Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 05/02/2025 09:10:03  Response:			
2024/5808/P	Tom Basden	05/02/2025 07:54:58	PETITNOBJ E	I strongly object to this proposal. This is a residential part of London, as well as a conservation area, and this kind of industrial equipment is totally unsuitable and out of place here. These aerials will be visible for miles around, including from Hampstead Heath, and will be a terrible eyesore for the many residents and even more visitors who come to the area, detracting enormously from the look and feel of the neighbourhood. Furthermore, Crestview is directly opposite the historic Grade II* listed St Mary's Church, and almost level in height, and such an ugly addition to Crestview would completely dominate this heritage building and have a negative impact on the church, the overall skyline and the entire conservation area.			
2024/5808/P	Michael Lee	04/02/2025 10:30:24	OBJ	Objection. I am witing to object strongly to application, for the following reasons:			
				We lie in a conservation area (Dartmouth Park C.A.) which is primarily residential and we are highly visible from Hampstead Heath and further afield.			
				Our block is not particularly large but it is prominent because it is on a ridge, exposing the skyline.			
				We are adjacent to the Grade II * listed building of St Mary Brookfield (architect William Butterfield). Anything on our roof would dominate this heritage building and have a negative impact on its setting.			
				There are inaccuracies in the elevation and site plans which, despite having been pointed out in Waldon's previous applications, they persist in misrepresenting. (the number of garages, total lack of personal aerials and satellite dishes on the roof, etc)			
				Residents are concerned about intrusion into the building given that the telecoms personnel would have free access throughout our building 24/7.			
				Issues regarding privacy and feeling safe in your own home.			
				Health implications of 5G, despite not being proven, worry some.			
				The excessive proportions of the equipment - the full height of which, from our roof level, is in excess of one third the height of our dwellings (ie 6 floors in all).			
				We are bordered by other conservation areas - Holly Lodge CA, Camden Highgate CA and St John's Islington opposite.			
				An ugly development within a protected, residential area.			
				Better suited to commercial / industrial properties.			

				Printed on	05/02/2025	09:10:03
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2024/5808/P	Councillor Anna Wright	04/02/2025 16:43:25	COMMNT	As Labour Ward Councillor for Highgate Ward, I wish to register my objection to this application.  This is the third submission for placing telecoms antennas on the roof of Crestview. Whilst this proposal claims to be reduced in size, I believe these plans if approved will create a greater, not lesser, visual mass than the previous proposals which have been rejected by Camden. The exposed position on a geographical ridge will cause significant visual impact within the conservation area, most specifically on the Grade 11 listed St Mary Brookfield Church and on views from Holly Lodge estate and from Hampstead Heath. While I recognise the need for communications infrastructure I have not been convinced that other options in the vicinity have been fully explored. The installation of the antenna would be better suited to a new build where it could be incorporated in the design or on a tall building which would likely still be visible on the skyline but would not be adjacent to and directly detrimental a protected heritage landmark.		