				Printed on: 30/08/2024 09:10:07
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/3448/P	Claire Giribaldi	29/08/2024 17:42:47	OBJ	<ul> <li>The proposed development should not be authorised without further considerations, such as:</li> <li>The proposal relies on appeal to previous planning application 2017/5430/P which was for a phone kiosk, which is very different from what is being proposed now. This is mostly an advertising sign that has communication capabilities.</li> <li>The proposal fails to demonstrate that there is sufficient space for the Smart Hub and its users on the pavement. It only focuses on space for the Smart Hub itself. The drawings and photos show the user interface facing west end lane. A user of the screen would therefore be standing on west end lane, reducing the available space for pedestrian than what is shown on drawings. The drawings only show the space needed for the Smart Hub (5.97m to curb), not Smart Hub and its users (likely much smaller than 5.97m).</li> <li>Guidance on pedestrian comfort has been updated since the previous planning permission (version 2 from 2019). If the applicant wants to prove that its proposal is not going to impact pedestrian traffic, they should provide a full Comfort Assessment using the latest version of the TFL/Mayor of London guidance. The application currently only relies on the opinion of the appeal to the previous application for a different furniture and from 2018.</li> <li>The proposal fails to assess the impact on the farmer market days where stalls are installed in this area.</li> </ul>