Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/2407/P	Kitty Edwards-Jones	05/07/2024 19:49:54	OBJ	- The proposed roof extension and Mansard roof is too tall and bulky. - Building mass is completely out of keeping with the Historical Grade 1, Grade 2 and 2* listed Georgian houses. It would clearly be seen on the long view from the Grade 1 Robert Adam houses on Fitzroy Square. - The natural roof line of the historical houses will be compromised to the point where they will be over powered, ruining the visual flow and integrity of the historical houses in their context and setting in a conservation and surrounding area. - external material is out of keeping - Site can be clearly seen from afar and the public road impacting the skyline. - the Crittle windows form part of the history and development of the building and as a 1920's/1930's feature are attractive in their own right and do not impose on the setting. - noise and overshadowing will also be a major issue Impact on the conservation area Camden has a duty and responsibility to preserve this special area as part of our heritage under their policy document: ‘FITZROY SQUARE CONSERVATION APPROVAL AND MANAGEMENT STATEMENT’ named as ‘Fitzroy Conservation Area’. “The Council has proposed this area to be re-designated within its own boundary because of its distinct character” Quote: “Fitzrovia Square Conservation Area is a place of architectural and historical interest – even the smallest change can have an adverse effect on the area.” “The council is seeking to protect the special nature of this important area”..... I urge you to refuse this inappropriate development for this sensitive area.
2024/2407/P	Linus Rees	09/07/2024 12:56:22	COMNOT	Charlotte Street Association would like to make the following initial comments on this application. The site is in the Fitzroy Square Conservation Area and abuts listed buildings on either side. Therefore we ask conservation officers to be mindful of the detail of any proposed designs so as to preserve heritage assets and the streetscape in this very important location. According to the drawings for the proposed northwest and south west elevation, as well as the D and A statement, a new window is proposed at a corner position that is currently blank at third floor level. The drawings and D and A show conflicting sizes of this window identified by a "number 15" on the drawings. We ask that these drawings and D and A are corrected and also that a drawing of the proposed corner elevation, which faces approximately west, is also provided so that the design of the corner can be assessed. We will submit further comments on this application in due course.