

North

ti 0151 933 0328

m: info@baseenergy.co.uk

44 Canal Street Bootle Liverpool L20 8QU t 020 3286 2016

m: info@baseenergy.co.uk

117 Knyvett House, Watermans Business Park, The Causeway, Staines-upon-Thames, TW18 3BA

Surface Water and SuDS Assessment Rev2

5 Templewood Avenue, London, NW3 7UY

18 December 2024



Contents

1. Introduction	4
Existing Site Description and Background	4
Development Proposals	4
2. Planning Policy	5
Camden Council	5
The London Plan 2021	5
Non-Statutory Technical Standards for SuDS	7
3. Surface Water and SuDS	8
Site Area	8
Greenfield Rates of Runoff from the Existing Total Site Area	8
The London Plan Hierarchy	9
SuDS Options	10
suDs Maintenance	13
4. Conclusions	15
Appendices	16

2



Appendices

- Appendix A Site Location Plan
- **Appendix B** Existing Site Layout Plans
- Appendix C Proposed Site Layout Plans
- Appendix D Greenfield Runoff Calculation

Prepared by	Checked by	Date		
Carina Hassall BSc (Hons)	Shirley Hunter	18 December 2024		

This document has been prepared solely as a Surface Water and SuDS Assessment for Shirley and Alan Stone. Base Energy accepts no responsibility or liability for any use that is made of this document other than by the Client for the purposes for which it was originally commissioned and prepared.



1. Introduction

This Surface Water and SuDS Assessment has been prepared to support the planning application for the proposed residential redevelopment at 5 Templewood Avenue, London, NW3 7UY.

A site location plan is provided in **Appendix A**.

Existing Site Description and Background

The application site comprises 3 residential units.

A copy of the existing site plan is provided in **Appendix B**.

Planning permission was previously granted for a similar (albeit slightly larger) scheme at the site (LPA Ref. 2021/2793/P) for the: 'Conversion of 3 existing units to provide 2 units (C3); erection of rear extension with terrace above and part replacement side extension; installation of plant enclosure to rear; excavation of single storey basement and car lift for 1 vehicle; hard and soft landscaping works; and alterations to fenestration on all elevations'. The current proposal is somewhat smaller in scale than the previous approval, particularly at basement level.

Development Proposals

Proposals are for a full planning for residential extensions at side, rear and basement levels, internal re-configuration, boundary alterations, landscaping and associated works.

A copy of the proposed site plan is provided in **Appendix C**.

4



2. Planning Policy

Camden Council

Draft New Camden Local Plan - Regulation 18 Consultation Version, January 2024

Consultation has commenced on the New Camden Local Plan, and within the current consultation version, Policy C12 - Sustainable drainage states:

A. The Council will seek to control surface water run-off from development to reduce the risk of flooding. The Council will: i. Require all development to include permeable surfaces, incorporate green and blue roofs, and seek to replace non-permeable surfaces where feasible. This should be documented within the Sustainability Statement, or Drainage report if required. ii. Resist proposals including impermeable surface water run off rates to greenfield run-off rates, through the application of Sustainable Drainage Systems, following the drainage hierarchy in the London Plan. iv. Require Sustainable Drainage Systems to be designed to provide multifunctional benefits and be integrated into the development. v. Expect sustainable drainage system proposals to meet national and local guidance to ensure they are adequately designed, built and maintained for the lifetime of development. vi. Require a drainage report to be submitted with all major applications. A drainage report for basement developments and other vulnerable development (as set out in Annex 3 NPPF) is to be submitted in areas identified at risk of flooding (Policy CC11).

The London Plan 2021

Policy SI 13 Sustainable Drainage states:

A Lead Local Flood Authorities should identify – through their Local Flood Risk Management Strategies and Surface Water Management Plans – areas where there are particular surface water management issues and aim to reduce these risks. Increases in surface water run-off outside these areas also need to be identified and addressed.

B Development proposals should aim to achieve greenfield run-off rates and ensure that surface water run-off is managed as close to its source as possible. There should also be a preference for green over grey features, in line with the following drainage hierarchy:

1) rainwater use as a resource (for example rainwater harvesting, blue roofs for irrigation)

2) rainwater infiltration to ground at or close to source

5



3) rainwater attenuation in green infrastructure features for gradual release (for example green roofs, rain gardens)

4) rainwater discharge direct to a watercourse (unless not appropriate)

5) controlled rainwater discharge to a surface water sewer or drain

6) controlled rainwater discharge to a combined sewer.

C Development proposals for impermeable surfacing should normally be resisted unless they can be shown to be unavoidable, including on small surfaces such as front gardens and driveways.

D Drainage should be designed and implemented in ways that promote multiple benefits including increased water use efficiency, improved water quality, and enhanced biodiversity, urban greening, amenity and recreation.

9.13.1 London is at particular risk from surface water flooding, mainly due to the large extent of impermeable surfaces. Lead Local Flood Authorities have responsibility for managing surface water drainage through the planning system, as well as ensuring that appropriate maintenance arrangements are put in place. Local Flood Risk Management Strategies and Surface Water Management Plans should ensure they address flooding from multiple sources including surface water, groundwater and small watercourses that occurs as a result of heavy rainfall.

9.13.2 Development proposals should aim to get as close to greenfield run-off rates as possible depending on site conditions. The well-established drainage hierarchy set out in this policy helps to reduce the rate and volume of surface water run-off.

Rainwater should be managed as close to the top of the hierarchy as possible. There should be a preference for green over grey features, and drainage by gravity over pumped systems. A blue roof is an attenuation tank at roof or podium level; the combination of a blue and green roof is particularly beneficial, as the attenuated water is used to irrigate the green roof.

9.13.3 For many sites, **it may be appropriate to use more than one form of drainage**, for example a proportion of rainwater can be managed by more sustainable methods, with residual rainwater managed lower down the hierarchy. In some cases, direct discharge into the watercourse is an appropriate approach, for example rainwater discharge into the tidal Thames or a dock. This should include suitable pollution prevention filtering measures, ideally by using soft engineering or green infrastructure.

In addition, if direct discharge is to a watercourse where the outfall is likely to be affected by tide-locking, suitable storage should be designed into the system. However, in other cases direct discharge will not be appropriate, for example discharge into a small stream at the headwaters of a

6



catchment, which may cause flooding. This will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the location, scale and quality of the discharge and the receiving watercourse. The maintenance of identified drainage measures should also be considered in development proposals.

9.13.4 The London Sustainable Drainage Action Plan complements this policy. It contains a series of actions to make the drainage system work in a more natural way with a particular emphasis on retrofitting.

Non-Statutory Technical Standards for SuDS

The Non-Statutory Technical Standards for SuDS, (and accompanying Local Authority SuDS Officer Organisation (LASOO) Practice Guidance) sets out the details which should be addressed within a SuDS Report, including:

- Flood Risk Outside of the Development
- Peak Flow Control and Volume Control
- Flood Risk Within the Development
- Runoff Destinations
- Structural Integrity
- Designing for Maintenance Considerations
- Construction



3. Surface Water and SuDS

Site Area

The total comprises ~1150m².

Greenfield Rates of Runoff from the Existing Total Site Area

As previously noted, Local Plan Policy 32 states the following:

<u>Previously developed sites:</u> ensure that peak run-off rates and volumes for the 1 in 100 year event achieve greenfield run-off rates for the same event, unless it can be demonstrated that all opportunities to minimise final site run-off, as close as reasonably practicable to greenfield runoff rates, have been taken in line with the Mayor's drainage hierarchy. In such cases, run-off rates must not exceed 3 times the calculated greenfield rate.

As such, in the first instance the ICP SuDS method within Micro Drainage has been used to calculate flow rates from ~1150m² (as detailed in Appendix D and shown in Table 1).

Table 1 – ICP SuDS – Existing Site Runoff Rates (I/s)

Return Period	Flow Rate from 1150m ² (I/s)
Qbar	0.4
1 in 30 year	1.1
1 in 100 year	1.5

8



The London Plan Hierarchy

As noted previously, proposals are for a full planning for residential extensions at side, rear and basement levels, internal re-configuration, boundary alterations, landscaping and associated works.

The extensions will be located within areas that are currently 'hardstanding' – there will be no increase in hardstanding areas as a result of the proposals, and the proposals will not increase surface water runoff from the site. Surface water runoff will continue to drain as existing.

However, the proposals do afford the opportunity for SuDS to be incorporated which will provide betterment when compared with the existing situation. The following outlines the most feasible ways SuDS in line with the London Plan hierarchy.

1) Rainwater use as a resource (for example rainwater harvesting, blue roofs for irrigation)

There is the potential for simple rainwater recycling.

2) Rainwater infiltration to ground at or close to source

The British Geology Survey (BGS) Geology Maps show that the site is underlain by the Claygate Member. The Claygate Member forms the uppermost unit of the London Clay Formation and is described in the relevant BGS memoir (Ellison et al, 2004) as 'alternating beds of clayey silt, very silty clay, sandy silt and glauconitic silty fine sand. Beds are generally 1 to 5m thick, although the boundaries are generally diffuse as a result of bioturbation'.

On this basis, we would not recommend a strategy based on infiltration.

3) Rainwater attenuation in green infrastructure features for gradual release (for example green roofs, rain gardens)

The proposals include areas of landscaping, and a rain planter could feasibility be installed.

9



4) Rainwater discharge direct to a watercourse (unless not appropriate)

There are no watercourses in the immediate vicinity of the site.

5) Controlled rainwater discharge to a surface water sewer or drain, and 6) Controlled rainwater discharge to a combined sewer.

Surface water runoff will continue to drain as existing into the public sewer system.

SuDS Options

SuDS aim to mimic natural drainage and can achieve multiple objectives such as removing pollutants from urban runoff at source, controlling surface water runoff from developments, ensuring that flood risk is not increased further downstream and combining water management with green space which can increase amenity and biodiversity value. When selecting SuDS, consideration needs to be given to a range of factors including the ground conditions, type of proposals, and the constraints of the site.

In line with the London Plan hierarchy, the following SuDS are proposed to provide betterment (in terms of surface water management) when compared with the existing situation.

- Simple rainwater recycling (water butt)
- Rain planter
- Surface water to continue to drain as existing

Water Butt

In order to provide a level of rainwater recycling, a water butt will be provided. Water butts afford the opportunity to reduce the impact on already stretched potable water supply by enabling future occupants to reuse water collected in the water butt, for example when watering the garden/or washing cars etc. If this supply is used frequently this may also ensure that some additional storage is available during an extreme rainfall event (noting that there is a possibility that the tank may be full before the onset of a storm and as such there is no guarantee as to the level of attenuation storage they can provided).

10





Ideally, an overflow should be provided to prevent the rainwater tank from overflowing. This could be via a perforated hose to allow the tank to empty after a rainfall event thus making capacity for the next event.

Rain Planter

As detailed above, the proposal will introduce areas of planting; these should include a rain planter.

Typical Design

- Freeboard 100mm
- Topsoil 300mm (ratio of approximately 50% sand, 30% topsoil and 20% compost)
- Subbase –400mm, underdrain needs to be above the base.
- Underdrain at 550mm below ground level
- Orifice to reduce flows to 1.5l/s this is the existing 1 in 100 year greenfield rate of runoff
- Overflow into newly constructed gully.
- Connecting into manhole public sewer
- Planting to be shrubs / wildflowers / perennial flowering plants
- The downpipe will feed water directly onto the rain planter. Stones or gravel will be used to dissipate the energy of the water and prevent heavy flows from washing away soil.

11



Please note:

- Detailed drainage drawings will be submitted at detailed drainage design stage.
- The SuDS strategy has been put together based on our understanding of the ground conditions and site layout. Building Control will need to be consulted on the siting of the SuDS, and the recommendations and advice of the SuDS manufacturer / installer should always be followed.

12



SuDS Maintenance

Operation and maintenance schedules are provided below (taken from Ciria C753 The SuDS Manual): these, or similar schedules, will be adopted by the management company.

Water Butt

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Typical Frequency
Regular Maintenance	The water butt should be routinely checked for litter – leaves can become trapped in the water butt which could lead to blockage of the taps and overflow	Monthly
	Where appropriate, and if safe to do so, the water butt should be cleaned annually to prevent smells associated with stagnant water, and to remove any algae.	Annually





Rain Planter

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Typical Frequency		
During Establishment Period (Years 1 and 2)	Watering Weeding Litter picking	Weekly 3 Monthly		
	Check / clean channels, inlets and outlets Mulching	Annually or as required		
Following Establishment Period (Year 3 onwards)	Weeding Litter picking Pruning and trimming Check / clean channels, inlets and outlets Replanting	6 Monthly Annually or as required		

14



4. Conclusions

This Surface Water and SuDS Assessment has been prepared to support the planning application for the proposed residential redevelopment at 5 Templewood Avenue, London, NW3 7UY.

The application site comprises 3 residential units.

Proposals are for a full planning for residential extensions at side, rear and basement levels, internal re-configuration, boundary alterations, landscaping and associated works.

The extensions will be located within areas that are currently 'hardstanding' – there will be no increase in hardstanding areas as a result of the proposals, and the proposals will not increase surface water runoff from the site. Surface water runoff will continue to drain as existing.

However, the proposals do afford the opportunity for SuDS to be incorporated which will provide betterment when compared with the existing situation.

In line with the London Plan hierarchy, the following SuDS are proposed:

- Simple rainwater recycling (water butt)
- Rain planter
- Surface water to continue to drain as existing

Please note:

- Detailed drainage drawings will be submitted at detailed drainage design stage.
- The SuDS strategy has been put together based on our understanding of the ground conditions and site layout. Building Control will need to be consulted on the siting of the SuDS, and the recommendations and advice of the SuDS manufacturer / installer should always be followed.

15



<u>Appendices</u>

16



Appendix A - Site Location Plan

17



5 Templewood Avenue Hampstead NW3 7UY

Location Plan

1046-S2-15

1:1250@ A4

WIGHT BROD T 2(I , 8a Baynes Mews --- London --- NW3 5BH

www.brodwight.co.uk office@brodwight.co.uk Tel 020 7722 0810

This drawing is copyright



Appendix B - Existing Site Layout Plans

18





Appendix C - Proposed Site Layout Plans

19



SECOND FLOOR/FIRST FLOOR ROOF PLAN

BROD WIC 8a Baynes Mews --- London --- N

Project Address: 5 Templewood Avenue Hampstead NW3 7U

www.brodwight.co.uk office@b Tel 020 7722 0810 This drawi





THIRD FLOOR NOT PART OF THIS APPLICATION

scale bar - metric

legral Sliding Doors		
Kitchen	MM (0.98) CHH 124 CHH 124 CHH 124	
Bed 2		Cost
GHT ECIS	Drawing Tit Propos Plans	le: ed 2nd & 3rd Floor
e Y	Drawing Re 1046-A	^{f:} P4-05A
prodwight.co.uk ing is copyright	Date May 2024	Scale 1:100@A3/1:50@A1

HH 1.27 CH 1.06

(2.32)

1

2.56





www.brodwight.co.uk office@brodwight.co.uk Tel 020 7722 0810 This drawing is copyright

scal	e bar -	metric							
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9m
scal	e bar -	imperia	al						
0		6		12	1	8	24		30ft







Drawing Ref: 1046-AP4-06A

Drawing Title: Proposed Roof Plan

Date May 2024

Scale 1:100@A3/1:50@A1





scale	bar - n	netric							
						1111		1 1111	1111
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9m
scale	bar - ir	mperial							
0		6	1	2	18	5	24	ł	30ft

Proposed N-E Side Elevation



Drawing Title:

Scale 1:100@A3/1:50@A1



Datum 98.000m



scale bar - metric mhm uuluu hindinia huntun hundhund 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9m scale bar - imperial

30ft

				1			1			1	1
0	6	1	2		1	8		2	4		



Datum 98.000m

Drawing Title: Proposed Section A - A

> Scale 1:100@A3/1:50@A1





scal	e bar -	metric							
			1111		1111			luu	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9m
scal	e bar -	imperia	al						
1								1.1	
0		6		12	1	8	24		30ft







Drawing Ref: 1046-AP4-04A

Drawing Title: Proposed First Floor Plan

> Scale 1:100@A3/1:50@A1

Date May 2024



Appendix D - Greenfield Runoff Calculation

20

Base Energy Services Limited		Page 1
44 Canal Street	Templewood	
Bootle	Total Site Greenfield	
Liverpool L20 8QU		Mirro
Date 29/07/2024	Designed by CH	
File	Checked by PK	Diamage
Micro Drainage	Source Control 2020.1.3	L

ICP SUDS Mean Annual Flood

Input

Return Period (years)100Soil0.450Area (ha)0.115Urban0.000SAAR (mm)671RegionNumberRegion

Results 1/s

QBAR Rural 0.5 QBAR Urban 0.5 Q100 years 1.5 Q1 year 0.4 Q30 years 1.1 Q100 years 1.5