

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2024/5383/P     | Val Stevenson    | 16/12/2024 19:14:53 | COMMNT   | The proposed "significant number of homes on the former Bacton Low Rise Estate" will put added pressure on the area's infrastructure. The air quality will also be adversely affected. These must be considered.   |
| 2024/5383/P     | Richard Atkins   | 16/12/2024 22:20:49 | OBJ      | <p>The current proposal does not appear sensible in it's form as I understand it. Two blocks of private flats and a third, smaller one for social housing. This design seems to be segregating in a way that does not facilitate good relationships. The design also appears to be packing too many flats into such a small space.</p> <p>The site is a good size, with good transport links and local schools, however I cannot see that the architecture is designed to accommodate sunlight well or be a sustainable build</p> <p>Tall thin buildings are more difficult to insulate, and it is likely that the developers will be trying to save money on materials and best practices where possible.</p> <p>I disapprove of the current proposal and recommend that alternative designs or architectures are considered that increase the social ratio, lower the overall height of the buildings and provide more sustainable living accommodation for so many people who will be living there for many decades to come.</p>  |
| 2024/5383/P     | Jessica Morrison | 16/12/2024 13:07:44 | OBJ      | As a local resident, I strongly believe that subjects such as air quality, biodiversity, climate change and the impact on infrastructure and services from this assessment. We need to build new housing, but the environmental impact of developments must be considered for the long term health of us all.  |
| 2024/5383/P     | Yasmin Allen     | 16/12/2024 14:40:09 | OBJ      | <p>The proposed 23 storey ,maybe even 26 stories is too tall for the surrounds . It will impact quite negatively on traffic during construction, closures of local roads, meaning the main road, Malden road would be snarled up with unnecessary traffic on a road which leads to 2 main hospitals in both directions, several schools, the workplace for residents. The ensuing pollution would have no mitigation ,as any communal space would be requisitioned by the builders for materials, tools and toilets thus impacting local families and all residents. The structure would hit our limited infrastructure. There is one doctor's surgery in the vicinity serving an already densely populated area. There are schools closing because families cannot afford to live here or gain council property. As such we have overcrowding and no alternative housing to accommodate people on any kind of income! This kind of housing proposal does not alleviate any of the existing issues.in fact it is most likely that it will be foreign investors, yet again, very wealthy buyers who then put their flats up for even more inflated rents with a fast turnover thus not contributing to the community</p> <p>Except perhaps to enjoy camden's nightlife and disrupt the quiet of night and their neighbours with loud behaviour.</p> <p>The pollution which would ensue from construction vehicles is not wanted, either noise or blocking traffic and roads. The view from the sky is totally out of sync with existing buildings looming over much older and smaller buildings such as St.martin's church, and the sunlight would be blocked when children are finishing school. We have many ethnic minorities living under its shadow who require vitamin d as it takes longer to absorb in darker skin. Why should any of us be deprived of sunlight and its warmth to make an avaricious and thoughtless developer more rich?</p> <p>The density of the dwellings is far too much for the ground area .</p> <p>There should be much more council housing and realistically affordable rent homes for local people which is not the case with this proposal which is ,in fact going to be a blot on the landscape, skyscape and penalise some of the most vulnerable residents ,the elderly and the young and any generation.</p> <p>This needs to be completely rethought and downsized.</p> |

Total: 3