

Hi Daren

I noticed a lot of additional details have been uploaded although mostly far too technical for me to understand. However, the basic point they are making that their vehicles won't create additional emissions because they are being parked somewhere different seems to miss the point entirely that the existing car park they are hiring will want to fill those spaces and will no doubt offer cut price spaces to attract new customers (eg from the new housing blocks that have been built with no resident parking permits which was an intentional decision by Camden to stop more emissions).

The claim it will have no impact to create additional parking spaces is simply wrong. Especially as Camden is working so hard not just to be air quality neutral but instead is actively penalising higher emissions cars through its new permit bands.

Please don't let them get away with this.

On the drainage I don't understand what they have suggested but can't see why they couldn't use reinforced turf (or similar) to ensure they use the soakaway benefits of the site rather than overwhelming the sewers. But realise that might be my misunderstanding and trust you to ensure my house isn't at higher risk.

Finally, the visual impact of the giant jail-like fences much closer to the pavement remains of concern, and will be a significant detriment to the visual appearance of the area.

Thank you

Anna