| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Printed on: 17/02/2025 09:10:05 Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2025/0378/T | Mariusz Stochaj | 16/02/2025 09:12:29 | JUST | There are some contradictions. The application form states: T1-T2 Portuguese Laurel + Cotoneaster No.0442 - Fell to ground and treat remaining stump with herbicide to prevent regrowth (same as the yellow notices), whereas in the proposal section of the summary we read: 1 x Portuguese Laurel (T1) - Cut back from building to create 1m clearance from the building. 1 x Cotoneaster (T2) - Cut back from building to create 1m clearance from the building and remove lower branch. Felling these trees would be totally unjustified and unacceptable. Wates (the applicant) have already demolished a number of planted beds on this residential estate without due justification. This would be yet another act of environmental vandalism. |
| 2025/0378/T | Mariusz Stochaj | 16/02/2025 09:19:29 | OBJNOT | The application specifies 2 trees (T1 and T2) whereas the enclosed map shows 3 trees (T1, T2, T3). The application specifies tree number 0442 whereas the enclosed photograph clearly shows the ID tag with number 0441. These discrepancies render this application inadmissable. |
| 2025/0378/T | Patricia Stevens | 16/02/2025 09:56:59 | COMMNT | I believe that this application was made in order to make room for scaffolding to be erected for works that were carried out last year. The works have been completed (2024) with the scaffolding having been erected without the need to create the 1m clearance initially proposed. I request that this application be withdrawn. Many thanks. |