

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2025/0091/P	Bonita Leung	09/02/2025 16:06:37	COMMNT	I was not informed that such a proposal was going through, as I'd be expected to via letters from the council, nor do I understand the implications of what this work actually means. The what, why, how much, how long have not been answered and as a leaseholder, I worry what this means for my service charge and/or selling implications.
2025/0091/P	Janice O'Brien	07/02/2025 13:24:05	OBJ	We do not welcome this proposed installation. Former external wall insulation was shoddy and caused problems for a number of residents including ourselves. From experience we are aware that Camden always cut corners to save money. This was apparent with the double glazing which was another scheme forced upon leaseholders and tenants. The result was the cheapest most ineffective double glazing possible. A further argument against is for health reasons. It is a known fact that this type of insulation can cause respiratory problems. There is also an additional fire risk once cladding is attached to a building. Finally, I do not expect that heating will be reduced by this proposal. With no outside areas to dry washing, people rely on central heating for drying purposes. We do not welcome the proposal of air vents drilled into our living areas but prefer to open windows to circulate air.