

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/5383/P	Olivier fortin	18/12/2024 16:24:02	INT	I believe it is incredible that mount Advil are trying to downplay/ignore things such as air quality, climate change or the impact of the developments on infrastructure and services. The new plans need to be rethought as a matter of urgency
2024/5383/P	Matthew McNally	17/12/2024 16:07:21	OBJ	I am extremely concerned by the building heights and density in the current proposal. I welcome new housing in the area, but the high towers currently proposed would have a severe negative impact on the local area. These towers would be an eyesore, block light for existing homes, create wind tunnels, overwhelm local services, unduly stress the local environment (ie wildlife, water table, etc) and services. For an example of considerate, functional and liveable blocks, look no further than Lissenden Gardens.
2024/5383/P	Andrew Dow	17/12/2024 11:03:37	OBJ	<p>I objection to Mount Anvil's application for a Scoping Environmental Impact Assessment on this proposed development.</p> <p>This is because I believe the the developer for the Bacton phase 2 site, Mount Anvil, is trying to exclude crucial elements of this study, like the possible impact of this project on air quality, biodiversity, and the impact on local infrastructure and services, from this assessment.</p> <p>All these are crucial considerations for an enormous project like this that will have a terrible impact on both the local environment and the wider one.</p>
2024/5383/P	Brigitte ASCHER	17/12/2024 11:03:26	COMMNT	I oppose this proposal because of its height and its density. The current application to scope out essential considerations such as biodiversity, water and flood risk, and socio economics simply confirms the overall nature of this proposal - to squeeze the maximum number of homes into the smallest amount of space without regard to existing infrastructure, the fate of the neighbourhood or the well-being of those who would end up living there.
2024/5383/P	Kentish Town Neighbourhood Forum	17/12/2024 14:14:07	OBJ	<p>Kentish Town Neighbourhood Forum (KTNF) is objecting to the proposal as an interested neighbour. The reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The height (up to 26 storeys) is excessive in an area that is mostly low rise and compared with the previous version of this project which was agreed to by all parties and was around 4-5 storeys tall.</li> <li>• The density is excessive. It will overwhelm the existing infrastructure and affect the whole neighbourhood negatively.</li> <li>• The number of affordable flats at 23% is massively short of the requirements in the London Plan (50%) and therefore does not address social housing needs in the neighbourhood.</li> <li>• The proposal asks that critical factors are excluded from assessment such as biodiversity, flood and water, climate change and the impact on infrastructure and services.</li> <li>• Other sites in the area are being planned: West Kentish Town with just under 1000 homes, Regis Road around 1000 homes, Murphy's possibly another 1000 homes. Altogether we are looking at some 3,300 new homes in the area, which – taking the average number of residents per home currently standing at 3.1– will be some 10,000 new residents. This is an influx that requires careful urban planning that considers all factors from the outset, from infrastructure and services, transport, to waste water – exactly the factors that Mount Anvil considers 'insignificant'.</li> </ul>