

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2024/5687/P	Nigel Smith	13/01/2025 08:27:51	OBJ	<p>It should be clear even from just the observation of a plan of the Meadowbank estate that given the housing density and the juxtaposition of the properties any extension on any property is likely to have an adverse impact on a number of neighbours. This application only considers the position of one impacted property being a property whose rear elevation is opposite the applicant. It fails to acknowledge that the front elevation of the applicant overlooks a narrow communal garden flanked by two terraces perpendicular to the applicant being 46 to 50 Meadowbank and 52 to 56 Meadowbank. The end of terrace properties being Numbers 46 and 52 Meadowbank ( and possibly 47 and 53 MB) are particularly close to the applicant property. I live in 46 Meadowbank and from my bedroom window the front elevation of this property is only 20ft (around 6m) away. I am significantly adversely impacted in terms of loss of light, loss of privacy and being overlooked by this proposal. I would recommend rejection of this application. At the very least the applicant should be required to address in his application the impact on the neighbouring perpendicular terraces.</p>

---