently granted application 2024/3529/P. The rear elevations are not consistent with 2024/3529/P which granted a full width rear extension losing the rear bay window despite CRASH's objections to loss of heritage features. This new application 2024/3671/P clearly shows the existence of the rear bay window within the proposed plans at ground and basement level so CRASH presumes that 2024/3529/P is not being proceeded with as this would change the nature of the basement proposed. No detail is provided in this new application (nor is there a D&A statement) to understand how the proposed depth of the new rear extension lines up with neighbouring extensions nor are there visuals to understand the materials proposed or amount of glazing etc., is a green roof proposed, is rain water collection proposed, will permeable materials be used on patio areas? If the intention is now to keep the rear bay window CRASH is delighted but CRASH trusts that the depth of the extension is not out of line with neighbours. CRASH objects to the proposed front light well noting that while there are a couple much further up Goldhurst there are none currently in proximity to 188. It is recognised that this property sits within the Goldhurst Flood Zone and CRASH fears that the extent of this basement combined with the neighbouring ones and the huge multiple basement areas proposed at 194 Goldhurst when taken cumulatively will have a very serious effect on the course of ground water movements. There are multiple properties across the South Hampstead Conservation area reporting a rise in groundwater with sitting water on permeable surfaces when it rains so further addition of concrete will not assist with this. It is also noticeable that there is no historical permission for hardstanding and parking in the front garden of 188 but CRASH presumes it is too late to pursue enforcement of this and a return to a front garden. If given permission CRASH would like to see greening of the front garden particularly around the lightwell to mask its existence.