

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Elaine Quigley  
**Sent:** 14 February 2025 11:23  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Planning application 2023/5338/P, 2024/0091/L, 2023/5339/P and 2024/0286/L

Hi

[REDACTED]

Thanks

Elaine

---

**From:** Vicky Hung [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 February 2025 08:08  
**To:** Susanne Afra [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Planning application 2023/5338/P, 2024/0091/L, 2023/5339/P and 2024/0286/L

You don't often get email [REDACTED]

Dear Ms Afra,

Myself and my husband Shu Chou of 72F Rowley Way would like to raise our strong objections to the planning application to upgrade the heating and windows at Alexandra Road Estate. We object to the following application:

2023/5338/P, 2024/0091/L, 2023/5339/P and 2024/0286/L

Thank you for your letter dated on 15th Jan but as we are living in Taipei Taiwan we only just received it today and we are writing to express our strong objections on the following grounds:

1. The proposals take no account of the original architectural design and integrity of the estate having heating walls. The proposed system makes absolutely no adjustments to something unique in architectural history and the very reason why this estate is a listed building.
2. The very idea of using gas a fossil fuel should not be considered at all considering it goes against every government policy and that it will be obsolete in 10-15 years is a total waste of our money.
3. No one bed pilot flat was ever done to give any idea of what that would be like so why are we at all considered consulted on the matter if we don't know what we will get? Having see the pilot flat two bed and how cumbersome not to say dangerous if the window ledge are heated, the very idea of having radiators are an affront to the philosophy of the apartment's unique design having no obstructions and clean lines. The pilot flats temperatures could not be adjusted at all so the very mention of your letter that apartments can control their own temperature is totally inaccurate.

4. Windows again the supplier being from China? How can you justify the carbon footprint and how are replacements to be ordered questions not answered? The windows and cupboards were bespoke and handmade to begin with so why are options not explored where double glazing is done on site with existing window frames?

5. Absolutely no attempts at exploring other sustainable options or architecturally sympathetic options with no consultations with any outside experts.

6. Above all the heating system proposed is already a complete failure at other estates and we are just being asked to pay for left over orders of Camden mistakes.

I went through the planning process myself when I refurbished the apartment and I was not able to change the toilet even though it takes much more water to flush than a modern toilet as it was considered architecturally significant. So I find it extremely hypocritical to say that this new system (even though it is using fossil fuel) will produce less carbon emissions (no evidence given) and that using radiators will take precedence over the building's architectural integrity.

Please let me know if this email is considered a written objection to the planning permissions and that my objections will be noted.

Kind regards,

Vicky Hung, Shu Hao Chou

72F Rowley Way

Correspondence address Yongkang street, Lane 75, No. 18, 4th Floor, Taipei Taiwan 10650