

Dear Sir/Madam,

I strongly object to the proposed amendment to the original consent.

Although not strictly relevant, consideration should surely be given to the fact that development of this site has been ongoing for an unconscionably long time, to the disturbance and great inconvenience of neighbouring residents of Flask Walk.

It seems to me that there can only be one explanation for the proposed change sought by the developers and that is to enable the flat roof to be used as a terrace thereby affording views directly into the neighbouring property's (no 43) bedroom. In light of the numerous broken undertakings and assertions made by the developers as to the final completion of the works, I believe it would be unwise for Camden to accept any undertaking or protestation from them that there is in fact no intention to use the flat roof as a terrace. If that were so, then what is the need for the amendment?

Yours sincerely,

David Altaras,

48 Flask Walk,

NW3 1HE