

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| 2025/0033/P | Stephen Barnett Brown | 20/01/2025 16:15:37 | OBJ | <p>We live in the adjoining terraced house, which we own. We object to the proposed rear extension for the following reasons.</p> <p>First, the proposed extension would result in an un-neighbourly sense of enclosure to our property. It would create a high brick wall that would dominate the view from our basement and the view from our own ground floor original extension (which forms part of the original staggered terrace).</p> <p>Second, the extension would have a detrimental impact on the levels of daylight and sunlight which we receive. These are already relatively low owing to the height of the main houses and the northern aspect of the gardens.</p> <p>Third, we had understood that the houses form part of distinct late nineteenth century semi-detached pairs which are deemed to make a positive contribution to the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area. The rear of the properties in this group have very distinct full height rear extensions. The proposed extension would be an incongruous addition which would fail to appear subordinate to the host building and would fail to preserve the legibility of the original outrigger. As such the proposal would cause unjustified harm to the character and appearance of the subject property, terrace grouping, and surrounding conservation area, contrary to relevant policies.</p> <p>These were among the reasons we were given when permission was refused for a less dominant rear-infill extension that we sought a few years back.</p> |

Total: 14