

[REDACTED]

From: Kristina Smith
Sent: 25 February 2025 09:31
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning application number 2025/0383/P - 2 and a half Rudall Crescent

[REDACTED]

Thank you.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Andrew Haslam-Jones [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 February 2025 18:09
To: Kristina Smith <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning application number 2025/0383/P - 2 and a half Rudall Crescent

[REDACTED]

Dear Kristina,

We are writing in response to this planning application. We note that the resident's architect has made a great effort in addressing any objections to the extension of the building on the Rudall Crescent side of the building. Most of the dead space between the existing building and the wall is being used and the development appears sympathetic to the existing building and the Conservation Area status.

You will recall from the previous planning application that our main concerns were overlooking, loss of light and the effect that any significant construction would have on the stability of adjoining buildings, given the nature of the subsoil and the existence of underground watercourses in the area.

We have been given various assurances by the resident's architect and, in order to protect the neighbouring property, we would be grateful, if this application is approved, for the inclusion of several conditions that reflect those assurances and details included in the plans that have been submitted:

1. That the foundations of the rear extension are dug by hand to avoid any unnecessary vibration and movement of the subsoil and neighbouring buildings.
2. That the roof of the rear extension is a sedum roof, cannot be used as a roof terrace and may only be accessible for maintenance.
3. That the height of the rear extension roof is no greater than 20cm above the existing garden dividing wall or the existing rear fence, whichever is lower.
4. That the cellular attenuation system mentioned in the Design and Access Statement, which is very welcome, given concerns about excess water flows, but which does not appear on the plans, is installed.

We understand that the Conservation Area Statement for this locality contains guidelines that are against any inbuilding of the nature contemplated by these plans. However, given the requirements of the resident and the efforts he and his architect have made to respond to the concerns of the neighbours, we would, with the inclusion of the conditions set out above, support this application.

Best regards,

Andrew and Katerina Haslam-Jones