

**From:** CAAC Comments Form  
**Sent:** Mon Oct 07 10:40:02 2024  
**To:** Planning; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** CAAC Consultation Comments Received  
**Importance:** Normal

Microsoft Exchange Server;converted from html;

# Camden Council

## Hi,

**Someone** submitted an entry for the CAAC Comments form form in the Camden Council site.  
View all the form's entries by clicking [here](#).

Click here to access the form

**Here's what Someone entered into the form:**

Enter Pin

[REDACTED]

Application ref.  
2024/4210/P

Site Address  
72 Lawn Road London Camden NW3 2XB

### Development Description

Rear extension at ground floor level with green roof and rooflights, side dormer on side elevation, loft conversion with rear dormer extension, outbuilding with green roof in rear garden, ASHP in rear garden, replacement of existing windows, replacement front door, bin storage area to front of property, 4x solar panels on roof, metal railing and lighting in front garden, external wall insulation and new brick cladding at first-floor rear elevation and associated landscaping works.

Planning officer  
Lauren Ford

Advisory committee  
Parkhill

Advisory committee

Please send your comments by:  
2024-10-22T00:00:00.000

### About your observations

When making your observations please consider the impact the proposals will have on the character and appearance of the conservation area. This will usually be related to physical changes but can include use of buildings. The character and appearance of the conservation area is set out in the conservation area statement it may be useful to refer to it to support your comments.

**Please choose one**

Comment

**Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?**

COMMENTS:1. Parkhill and Upper Park Conservation Area Appraisal & Management Strategy: No 72: Building that makes a positive contribution2. SIDE DORMER: The Application takes account of the pre-app report from Camden Planning and references the side dormer at no 75 Lawn Road as a model. Whilst acknowledging that the dormer at no 75 was built according to Consented Drawings, as built it is obtrusive and overbearing. In this instance we disagree with the Planning Officer's view that a previous proposal for no 75 for an extended side window, breaking the eaves line, such as those at nos 77 and 79 Lawn Road was unacceptable. This would have been in keeping with the Arts and Crafts/Hampstead Garden Suburb style of the house. If a dormer is to be preferred over an extended side window (as seems to be Camden policy) then it should be more recessive than that built at no 75. An acceptable example would be the smaller, lead-clad dormer at no 76.3. EXTERNAL LIGHTING: Lighting should be directional: designed not to throw light upward and to be movement activated so as not to cause light pollution and disturbance when not required for access/security. Sarah Curl for BCAAC

**Do you want to attach any files?**

No

**Attach files**

**To receive a confirmation email, enter your address below:**

bcaac@belsizeconservation.org.uk

**Click here to access the form**