Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/01/2025 09 Response:	9:10:02
2025/0257/T	Duncan Gregory	24/01/2025 20:45:24	OBJ	I would like to object to this applications for the following reasons:	
				(1) The proposal makes no reference to alternatives to removal of the tree. The tree has been pollarded in the past but the owners have let this management routine lapse. Reinstating this maintenance could potentially remove enough canopy weight and restrict root ball growth sufficiently to make the tree safe. The owners should demonstrate that all options to retain the tree have been investigated before its removal is authorised.	
				(2) The proposal is to leave the stump in situ, making any re-planting difficult. If the tree must be removed, this should be done fully so as a new tree can be replanted.	
				(3) Over recent years we have lost a number of trees on the estate and none have been replaced, leading to an overall depletion in the biodiversity of the estate and a loss of amenity for residents. If the tree must be removed, there should be a condition that it is replaced with a more appropriate specimen within a reasonable timeframe.	
				(4) Given the recent history of neglect and loss of biodiversity on the estate, any consent should require a tree management plan for the site.	
2025/0257/T	Peter McGinty	26/01/2025 22:27:50	NOBJ	I strongly support this application for all the reasons given.	
				Furthermore, I'd just like to add that the Elm Village Garden and Landscape Maintenance CIC (company number 14170279) will replace the tree with another species more suitable to the plot.	
2025/0257/T	Stella Timmins	25/01/2025 14:12:22	COMMNT	When I saw the yellow notice to fell the perfectly healthy mature tree, adjacent to 37, Rossendale Way, I was really shocked. My next thought was why? The tree is healthy, reached it's mature span and has done no damage to the walled planter in which it sits. All the houses in Elm Village where built on concrete piles, necessitated by the drop from the houses to the canal toe path and that retaining wall. The trees were planted early 1984 and have now reached their full potential. They are beautiful. There has been a conserted effort by some to have the trees within the Village cut down and replaced with others more to their taste. They even accused the Architect Peter Mishcon of scrimping on the landscaping costs. I spoke to Peter Mishcon. He was not pleased by this insinuation and pointed out to me that per square metre this project, at this time spent more than any project in the UK. The mature trees here are a gift. They bring joy and calm the spirit. They house and protect a multitude of species. I for one wouldn't be without them. They are a community amenity. Please Camden continue to protect our trees.	
2025/0257/T	Shavasti Bebeagua	25/01/2025 14:36:57	OBJ	I believe that in 2025 during a climate crisis all means must be taken to preserve trees and plants at all costs. Part of the appeal of living in Elm Village is the abundance of trees. Trees should not be cut down because of what may happen or because someone if afraid of insurance costs.	
				It would be highly irresponsible of Camden Council to allow the felling of trees in this green neighbourhood - these trees provide habitat for birds and squirrels.	
				I am firmly against the felling of this beautiful tree.	