				Printed on:	22/01/2025	09:10:08
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:		
2024/5808/P	Justin De Syllas	21/01/2025 11:22:54	OBJ	As a resident of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, i object to the proposal put forward in planning application 2024/5808/P. The addition of 5G equipment on the roof of Crestview will undermine the quality of the conservation area and compromise the setting of the listed Grade II church, St Mary Brookfield on the opposite side of Dartmouth Park Road. The appearance of the proposed antenna and other equipment would be wholly inappropriate in a residential conservation area.		

Printed on: 22/01/2025 09:10:08

rr			
2024/5808/P	R D Clarkson	21/01/2025 13:34:58	COMMNT

Received:

Consultees Name:

Application No:

### Response:

Comment:

Letter objecting to Waldons plans

## 21st January 2025

I object to the planning application number: 2024/5808/P for Crestview flats, 47 Dartmouth Park Hill, London NW5 1JB, which would significantly blight the environment, residents' lives, health and property values. My objections are on the following grounds:

### Inadequate and incorrect information

It is difficult to form a precise objection to the proposal because of information is lacking or inaccurate. Waldons engineering diagrams are inadequate. How can anyone rely on Waldons engineering diagrams when significant basic information is incorrect; for example showing the wrong number of floors in Crestview? However, despite this confusion, it appears that the equipment will add around half the blocks height viewed from the east and north [elevations C and B], slightly less from the south and west [elevations A and D] when garages are considered.

#### Conservation Area Issues:

Crestview is within the Dartmouth Park Conservation area. Visual impact from immediate street level will be extensive. Mounting aerials on Crestview's roof increases the detrimental visual impacts locally and at a distance.

The proposed telecom antenna and equipment on the roof, by reason of their location, number, height and design, would result in visual clutter which would cause harm to the character and appearance of the building, local views and the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area,

Crestview is at the high point of Dartmouth Park Road and Laurier Road, which run through the centre of the Dartmouth Park Conservation area. Crestview dominates the skyline when travelling up either road. Waldon's aerials mounted on Crestview's roof would increase, by about a half, the height above Crestview's roof. The equipment would be highly prominent in the street scene; the impact would be substantial and be harmful to Crestview and the surrounding area.

Currently Crestview's roof is a similar height to the roof line of Saint Mary Brookfield church, a grade 2\* listed property, immediately next to Crestview flats. The installation of Waldon's masts and antennae would overpower and dominate Saint Mary Brookfield. The equipment would also be visible from Hampstead Heath, Highgate, Islington and Tufnell Park.

### Health and Safety:

It appears that health concerns carry little weight, but they are nevertheless considered worth mentioning. Recent authoritative studies show that living in close proximity to mobile base stations can damage people's DNA, causing disease and it is highly likely to have an adverse long term effect. The persistence of unrepaired DNA damage leads to genomic instability which may lead to several health disorders including the induction of cancer. Crestview residents do not want and should not be forced to be within close proximity to such dangerous facilities.

Particularly concerning is that the proposed masts would be sited immediately above residents living in their homes. We are told that the proposals conform to current international guidelines on RF emission, but it is widely accepted that biological effects occur below current guidelines when people have long-term exposure to 5G. Particularly at most risk are the elderly and the young, both categories of whom live in Crestview including on the upper floors.

Printed on: 22/01/2025 09:10:08

## Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment:

It is considered by a growing number of experts that such extensive 5G installations should not be sited immediately on or next to people's homes. Crestview's residents do not want to have their health potentially damaged by being guinee pigs in a commercial experiment. There is growing concern in recent authoritative publications that long term exposure to 5G equipment can harm people's health.

# Impact on Residents:

Response:

Significant disruption is envisaged both during the installation stage with internal and external building works and into the future when the telecoms companies can effectively do what they want when they choose to do so. General change of equipment and alteration of facilities would happen without residents and owners of Crestview having any control whatsoever. This may be currently legal but I consider it morally corrupt.

Crestview already suffers from problems with intruders causing nuisance and damage to our property and posing a threat to us. Waldons proposal is an additional compounding long-term threat to our safety and security through the enforcement of 24 hour, every day, access to our building.

Our human right to the peaceful enjoyment of our own properties (Human Rights Act – the right to respect for private and family life and home) would be violated. The intrusion of people and vehicles onto our property, the noise disruption and obstruction when strangers have access to our property whenever they want is deeply concerning and frightening to many residents.

In addition, with such prominent equipment installation and a strong prevailing west wind there is a likelihood of on-going noise nuisance from the masts and ancillary equipment and a danger of damage to the roof's structure.

Financial Impact.

Local estate agents have told us that such an enormous eyesore would put off potential buyers and reduce bids. The current law enforcing such roof proposals is an outrageous financial loss to homeowners.

I ask that this proposal be rejected.

Yours sincerely

Roger D. Clarkson

Total: 2