| Application No: | <b>Consultees Name:</b> | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2024/5402/P     | Mary Carchrae           | 22/12/2024 15:49:03 | OBJ      | I object to this development.<br>The planning statement is not accurate: the neighbouring property does not have an extension, but a small,<br>fully glazed conservatory.<br>I dispute the opinion that "there should be no amenity concerns from any neighbouring properties due to the<br>current conservatory in place", as the proposed extension is large, higher and wider than the existing<br>conservatory at no 95.<br>The proposed wall on the boundary at 3.32M high, 3M long, will considerably reduce daylight to the adjacent<br>living room at no 93. compared to the existing garden wall at 1.6M high.<br>The existing conservatory is only 2.3M deep and 2.8M high at its maximum, and its sloping roof matches that<br>of the conservatory at no 93, its pair. At present it is 0.5M away from the boundary with no 93.<br>The flat roofed proposal is excessively large and clumsy; it does not improve the existing house. |