					Printed on:	05/11/	/2024
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2024/4405/T	Camden Town Conservation Advisiory Committee	04/11/2024 15:35:33	OBJ	On behalf of the Camden Town Conservation Area Advisory Committee I oppose the application for the reasons below:- The Camden Town CAAC strongly objects to the loss of these important mature tree reasons: Biodiversity: There are no public gardens in the residential part of the Conservation Area and strepollarded back resulting in poor branch frameworks for nesting birds, and delayed consects (bats also feed in this locality). These two mature rear garden trees are there significant contributor to these fundamental aspects within the CA providing excellent garden block. Carbon Sequestration: Both species are Category A carbon credit species trees (see Barcham's "Top Trunk guide). Camden has declared a climate emergency and should not be permitting the a consequence. Visual Amenity: They provide important visual screening for residential amenity and the character of See CTCA AMP p21 "The trees and greenery of back gardens are only visible in occupublic realm but contribute to the nature of the western part of the Conservation Area important element in the character and appearance of the Conservation Area." Structures due subsidence has caused. Retention with careful management would be the correct so of cases on clay soils.	es for the followes for the followes are roanopy cover to anopy cover to a fore an import to biodiversity in the followes are release of this backland plots casional glimps a mature tree ctural matters: to heave than	utinely attract ant and this uestrati carboi in the ses fronces are a	ion n as CA. n the an
				Assessment The sycamore and the ash are not visible from the public realm however they are wi number of properties that overlook the rear gardens of Albert Street, Arlington Road, Parkway providing a high level of visual amenity to those who overlook them. They recontribution to the character and appearance of this part of the conservation area for vegetation along the rear boundary of the properties, providing habitat for wildlife, so properties and ameliorating the effects of pollution and climate change. It is consider attributes of these trees are significant and that the trees are worthy of being brough tree preservation order. The notification alleges that the trees have been implicated in subsidence at the properties of the position of the trees but more importantly no evidence of cyclical move indicating seasonal movement associated with subsidence caused by vegetation. It is recommended that a tree preservation order is served to protect the visual amendation.	, Delancey Stremake a positive make a positive rming part of a creening between that the colut under the property. While so ted on any placement has been that and other	eet and corrido en the lected stection me dat ns in n provid	or of of a
				environmental services the trees provide and preserve the character and appearance area.	e of the conse	rvation	

09:10:09

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 05/11/2024 09:10:09 Response:
2024/4405/T	Nicholas Ayre	04/11/2024 21:06:28	OBJ	I object to the application to fell the two trees in the rear garden of Cecil House. Both trees would seem to be far from the rear of the building so I would be very surprised if they are causing damage to Cecil House.
				Mature trees are a great asset to Camden, improving air quality and removing CO2 from the atmosphere, not to mention their visual amenity to the residents who overlook them.
				Both these trees were supposed to be covered by a previously granted tree protection order but for some unexplained reason Camden Council failed to register them which is inexcusable incompetence on the part of the Council.
				Rather than relying on a claim by the buildings insurers that the trees are causing damage, Camden should commission their own investigation to see if this is indeed correct.
				If there is an issue, then pollarding and reducing the overall height and bulk of the tress should be a first option rather than removal.
2024/4405/T	Fabio	04/11/2024 11:25:40	OBJ	I strongly objects to the proposed felling and loss of two important mature trees in the large green space bounded by Albert Street North, Parkway, Arlington Road and Delancey Street for the following reasons. Conservation area These trees are in a conservation area and therefore listed. They appear to be in good health. Visual Amenity Although the trees in question can only be glimpsed externally they can be seen from over 45 dwellings and are therefore of considerable value as a public visual amenity. Biodiversity and Air Pollution Too many mature trees in Camden have been and continue to be felled, many to enable the construction of the HS2 railway. Street trees are often pollarded. It is therefore imperative that these trees are preserved to provide natural habitats for birds and insects. Their canopies are also essential for combating air pollution which has been shown to be extremely high because of heavy traffic in Parkway and Delancey Street. In the absence of public gardens, these trees contribute to an important "green lung" in this residential area. Carbon Sequestration Both species are Category A carbon credit species trees (see Barcham's "Top Trunks" carbon sequestration guide). Camden has declared a climate emergency and should not be permitting the release of this carbon as a consequence. Structual Issues Removal of mature trees such as these often cause more damage to structures due to heave than any initial subsidence has caused. Retention with careful management would be the correct solution in the vast majority of cases on clay soils.

				Printed on: 05/11/2024 09:10:09		
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2024/4405/T	Elena Acciarri	04/11/2024 16:16:40	ОВЈ	I would like to object to this planning application for the felling of 2 mature trees. I live in Arlington Road with a garden which forms part of a green area also bordered by Albert Street, Delancey Street and Parkway.		
				 Although the applicant's arboriculture report recommends that they be felled, it is possible that the report is overly cautious as it has been commissioned by an insurer. I would like Camden to consider that pruning may be a viable alternative as the trees are distant from the property and could be managed rather than felled. I urge Camden planning to do all they can to preserve the biodiversity that is so important in our area. Camden are taking measures to reduce carbon emissions and need to extend this to ensure the preservation of our carbon reducing trees where-ever possible. These trees are a key amenity asset in this conservation area giving a green outlook at the rear of houses that face a busy urban environment, they reduce overlooking, light pollution and encourage wildlife. 		
2024/4405/T	Susan Neve and John Neve	04/11/2024 16:12:34	OBJ	We object strongly to the application for the sycamore tree and the ash tree to be felled to ground level at 102-104 Albert Street. Such drastic action would have a negative impact on views from many houses in the vicinity. It would also have a negative impact on the biodiversity and air quality of our part of Albert Street (that between Parkway and Delancey Street), which is an extremely busy area with a great deal of traffic. The trees are within the Conservation Area so are deserving of extra protection; consideration should be given to pruning them. We understand furthermore that, according to an independent arboricultural expert. there is no clear evidence that the two trees are causing subsidence to 102-104 Albert Street.		