

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/5654/P	Ms Yuk Mei Lee	11/01/2025 12:54:22	OBJ	<p>Flat 9 Matilda Apartments 4, Earnshaw Street, London WC2H 8AJ.</p> <p>I am writing to strongly OBJECT this planning application. I live at No.9 Matilda Apartments. I find it ludicrous and frustrating that Camden continues to allow companies to install antenna apertures etc above our roof.</p> <p>Why don't you put them opposite on their roofs they are offices and do not live here 24/7. I have a life threatening illness and object to this nonsense planning application. It's truly disgusting you don't think of others and how that affects my health and my neighbors health. It's extremely abusive to carry on allowing these companies to do that to residents so I OBJECT and always will OBJECT when regarding this issue.</p> <p>Ms Lee</p>
2024/5654/P	Vira Didyk	12/01/2025 13:26:06	COMMNT	<p>Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing as a residents of Matilda Apartments at 4 Earnshaw Street to strongly object to the proposed installation of 5G antennae, transmission dishes, and associated equipment on the roof of our building due to concerns over potential health issues and damage to building as the entire building lacks air conditioning or air cooling systems already.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter.</p> <p>Regards</p>
2024/5654/P	K.konstantoudaki	12/01/2025 16:40:09	OBJ	<p>I object to the variation in the planning permission for Matilda apartments for the following reasons (one) with all the future applications there will be too many masts in the area, (two). It will spoil the entire look of the complex, (Three) it will create morevisual noise to the building which will be distracting from the original architecture, (four) it will create more foot for as people access the roof of the building, (five). There is not enough evidence to say that having this sort of equipment directly above us is safe.</p>
2024/5654/P	Charlotte Siddu	12/01/2025 20:48:18	COMMNT	<p>I object to the variation in the planning permission for Matilda apartments for the following reasons It will spoil the entire look of the complex, it will create morevisual noise to the building which will be distracting from the original architecture it will create more foot for as people access the roof of the building, There is not enough evidence to say that having this sort of equipment directly above us is safe. The roof already has ventilation, heating, and window-cleaning equipment, which takes up a lot of space. With summers getting hotter in future the building might need air conditioning installed. Also with the costs of electricity rising and installing solar panels could be a good option to keep energy costs down. Adding these masts would not only take up the remaining space but also block sunlight for any solar panels we might want to install. This would leave the building with fewer options to adapt to future needs. There's already an application approved to install 5G masts on this roof. Allowing another set of masts on top of that will mean twice the equipment, doubling the impact on those of us who live here.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/5654/P	Ena Poon	11/01/2025 12:11:06	OBJ	I object to put up masts on the roofs of Matilda apartments because the mast will affect the environment & general health of human being. It will cause many human illness such as various cancer developments in human. We do not need any these masts on the roof of Matilda apartments.

Application No: 2024/5654/P
Consultees Name: Max Robinson
Received: 11/01/2025 12:02:11
Comment: COMMNT

Response:
 I wish to object to this planning application for the following reasons

1. Impact on Residents

Our building is one of the few residential properties in an area with lots of office and commercial buildings nearby. Choosing a residential building for this installation will cause much more disruption to those of us living here. It's frustrating that, with so many commercial options available, the mobile phone companies are prioritizing our home rather than choosing a non-residential property.

2. Too Many Masts

There's already an application approved to install 5G masts on this roof. Allowing another set of masts on top of that will mean twice the equipment, doubling the impact on those of us who live here.

3. Health Concerns

The long-term effects of 5G radiation remain insufficiently studied, and these masts would be installed within 2 meters of my living space. If this application is approved, there could be up to 12 masts on the roof, subjecting residents to almost continuous exposure, 24 hours a day, indefinitely. The potential health risks and the stress of living in such close proximity to these masts are deeply concerning. If the masts were located at least 30 meters away or in areas where people spend less time, such as commercial properties, the concern would be mitigated. However the proposed placement is on a building with 53 apartments and over 100 residence.

4. Limited Roof Space

The roof already has ventilation, heating, and window-cleaning equipment, which takes up a lot of space. With summers getting hotter in future the building might need air conditioning installed. Also with the costs of electricity rising and installing solar panels could be a good option to keep energy costs down. Adding these masts would not only take up the remaining space but also block sunlight for any solar panels we might want to install. This would leave the building with fewer options to adapt to future needs.

5. Noise, Disruption, and Extra Costs

The fitting of the masts and their servicing will cause disruption and damage to our building and allow additional people access to where we live. There is already air ventilation, heating, and window-cleaning equipment on the roof, which means regular contractors need access. This already causes noise and disruption to the residents. Fitting and maintaining the masts will make this worse, especially since the noise and disruption will bring no benefit to the residents of the building. Any money paid by the mobile phone companies will not go to the residents, yet contractors will be using our building's lifts and other facilities to access and service the masts. This will likely result in higher service charges for residents due to the extra electricity used by the lifts and increased maintenance costs caused by the wear and tear from transporting equipment to the roof.

6. Appearance of the Building

Right now, the equipment on the roof isn't visible from the ground, so it doesn't affect how the building looks. But if these masts are installed, it'll ruin the appearance of the building, making it look cluttered—like a hedgehog. There are so many office buildings nearby where these masts would have far less impact on how the property looks.

7. Property value will be effected. Having 5G masts in such close proximity will reduce the property value of the building, not only of the look but concerns on living in such close proximity.

For all these reasons, I strongly believe this application shouldn't go ahead. I hope the planning committee will encourage the mobile phone companies to look at the nearby commercial buildings instead, where the impact would be much smaller.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

Best regards,

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/5654/P	Nastassja Smart	11/01/2025 09:51:37	OBJ	<p>I am writing as a residents of Matilda Apartments at 4 Earnshaw Street to object to the proposed installation of 5G antennae, transmission dishes, and associated equipment on the roof of our building.</p> <p>The reasons being:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Architectural and Historical Building Matilda Apartments was built by renowned architect Renzo Piano - the same architect who built The Shard, London and Centre Pompidou in Paris. The installation of 5G masts would fundamentally compromise the architectural integrity and visual appeal of this structure and work mark a concerning modern architecture of significance in the borough. Not only this, the appearance of 5G masts would permanently affect the skyline, impacting on further buildings of cultural and historical significance in the area. 2. Issues with Building Functionality Residents of Matilda Apartments already struggle with the building's troubled air circulation system and lack of air conditioning. Climate Change is a growing concern and as temperatures rise, it is the residents who will increasingly struggle. Further installation of 5G equipment would limit the space necessary to install or repair our air circulation system. 3. Health and Safety The long-term health impacts of living in close proximity to 5G equipment is yet to be properly studied. Camden council should prioritise Camden residents health over profit. 4. Noise Pollution Residents of Matilda Apartments already face considerable noise pollution from ongoing construction projects within the area, most recently the GSK building on Bucknall Street. Installation and maintenance of the 5G equipment would lead to further noise pollution. Once again, the council should prioritise its residents over profit. 5. Structural Risks The proposed installation would impose additional weight and structural demands on the building. There are concerns of potential leaks at ceiling level due to construction work, which would directly impact on the health and safety of residents at Matilda Apartments. 6. Violation of Planning Policy The proposal contradicts Policy D1 of the Camden Local Plan 2017, which emphasises respecting the character and appearance of buildings. 7. Alternative Locations Considering that Matilda Apartments is a residential building, the Camden should propose a commercial building on which to install 5G equipment, such as the Central Saint Giles office buildings, which have considerably more roof space for the installation of 5G equipment. There are various other commercial buildings along New Oxford Street that could potentially be utilised. <p>Our question is this: why must the 5G equipment be installed on a residential block of architectural/historic</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/5654/P	Miss Ikpasaja	11/01/2025 22:58:57	OBJ	<p>I object to the variation in the planning permission at Matilda Apartments, for these reasons.</p> <p>Limited Roof Space The roof already has ventilation, heating, and window-cleaning equipment, which takes up a lot of space. With summers getting hotter, the building might need air conditioning installed. Also with the costs of electricity rising, installing solar panels could be a good option to keep energy costs down. Adding these masts would not only take up the remaining space but also block sunlight for any solar panels we might want to install. This would leave the building with fewer options to adapt to future needs as they arise.</p> <p>Too Many Masts There's already an application approved to install 5G masts on this roof. Allowing another set of masts on top of that will mean twice the equipment, doubling the impact on those of us who live here.</p>
2024/5654/P	B. Brekle	12/01/2025 20:39:03	OBJ	<p>I object to the removal of condition 5 of planning permission. I also object to the installations of proposed equipment as stated in 2022/2378/P. The reasons for the objections are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no conclusive evidence that installations like the one outlined in the proposal do not have adverse health effects or increased cancer risk to residents living in close proximity. 2. Nearby commercial/office buildings should be considered first for installations like the one in the proposal, instead of residential buildings due to the risks to the residents. 3. The proposed installations will have a significant impact on the aesthetic appearance of the building and will not be in line with the vision of architect Renzo Piano.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/5654/P	Teri G	11/01/2025 19:34:37	OBJ	Hi, Sir

Refer to several times of objection below:

OBJECTION :

* APP/X5210/W/21/3272448 - REF 2020/2015/P (Application: Cornerstone, Telefonica UK Ltd & Vodafone);

*APP/X5210/W/21/3279455 - Application Reference: 2020/5822/P.

And I objection this: 2024/5654/P.

I strongly object for the following points below:

1) As a Matilda Apartments resident, I always see people around to take picture of this building when on the street with beautiful skyline. This is signature Renzo Piano Architecture Building (The Shard as one their design as well).

If being placed on the roof, these will visible and eyesore across the neighbourhood, with a view of antennas and satellite dish.

2) I don't want huge and weight equipments (6 x antenna apertures, 2 x transmission dishes and 8 x equipment cabinets & ancillary works) put on top of the roof and fixing uncountable large poles would cause permanent damage to the roof structural stability.

I am on the top floor, exactly just under the roof and sleep under the masts, and it less than 3 meter.

My entrance door frame, living room door frame and bath room door frame had shifted because too much demolition works, excavation and pilling work surrounding.

3) Soundproof between roof and my ceiling is very poor, I can hear noise from steel elements when is windy; when strong wind I can hear wind noise sound through my ceiling; when maintenance crew carry work on the roof, I even can hear they are talking when they are on roof edge which above my window. (even though window is close).

4) There is no independent access to the roof, maintenance crew need to climb up steel staircase through the floor to gain access to the roof, which will be at the top floor, is too much traffic and noise.

5) Ventilation, heating, window cleaning equipment have already take a lot of space on the roof, and roof can be install with solar panels to help to reduce energy costs.

6) I don't want to live under all kind of steelwork, wire and equipments (Huma Rights Act (1998) (HRA1998); Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life); Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property enjoy your property peacefully).

I have nothing against technological advancements and innovation in telecommunications, but this base station is highly unsuitable for residential building, may be other better suited site close by Industrial part or commercial building.

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

Yours sincerely
Teri G

2024/5654/P Robert O'Connor 12/01/2025 11:47:28 OBJ

there is limited roof space for the placement of these 6 antenna apertures, 2 transmission dishes and 8 equipment cabinets. Currently the roof space is taken up by our ventilation, heating and window cleaning equipment . There is already a planning application to have 5 mast put on this roof how would these masts fit and adding them would have an even larger impact on the people who live here
These mast would spoil the Architectural look and ascetics of this landmark London building. creating more visual than the building was deigned to have. It would seem a shame to destroy one of Londons outstanding architectural buildings with these masts.
Also it would restrict roof access for general work and maintenance that is routinely carried out on the equipment that is already on the roof mentioned above.
